



# GREAT YARMOUTH BOROUGH COUNCIL

## Environment Committee

**Date:** Tuesday, 07 March 2023

**Time:** 18:30

**Venue:** Council Chamber

**Address:** Town Hall, Hall Plain, Great Yarmouth, NR30 2QF

### AGENDA

Open to Public and Press

#### 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

#### 2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

You have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in a matter to be discussed if it relates to something on your Register of Interests form. You must declare the interest and leave the room while the matter is dealt with.

You have a Personal Interest in a matter to be discussed if it affects

- your well being or financial position
- that of your family or close friends
- that of a club or society in which you have a management role
- that of another public body of which you are a member to a greater extent than others in your ward.

You must declare a personal interest but can speak and vote on the matter.

Whenever you declare an interest you must say why the interest arises, so that it can be included in the minutes.

- 3     **MINUTES**     4 - 10
- To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on the 10 January 2023.
- 4     **MATTERS ARISING**
- To consider any matters arising from the above minutes.
- 5     **FORWARD PLAN**     11 - 11
- Report attached.
- 6     **INTERNAL DRAINAGE BOARD UPDATE**
- The Committee to receive an update.
- 7     **RATIFICATION OF NORFOLK STRATEGIC FLOODING  
ALLIANCE STRATEGY**     12 - 22
- Report attached.
- 8     **GYBS - PRE SUMMER UPDATE AND TRANSITION**
- A presentation to be given at the meeting.
- 9     **PARKS, OPEN SPACES AND BEACHES**     23 - 29
- Report attached.
- 10    **LOW NOISE FIREWORKS**     30 - 34
- Report attached.

**11 UPDATE ON DOG FOULING**

Presentation to be given at the meeting.

**12 RENEWAL OF PUBLIC SPACE PROTECTION ORDER NO.3 -  
DOG CONTROL**

**35 - 132**

Report attached.

**13 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

To consider any other business as may be determined by the Chairman of the meeting as being of sufficient urgency to warrant consideration.

**14 EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC**

In the event of the Committee wishing to exclude the public from the meeting, the following resolution will be moved:-

"That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 1 of Part I of Schedule 12(A) of the said Act."



**GREAT YARMOUTH**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

# Environment Committee

## Minutes

Tuesday, 10 January 2023 at 18:30

**PRESENT:-**

Councillor Wells (in the Chair); Councillors Annison, Bird, P Carpenter, Fairhead, Martin, Robinson-Payne, Talbot & Waters-Bunn.

Councillor Galer attended as a substitute for Councillor Bensly.

Councillor Candon attended as a substitute for Councillor D Hammond.

Councillor Jeal attended as a substitute for Councillor B Wright.

Mrs P Boyce (Strategic Director), Mr J Wilson (Head of Environment & Sustainability), Mr N Fountain (Principal Strategic Planner), Ms R Downie (Democratic Services Officer), Mr D Zimmerling (IT Support), Mr T Williams (Communication & Media Manager) & Ms C Webb (Democratic Services Officer).

Ms Collette Parker, Customer Engagement Manager, Anglian Water & Ms E Linsdell, Customer Engagement Officer, Anglian Water.

### **1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Bensly, Cameron, D Hammond & B Wright.

### **2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest declared at the meeting.

### **3 MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on 15 November 2022 were confirmed.

Proposer: Councillor P Carpenter  
Secunder: Councillor Candon.

CARRIED.

### **4 MATTERS ARISING**

There were no matters arising from the above minutes which were not covered elsewhere on the agenda.

### **5 FORWARD PLAN**

The Committee received and considered the Forward Plan.

Councillor P Carpenter asked if the Committee would be writing to the seafront traders asking them to refrain from selling the plastic frisbee rings which could pose a danger to the seals and wildlife along the coast.

Action:- That the Head of Environment & Sustainability send the usual letter to cover the 2023 summer season.

Councillor Talbot requested that an update report on dog fouling be added to the Forward Plan as there was a major issue in the Central & Northgate ward. The Head of Environment & Sustainability agreed to bring an overview report and action plan to a future meeting.

Action:- to add Dog Fouling Update report to Forward plan.

Councillor Waters-Bunn asked if a social media campaign could be implemented highlighting what could and could not be recycled to raise public awareness. The Head of Environment & Sustainability reported that this would form part of the comms and media plan for the Sustainability Strategy and the campaign would be launched in the next few weeks. The Chairman asked that the campaign details be sent to all Members.

RESOLVED:-

That the Committee note the Forward Plan.

## **6 ANGLIAN WATER - KEEP IT CLEAR PRESENTATION**

The Committee received a presentation from Collette Parker & Emily Linsdell, Anglian Water.

Councillor Bird suggested that Collette contact the Hoteliers Association to help get this important information out to the hoteliers and landlords of the Borough. The Chairman suggested that Collette contact the GY Tourism Bid & the Town Centre Bid. Councillor Bird was also concerned how the message could get out to those residents where English was not the first language. Collette reported that stickers were available for kitchens and bathrooms promoting the messages in a picture format.

Councillor Talbot highlighted the use of bath bombs which contained thousands of micro-plastics and whether the use of natural bathing products could be promoted.

Councillor Talbot asked if a collection service was available for used fats and oils. Collette reported that the Borough could perhaps act as a model authority as NCC might be able to support a joined-up collection campaign with Living Fuels.

Councillor P Carpenter asked for clarification as to what was contained in the Gardening Pack and whether Anglian Water went into schools to talk to schoolchildren who would ultimately educate their parents.

Councillor Waters-Bunn asked for clarification as to how long the Community Marshalls would be able to work with Collette and her team as their contracts expired at the end of March 2023. The Strategic Director reported that several possible funding streams were being explored to fund the retention of the Community Marshalls.

The Chairman suggested that Collette talk to James Wilson after the meeting in regard to working in partnership with the members of the Norfolk Waste Partnership.

The Chairman asked for clarification as to whether Collette and her team would work with the rural communities. Collette reported that the data informed AW where they needed to go.

Councillor Martin asked for clarification in relation to the recharge of £290k as detailed on slide number 13. Councillor Martin suggested that Collette should contact the Out There Festival and Freshly Greated as she had indicated that AW were keen to work with the Arts to promote their messages.

The Chairman thanked Collette & Emily for an informative presentation.

## **7 NORFOLK COAST AREA OF OUTSTANDING NATURAL BEAUTY MANAGEMENT PLAN**

The Committee received and considered the report from the Principal Strategic Planner.

The Principal Strategic Planner reported that the Norfolk Coast Partnership is an 'outside body' partnership comprising officer and local councillor membership from each of the local authorities within the Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural

Beauty (AONB). The AONB is a statutory designation under section 82 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (CROW Act) for the purpose of conserving and enhancing the natural beauty qualities of that area. Within the Borough, the AONB forms a small part of the northern tip of the Borough in Winterton and Somerton.

Under the responsibilities to conserve and enhance the AONB area, the partnership must prepare a management plan. While a management plan for 2019-2024 had already been prepared, concern was raised by other partners that the document was not fit for purpose as it went beyond the statutory requirements and in some case had not been officially endorsed or adopted by partnership authorities. Following a review by the Partnership, it was recommended that the previous adopted management plan (from 2014-2019) could be made fit for purpose with relatively minor updates but would need to be adopted by partnership authorities.

The Norfolk Coast AONB Management Plan is a high level document setting out:-

- How the Partnership will work together
- What the special qualities of the area are with a 'Statement of Significance'
- An assessment of the condition of the area
- The key drivers for change within the area
- Themes, policies and objectives
- How the plan will be monitored and reviewed.

As a consequence of the limited area within the Borough over which this applies, it is considered unlikely that the Management Plan will have a great impact on operations of the Borough Council. The Plan is most likely to be considered through planning (in land use and development) and environmental activities. In broad terms the aims will be to protect and enhance the setting of the landscape. Though clear reference is already made to this effect within the adopted Local Plans and the National Planning Policy Framework, and wider environmental legislation which protects the site. The recommendation is that the Environment Committee adopts the revised Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Management Plan.

RESOLVED:-

That the Committee adopts the revised Norfolk Coast Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Management Plan.

## **8 SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY - ANNUAL ACTION PLAN UPDATE**

The Committee received and considered the report from the Head of Environment & Sustainability.

The Head of Environment & Sustainability reported that the sustainability Strategy and action plan were agreed by members earlier in the year. The strategy laid out 3 key challenges for us to tackle these were:-

- The Climate Challenge: Globally the world faces increasing climate insecurity driven by an unprecedented rise in temperature and the release of greenhouse gases into our atmosphere.
- The Nature Challenge: In the last 50 years the UK has witnessed a dramatic loss in the diversity of species present in our natural areas. 'Biodiversity' is a measure of a natural systems resilience and the loss of biodiversity limits nature's capacity to respond to change.
- The Waste Challenge: The health and quality of ecosystems is negatively affected by increasing levels of pollution; both from the release of chemicals and the mismanagement of physical waste.

The Council has recently recruited into the climate change officer post to take forward this work on a more coordinated approach, however this update shows the significant amount of work that is already being done across the Council to achieve the objectives laid out in the action plan.

This update has focussed on the objective set for year one of the action plan and is the first of regular updates to be provided to the Environment committee on the progress of this work.

LAD (local authority decarbonisation) and HUG (housing upgrade grant) – The Council has had great success working with EON in pulling in significant funding into the Borough to improve both our existing housing stock and those in the private rented sector. The LAD scheme is focussed on, whilst the HUG scheme is for improving energy efficiency and clean heating upgrades for owner occupiers and the private rented sector fuel poor homes off the existing gas grid.

We have also applied for additional HUG2 funding of approximately £6.5million over the next 2 years, we are waiting to hear if this has been successful.

Electric Vehicle Charging – The Council has been successful in funding 17 twin charging points across Council car parks, we are working with partners and seeking additional funding for further charging points network of charging point.

Fleet Strategy – We have recently had a sustainable fleet strategy developed and agreed at Council, taking forward our aspirations and objective3 to deliver a more sustainable and greener fleet across the Council. This includes the first electric RCV in 23/24.

FACET project – The Council has worked with Norfolk County Council to deliver the FACET project with the aim of making the tourist sector more sustainable and promoting the concept of a circular economy. This has meant rolling out increased bin capacity and recycling on the go in Great Yarmouth and Hemsby seafront locations. Also 3 recycling bottleship sculptures promoting the recycling of bottles on the seafront in Hemsby, Great Yarmouth and Gorleston. Other projects have been around reducing single use cups in Hemsby with the reusable cup, smart litter bin and composting/wormeries in local businesses.

Trees for Cities – As part of the Councils commitment to sustainability we have already planted over 50 trees and are in the process of planting 200 more trees



during this planting season as part of the trees for cities scheme.

Once the climate change officer has started, we will have the resource in place to move forward with more of the planned objectives and coordinate the work we are doing across the Council already to deliver the aims of the sustainability strategy.

Sustainability Forum - one of the first tasks for the newly appointed Climate Change officer will be to set up the Sustainability Forum, pulling together key individuals and organisations across the Borough to working together on making the Borough sustainable through partnership working and funding opportunities.

Data gathering and monitoring – During the initial work on the carbon footprint assessment, we did struggle to obtain data that allowed us to understand our carbon footprint, therefore one of the key roles for the climate change officer will be to work with officers to understand what data we need, how we can obtain this and then setup a reporting and monitoring regime.

Housing Stock – During the initial work on the Council carbon footprint, it was decided not to include the housing stock in these figures. There will now need to be piece of work to understand the carbon footprint of the Councils housing stock and its impact on the overall Carbon footprint of the Council.

On street charging – As mentioned above the Council has had great success in delivering a network of electric charging points across its car parks and it currently working with partners to seek funding to deliver on street charging points in the Borough.

Website – Making sure both our website and internal loop pages are updated and providing the latest information to public businesses and staff around current initiatives and tips on how to improve their sustainability. Also ensuring we are keeping everyone up to date with the Councils progress on this work.

The Head of Environment & Sustainability would continue to provide 6 monthly updates to the environment committee on progress and updates on any specific projects as necessary.

Councillor Candon reported that he was pleased that the strategy had been implemented in such a positive manner.

The Chairman asked for confirmation that the non-cutting of the Gorleston Cliffs would be re-visited in the Gorleston Seafront Masterplan.

Councillor Jeal highlighted the number of targets that were shown as being red or amber. The Chairman reported that the strategy was only a few months old and had not had the requisite staffing numbers. The Strategic Director informed the Committee that this was year 1 of a 3 year plan and that catch-up would begin in year 2 as a climate change officer was now in post.

Councillor Waters-Bunn asked for clarification in regard to the bio-diversity study at Bluebell Woods in Bradwell. The Strategic Director reported that this issue had been addressed by the Media & Comms Manager as this had been a misunderstanding on social media. Bio-diversity opportunities in partnership

with the Norfolk Wildlife Trust had been identified and a round-table meeting would be held shortly to progress.

Councillor Talbot asked if a review of litter bin sites across the borough would be undertaken. The Head of Environment & Sustainability reported that a review would be undertaken once this function was moved across to Environmental Services from Property Services as it was essential that litter bins were put in the right places. Councillor Talbot asked if dedicated dog waste bins could be placed along Tar Works Road as there was no provision in this well-used dog walking area.

RESOLVED:-

That the Committee note the actions taken so far to progress this Action Plan and endorse the planned future actions.

## **9 ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

The Chairman reported that there was no other business being of sufficient urgency to warrant consideration at the meeting.

## **10 CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES**

The confidential minutes of the meeting held on 15 November 2022 were confirmed.


Proposer: Councillor P Carpenter  
Secunder: Councillor Annison.

CARRIED.

## **11 EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC**

The meeting ended at: TBC

<b>Environment Committee</b>				<b>Pre Meet Date</b>	<b>Agenda Published</b>	<b>Committee Date</b>
22-298	Parks Open Spaces and Beaches	Head of Environment & Sustainability		24/02/23	28/02/23	07/03/23
22-299	Internal Drainage Board Update	Head of Environment & Sustainability		24/02/23	28/02/23	07/03/23
22-300	GYBS - Pre Summer Update and transition	Director of Operational Services		24/02/23	28/02/23	07/03/23
22-136	Fireworks	Head of Environment & Sustainability		24/02/23	28/02/23	07/03/23
22-192	PSPO No. 3 - Dogs	Head of Environment and Sustainability		24/02/23	28/02/23	07/03/23



**URN:** URN 23-018

**Subject:** Ratification of Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance Strategy

**Report to:** Environment Committee 7 March 2023

**Report by:** Charles Krolik-Root (Senior Coastal Resilience Advisor)  
David Glason (Director of Planning and Growth)

#### **SUBJECT MATTER**

The Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance (NSFA) was founded in 2021 after significant surface water flooding issues in December 2020 led to over 350 properties being flooded in Norfolk and 120 settlements being affected. Members include: Norfolk County Council (as Lead Local Flood Authority and Highways Authority), District and Borough Councils and relevant Risk Management Authorities including the Environment Agency, Anglian Water and Coastal Partnership East. The aim of the Alliance is to improve partnership working and ensure that residents and businesses in Norfolk have confidence that flood risks are being well managed. Appended to this report is an updated NSFA Strategy for Member's consideration.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

That Members endorse the Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance's updated Strategy.

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1.** The Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance (NSFA) was founded in 2021 after significant surface water flooding issues in December 2020 led to over 350 properties being flooded in Norfolk and 120 settlements being affected. Members include: Norfolk County Council (as Lead Local Flood Authority and Highways Authority), District and Borough Councils, and relevant Risk Management Authorities including the Environment Agency, Anglian Water and Coastal Partnership East.
- 1.2.** The aim of the Alliance is to improve partnership working and ensure that residents and businesses in Norfolk have confidence that flood risks are being well managed.
- 1.3.** The first version of the NSFA Strategy was adopted by the Environment Committee on 29 September 2021, with the Strategy requiring ratification on an annual basis.
- 1.4.** The increasing focus of the Alliance is working across agencies to deliver improvements in line with agreed priorities and to secure funding to achieve that. Alongside that has been work by the Alliance to influence change on national policy, to help ensure appropriate changes to legislation are made that will more easily facilitate action to address and reduce flood risk.

## **2. Work to Date**

- 2.1.** The NSFA has made significant progress since February 2021 by bringing together all relevant organisations across the county and focussing on priorities agreed by all partners and stakeholders:
- a) The most significant success is that a single strategic body exists that enables an integrated conversation around flooding and water resource management across thematic, functional and geographic boundaries. As a result, authorities, agencies and organisations are more aware of the pan-Norfolk risks, issues and opportunities facing our communities.
  - b) Flood advice leaflet produced and delivered to all Norfolk residents and businesses.
  - c) Creation and launch of a single telephone number for Norfolk, to take calls on flood related issues.
  - d) Closer partnership working on coastal issues between Coastal Partnership East (the coastal management team acting on behalf of North Norfolk District Council, Great Yarmouth Borough Council and East Suffolk Council) and Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk.
  - e) Identification of 28 sites for action (in two tranches) where multi agency efforts are needed to develop and deliver solutions to flooding. Most notable for the Borough of Great Yarmouth is the work that has taken place in Gorleston, where a multi-agency approach has seen investment of over £4 million to reduce the risk of sewer flooding to local homes and properties. Work started in 2021 and has already made modifications to the sewer network on Colomb Road and installed new surface water gullies improve the surface water drainage on Burgh Road. The scheme will also modify the sewer on the High Street, lay a new sewer on Burgh Road and build a new pumping station and storm overflow tank underneath Beccles Road. This will create additional capacity in the network to take water away when it rains heavily. Works are largely complete.
  - f) Four case studies to show progress and demonstrate advances in collaborative working in reducing flood risk.
- 2.2.** The NSFA Strategy (See Appendix A) is a high-level document and the bulk of its content, and with it the strategic direction, remain largely unchanged. With the NSFA in its second year, the Strategy has been updated (with minor changes) to reflect the experiences of the last year and the lessons that have been identified which the Alliance intends to learn from. The NSFA vision remains the same, however the objectives reflect the developing maturity of the Alliance and the successful delivery of some of last year's objectives.
- 2.3.** The Strategy also sets out the guiding principles, how the Alliance operates and the need for close collaboration with strategic partners, as well as how performance will be assessed by tracking actions and tasks. Therefore, it is being recommended that our support continues to help the borough's communities continue to benefit from the high levels of commitment and benefits of genuine partnership working generated by the NSFA.

### 3. Next Steps

For each partner (inclusive of Great Yarmouth Borough Council) to approve the NSFA updated Strategy and in light of Lord Dannatt stepping down as Chair of the Alliance (recently succeeded by Henry Cator) continue the Council's support of the Alliance.

### 4. Financial Implications

- 4.1. Aside from Great Yarmouth Borough Council and Coastal Partnership East staff time, there are no financial implications for the Borough Council.

### 5. Risk Implications

- 5.1. There is a risk that the commitment of partners may reduce, and that availability of funding from Norfolk County Council may not match the intent of the NSFA or the expectation of communities and stakeholders.

### 6. Legal Implications

Not applicable.

### 7. Conclusion

- 7.1. The partnerships formed as part of the Alliance have proved successful in delivering multiple positive benefits as covered in the report and Great Yarmouth Borough Council should continue its support.

### 8. Background Papers

#### Appendix A – Norfolk Strategic Flood Alliance Overall Strategy (2022)

*Areas of consideration: e.g. does this report raise any of the following issues and if so how have these been considered/mitigated against?*

Area for consideration	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	Via ELT
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	Via ELT
Existing Council Policies:	Aligns with the Corporate Plan
Financial Implications (including VAT and tax):	Not applicable
Legal Implications (including human rights):	Not applicable
Risk Implications:	There is a risk that commitment of partners may reduce, and that availability of funding from Norfolk County Council may not match the intent of the NSFA or the expectation of communities and stakeholders
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	Allocation of funding for flood defence and mitigation schemes takes account of the deprivation of the areas

	protected. Each scheme will assess this separately as part of the project development.
Crime & Disorder:	Not applicable
Every Child Matters:	Not applicable

# OVERALL STRATEGY 2022

---




**The purpose of the constituent members of the Norfolk Strategic Flooding Alliance (NSFA) is to work together so that Norfolk communities and infrastructure are safer and more resilient to the risks of inland and coastal flooding and better placed to ensure adequate water supplies during droughts.**

## 1 Introduction

The NSFA was formed following recognition that the county-wide response to flooding and flood-related risks is incoherent and improvements are required to protect and reassure Norfolk communities. Members of the NSFA are united in their determination to work collaboratively and transparently across boundaries and structures to improve the response to flooding and increase the coherency and consistency of flood risk management. The unique selling point of the NSFA is that it represents a single point of focus and collaboration for all flood-related challenges facing the County of Norfolk. As the NSFA enters its second year it has been agreed that the strategy should be updated to reflect the experiences of the last year. We also have a campaign plan that prioritises and sequences our actions and objectives. This is at Appendix A. Our Action Plan is at Appendix B and the record of actions achieved, so far, is at Appendix C.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>03</b>
<b>OUR GOALS</b>	
<b>2 Our Vision</b>	<b>04</b>
<b>3 Our Objectives</b>	<b>04</b>
<b>OUR APPROACH</b>	
<b>4 Our Principles</b>	<b>06</b>
<b>5 Strategic Collaboration – Threats and Opportunities.</b>	<b>07</b>
<b>6 How we Function</b>	<b>08</b>
<b>ASSESSING SUCCESS</b>	
<b>7 What will success look like?</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>8 Universal Stakeholder Buy In</b>	<b>10</b>



# OUR GOALS

## 2 Our Vision

The NSFA will be successful through the coordinated actions of the statutory authorities from central to local government, through to commercial companies, land and property owners large and small, their communities and individuals themselves. As a result, the people of Norfolk will have a high level of confidence that flood risks are as low as reasonably practicable and are being managed within the overall context of improved water management.

## 3 Our Objectives

**Our guiding principles are:**<sup>1</sup>

- a. Maintain a transparent, collaborative, integrated and sustainable approach to water management issues across the County of Norfolk that is applied coherently.<sup>2</sup>
- b. Provide a cooperative approach to local, regional and national funding opportunities to mitigate Norfolk's flood risks.



- c. Examine how to implement whole river management best practice for inland waterways from catchment areas to the sea.
- d. Work to ensure that the planning system across the County is coherently applied and does not exacerbate the flood risks to new and existing residents and communities.
- e. Work with category one responders and other relevant bodies, so that when they are preparing and delivering their statutory or lead-agency responsibilities they will, where appropriate, collaborate through the Norfolk Resilience Forum (NRF) to:

- I. enable the Local Lead Flood Authority (LLFA) to compile a common flood risk picture,
- II. maintain a consolidated action plan that maps multi-agency activities and progress,
- III. ensure local flood resilience and the response to flooding events, are streamlined and cohered across the County,
- IV. achieve synchronised initiatives across Norfolk that mitigate the risk of flooding and enhance communities' local resilience, and
- V. deliver a programme of exercises to confirm NSFA effectiveness and assure progress development.<sup>3</sup>
- VI. instil an approach that increases the effectiveness of a plan-mitigate-respond-recover continuum.

- f. Enhance the confidence of Norfolk communities through regular public communications and engagement on flood risks, mitigation measures and resilience / self-reliance initiatives.
- g. Complete an Integrated Norfolk Water Management Strategy.<sup>4</sup>
- h. Deliver a united Norfolk view on flooding and water management issues to Central Government.
- i. The function of the NSFA becomes a business as usual (Bau) function where the strategic direction, oversight and leadership is provided by an elected leader and the LLFA.

<sup>1</sup> These are distilled further into a series of actions and tasks in Annex A.

<sup>2</sup> This will include an understanding of other water management strategies and activities already in practice through other bodies and organisations.

<sup>3</sup> We will do this in partnership with the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) or the Cabinet Office, partner authorities in the region and the Emergency Planning College, in the design, training, work up and delivery of the exercise.

<sup>4</sup> To include an integrated approach to resource planning that considers all potential requests for funding to develop a single and prioritised statement of desired outcomes that all can work to deliver.

# OUR APPROACH

## 4 Our Principles

### Our guiding principles are:

- a. We will work together in a collaborative and transparent manner through the statutory duties established by the Civil Contingencies Act (CCA) (2004) and its supporting doctrine and guidance as well as making maximum use of Public Sector Cooperation Agreements, where appropriate.
- b. Extant statutory or lead responsibilities remain with the relevant agency.
- c. We will share information and manage knowledge intelligently.
- d. We will focus on delivering the best outcome for Norfolk communities.
- e. We will speak with one voice and communicate clearly, coherently and consistently to Norfolk communities.
- f. We will exploit pre-existing structures and multi-agency fora to reduce duplication and ensure our activities are efficient and effective.
- g. We will ensure a balanced approach – delivering quick wins and set the conditions for achieving longer-term success.
- h. We will make evidence-based decisions and anticipate future risk through collaborative analysis and data sharing.
- i. We learn from others and our own experiences and seek to identify and share 'best practice' as appropriate.

## 5 Strategic Collaboration – Threats and Opportunities.

It is unlikely that any single entity will be able to develop projects or solutions alone that mitigate the threats of flooding or to promote the opportunities for better water management. Collaboration (not duplication) between NSFA and Water Resources East (WRE) is essential to a coherent approach across the County of Norfolk. From a water management perspective, WRE and its strategic partners will identify opportunities in the short, medium and long-term to connect fluvial flood risk reduction with water scarcity opportunities, ideally delivering water quality benefits at the same time.

This will predominantly focus on the opportunities to capture and store flood water and make it available for use for example for irrigation, energy production and to drive environmental improvement and natural capital net gain. Key partners in this work, alongside Norfolk local authority colleagues and WRE's Norfolk Water Strategy partners (Anglian Water and The Nature Conservancy) will be landowners, internal drainage boards, local community leaders and environmental Non-Governmental Organisations (NGO).



## 6 How we Function

The NSFA operates across 3 levels (using Civil Contingencies Act doctrinal definitions for each level) – Strategic, Tactical and Operational/Delivery – a schematic representation of how this will be achieved, with broad roles and responsibilities, is shown below.<sup>5</sup> The NSFA recognises that member organisations and authorities have different priorities, funding arrangements, regulators and statutory obligations. It is incumbent on NSFA members to highlight to the NSFA their constraints to help the NSFA appreciate how best to work around these for the benefit of local communities. While the NSFA will determine its priorities, it accepts the need to use the statutory and funding frameworks of other bodies in order to realise these priorities. The Norfolk County Council (NCC) communications team would use this strategy and action plan to develop a proactive communications and engagement plan.



<sup>5</sup> For local operational/delivery groups to be successful, there will need to be multiple (to spread the load), probably covering either the district council areas (with a number amalgamated to ensure there aren't too many groups for those of us that cover the whole of Norfolk) or Anglian Water water recycling collection areas. Discussions are underway to see if KL&WN might consider joining Coastal Partnership East and therefore remove the need for a bilateral arrangement between the NSFA and KL&WN on coastal flooding matters.

## NSFA Governance & Structures (2022)

**What:** Provide a strategic direction, achieve quality alignment amend deliver strategic outcomes

**Why:** So that Norfolk communities and infrastructure are safer and more resilient to the risks of inland and coastal flooding

**How:** Through a shared understanding of the flood risks and a prioritised, collaborative and coordinated approach to their managing and delivering shared ownership

**What:** Provide coordinated tactical management, of the contribution to flood response and risk mitigation


**Why:** To maximise the effectiveness and efficiency of the contribution. Deliver successful outcomes for the people of Norfolk affected by coastal and inland flooding

**How:** By delivering against NSFA priorities (The Coastal TCG is a constituent element of CPE)

**What:** Practical support and guidance against known flood risks. Provide evidence of the 'lived experience' to inform collaboration

**Why:** To provide local solutions that increase resilience. To ensure appropriate prioritisation of resource and effort.

**How:** By a risk assessed plan and prioritised programme. If initiatives involving multi-agency partners. Contributing to modelling and the multiagency risk assessment (local knowledge)



# ASSESSING SUCCESS

## 7 What will success look like?

Achieving the stated vision will be the ultimate assessment of success for the NSFA. On our journey to achieving this vision, the NSFA will assess performance against the individual objectives (para 3), the specific actions and tasks (annex A) and our ways of working / guiding principles (Appendix B). On an annual basis (July NSFA meeting) members will be invited to affirm their commitment to the NSFA and the delivery of collaborative working in accordance with our guiding principles.

## 8 Universal Stakeholder Buy In.

On an annual basis – commencing in July 2021 - the stakeholders, who are the constituent members of the NSFA, will commit to work together by formally signing off the annual NSFA Overall Strategy, of which this is the first.




## Partners

- Norfolk County Council
- Breckland District Council
- Broadlands District Council
- South Norfolk District Council
- North Norfolk District Council
- Norwich City Council
- Borough of Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Council
- Borough of Great Yarmouth Council
- Anglian (Great Ouse) Regional Flood and Coastal Committee
- Anglian Eastern Regional Flood and Coastal Committee
- Association of Drainage Authorities
- Anglian Water
- Broads Authority
- Coastal Partnership East
- Environment Agency
- Highways Agency
- National Farmers Union
- Norfolk Resilience Forum
- Norfolk Association of Local Councils
- Water Resources East
- Water Management Alliance
- Norfolk Rivers Trust







**URN:** 22- 298

**Subject:** Parks, Open Spaces and Beaches

**Report to:** ELT 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2023  
Environment Committee 7<sup>th</sup> March 2023

**Report by:** James Wilson - Head of Environment and Sustainability

#### **SUBJECT MATTER**

This report updates members on the condition of St. Georges Park after its use as the site of the recent fairs and on the work ongoing to maintain the tourist beaches and reduce the vegetation growth in these key areas of Great Yarmouth beach.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the Committee note the content of the report and the planned maintenance activities.

### **1. Background**

- 1.1. Members of the Environment Committee had recently highlighted some concerns about the condition of St. Georges Park after its use as the site for the relocated October and Easter Fairs, due to the current work taking place in the marketplace.
- 1.2. The committee also had some questions on the work ongoing to reduce the impact of the marram grass and other vegetation on the areas of tourist beach in Great Yarmouth.
- 1.3. This report aims to update members on the issues they have raised and what work has already completed and what is planned.

### **2. St. Georges Park**

- 2.1. St Georges Park is a formal park in central Gt Yarmouth and was probably first laid out as such in the early 19th Century. The easternmost part of the park was originally occupied by a private house and garden, although this was demolished and incorporated into the park in the 20th Century. It was also the site of two, now long demolished, large air raid shelters and ancillary huts in the Second World War.

- 2.2. Today it is mostly set to lawned areas with resin bound footpaths, interspersed with herbaceous flower beds with seasonal planting. There are also many mature trees and shrub beds within the park.
- 2.3. It provides a green open space for people to enjoy and goes part in linking the town centre to the seafront. The Park is enjoyed by locals and holiday makers alike and has been the location for the annual street circus and art festival for several years now.
- 2.4. It has also hosted a Christmas market in the past and more recently, because of the ongoing refurbishment of the marketplace, hosted the local Showman's Guild Easter Fair.
- 2.5. The layout of the park has remained the same since the mid-20th Century, and all pathways were resurfaced approximately some 20 years ago. They are surfaced in a resin bonded golden gravel finish which, although faded overtime, still is in good condition and provides a pleasant contrast to the surrounding grass and trees. It is a welcome change to the more commonly found black tarmac paths found elsewhere in the Borough. In fact, approx. 4 years ago two additional paths were laid in the park. These are towards the centre of the park and made permanent two short cut routes over grass that had been trodden down over time – wearing away the grass. The addition of these paths has improved this area of the park, alleviating the pressure on the grass use as part of the short cuts.
- 2.6. There are two areas of path that perhaps need attention. That is a reinstatement trench (because of services being connected to the Fountain Bar café) that is now showing signs of sinkage, and a localised depression on another path, possibly as a result of a heavy point load of some sort.
- 2.7. Please see below pictures of the two locations, and currently prices are being sought for their repair/making good.





- 2.8. There has also been damage caused to some of the slabs surrounding the Fountain Bar café, and an order has currently been issued to have these replaced/repaired.



- 2.9. In addition to the above repairs currently being undertaken, some reseeded of the grass areas heavily used during the recent fair was required. This is not uncommon when the park is used for specific events and there is minimal cost associated with this work.
- 2.10. As highlighted above, the park survey has only identified minor issues and repairs needed in the park because of this season's use of the park, these cannot be attributed to any one event. As with any asset of the Council there are always ongoing repairs and maintenance requirements. The park remains in good repair and is heavily used by the local community and tourists alike.

### 3 Beaches

- 3.1 In November 2021 the Environment Committee agreed to designate two areas of Great Yarmouth tourist beaches and to put into place control measures to prevent future plant colonisation in these locations, the two areas designated are detailed in the pictures below:



North Beach Area



Central Beach Area

- 3.2 Since this report was taken to committee a significant amount of established marram grass and other vegetation was dug from the Central beach and removed as part of the normal beach levelling activities. This process was quite labour intensive, slow and would not have been cost effective to complete every year.
- 3.3 In both March and July 2022, we trialled a ploughing technique on the Northern areas of the beach to see if this was an effective way of tackling the colonisation of the beach by marram grass and other vegetation.
- 3.4 This has been effective in slowing down the colonisation of the beach and although there are some areas on the Northern beach where we have some established areas of Marram Grass, there appears to be less overall colonisation in this section.



**Photo of Northern Beach**

- 3.5 The areas of the Central beach where the digging took place again show minimal signs of vegetation returning to the beach. As shown in the pictures below, there are however some areas of the Central beach North of the marina centre where some colonisation has restarted.



**Photos of Central Beach**

- 3.6 We are currently in the process of arranging further ploughing of the Northern and Central areas of the beach where the beginnings of colonisation can be seen and also targeted digging up of specific small areas of established marram grass and other vegetation in both of these areas in preparation for the summer season.

3.7 We will monitor throughout the season and undertake further ploughing to deal with any specific issues of colonisation as identified through visual inspections. At the end of the season, we are planning to undertake a final plough.

#### 4 Financial Implications

4.1 Any ongoing repair at St Georges Park will be taken from the existing repairs and maintenance budget.

4.2 The ploughing and grass removal have so far been covered out of existing budgets, after this season a review will take place to establish the ongoing annual cost. In the current year the cost of ploughing the Northern sections of the beach has cost in the region of £1000.

#### 5 Risk Implications

5.1 The use of St. Georges Park or any other Council land for hosting events has the potential to lead to damage and as such there are no additional risks highlighted as part of this report.

5.2 In relation to the beaches if no preventive work is undertaken, then there is a risk that both of these areas of beach will be colonised, and a dune structure would form across these two areas of tourist beaches.

5.3 Any removal or management works would have to be agreed with Natural England and be undertaken at times where they do not impact on the existing nearby environmental designated sites and the breeding bird season.

#### 6 Legal Implications

6.1 Ensure all works carried out would not be in breach of any environmental legislation protecting both flora and fauna, this would be mitigated by undertaking the appropriate consultation and agreement with Natural England.

#### 7 Conclusion


7.1 The regular ploughing of the beach will be programmed in before the season begins

#### Background Papers

None

Area for consideration	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	
Existing Council Policies:	
Financial Implications (including VAT and tax):	None – If further funding required, to be requested through additional report.

Legal Implications (including human rights):	None as areas proposed lie outside of environmental designation and methods are low impact.
Risk Implications:	
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	
Crime & Disorder:	
Every Child Matters:	



**URN:** 22-136  
**Subject:** Low Noise Fireworks  
**Report to:** Environment Committee 7<sup>th</sup> March 2023  
**Report by:** James Wilson - Head of Environment and Sustainability

#### **SUBJECT MATTER**

This report seeks to advise members on the impacts of the use of loud noise fireworks within the Borough and the options available to them around the future use of low noise fireworks on Council land and the wider Borough.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

That Committee agree for officers to undertake the following actions to encourage the use of low noise fireworks throughout the Borough:

- a) Encourage public firework displays within the local authority boundary to be advertised in advance of the event allowing residents to take precautions for their animals and vulnerable people.
  - To encourage public firework displays within the local authority boundary to consider using low noise fireworks through updated guidance and direct communication.
  - To actively promote a public awareness campaign about the impact of fireworks on animal welfare and vulnerable people.
  - To encourage local suppliers of fireworks to stock low noise fireworks for public and private displays.

## **1. Background**

- 1.1. In 2021 a motion was presented at Council in relation to the introduction of a policy around the use of low noise fireworks on Council owned land in the Borough, this was defeated, since then the matter has been raised a number of times at Environment Committee and therefore officers have been asked to pull together this report.
- 1.2. Fireworks are generally associated with Bonfire night on 5th November, New Year's Eve celebration and Chinese New Year.

- 1.3. The current legislation around fireworks permits their use all year round before 11pm apart from on Bonfire night where the curfew is midnight, New Year's Eve, Diwali and Chinese New Year where the curfew is 1am the following day.
- 1.4. Due to the availability of fireworks and lack of regulation around their use they are often used by the public all year round to mark celebrations with Great Yarmouth and Hemsby having multiple displays on the beach over the summer holiday season.
- 1.5. It has been well documented in the media over recent years about the impact that these displays can have on animals and vulnerable people. As such many groups have been calling for the banning of fireworks that make excessive noise and a number of Councils across the country have either introduced a policy or guidance for low noise firework displays held on their land or organised by the Council.
- 1.6. Low noise fireworks and silent fireworks are now widely available however the public are still able to purchase fireworks from several outlets many of which are only offering for sale noisy fireworks.

## **2. Impact of loud noise fireworks**

- 2.1. Loud noise from fireworks can have an adverse effect on the welfare of vulnerable people and animals:
  - Children and adults with sensory processing disorders or who are on the autistic spectrum can have hyper sensitivities to sound, light, touch, taste, smell and pain which stimulate anxiety and feelings of being overwhelmed or confused. These feelings are also common for people with conditions that affect the brain or nervous system such as Dementia.
  - People with hearing conditions such as Hyperacusis which can cause a difficulty in tolerance and volume of sounds that would not be considered loud by individuals with normal hearing.
  - People with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) may have difficulties with loud sounds such as an exaggerated startle response, fear of sound (Phonophobia) or aversion to specific sounds (Misophonia), which may trigger flashbacks or panic attacks.
  - People with respiratory conditions such as Asthma, COPD and Lung Disease may also experience adverse impacts of the air pollutants and particulate matter expelled by fireworks, though air quality degradation is short-term.
  - Significant fear and distress can be caused to all animals due to the sudden noise as detailed in RSPCA 'Bang out of order campaign'

## **3 Current Firework displays in the Borough**

- 3.1 Throughout the year there are several fireworks displays within the Borough, these are not just around the traditional times of new year and bonfire night, there are a significant number of displays held regularly during the summer tourist season.

- 3.2 Great Yarmouth has for the past few seasons had fireworks around the beach every Wednesday evening throughout August, these are well publicised and attend by the public during the holiday season.
- 3.3 Hemsby has also traditionally held a firework display throughout the summer holiday season, normally on a Tuesday evening. Again, these are publicised well in advance and well attended.
- 3.4 Several villages hold the traditional bonfire night displays on or around 4<sup>th</sup> November. There are not any large New Year's Eve displays, although there will be smaller displays around this time of year from people's garden, private parties, and hotels.

#### **4 Use of Low noise fireworks**

- 4.1 Several Councils across the country have banned the use of loud fireworks on their own land or in any display they are involved in organising, however the enforcement of such a ban would require significant officer input and it would be very difficult to prove low noise fireworks are being used or not.
- 4.2 Also, a significant amount of the larger display in the Borough are not held on Council land and a ban would not stop the use of loud noise fireworks during these displays.
- 4.3 Alternatively, several Councils have decided to provide guidance and encouraging any firework displays in their areas to use low noise fireworks where possible and to promote their use wherever possible. This is significantly less onerous and does not require any officer intervention other than providing accurate guidance for events being planned and a regular communications plan on the issue.
- 4.4 It is proposed that the Council updates its guidance to firework event organisers and promote the use of low noise fireworks. This allows us to highlight the benefits of using low noise fireworks without any significant officer resource involved in checking compliance that would come with a complete ban on Council land.
- 4.5 This advice would be sent out through an update of the website guidance for event organisers and direct communication with the organisers of the known displays taking place in the Borough.

#### **5 Promotion of public displays**

- 5.1 Early publicity and notification of a public firework displays can help awareness of specific events which could impact on vulnerable residents or animals and is seen as good practice for publicising the event, event safety management and ensuring all who might be impacted by the display know it is taking place.
- 5.2 This is only something the Council could advise organisers on, as there are no specific enforcement powers in relation to publicising an event including fireworks.
- 5.3 Holding a firework display is not a licensable activity under the Licensing Act 2003, therefore we do not technically licence these events. If the sale of alcohol is part of the event this will require a licence, but conditions cannot be imposed regarding the fireworks event itself, purely the sale of alcohol. However, we can provide an advice on advertising events as part of the licensing process (Temporary Event Notices or Premise licences).



- 5.4 Some licenced premises and events however do include a pyrotechnic display as part of events, in particular weddings and festivals. These are a mix of public and private events. In an application the Environmental Health team may consider fireworks as part of the noise management plan in order for the premise to meet the licensing objective of the Prevention of Public Nuisance.
- 5.5 Should complaints be made concerning fireworks from a premise we can consider the noise as a statutory nuisance. If we are able to establish the noise is a statutory nuisance a notice may be served and this could limit the number of times a year fireworks are used, time of day and length of display are also controllable. We would expect a premise holding a display to consider location of any display, this is most likely to have an impact on wildlife (although this cannot be included in any nuisance investigation) as well as ensuring health and safety of those watching; the tendency is to be nearer the boundary of a site which may be closer to residents.
- 5.6 Fireworks displays are by their nature going to cause some degree of noise and disturbance. Public events are generally advertised in order to attract a crowd and are often charitable events the local communities want to support. They are usually held earlier in the evening than the 23.00hrs cut off to encourage families with younger children
- 5.7 The Council will actively promote a public awareness campaign about the impact of fireworks on animal welfare and vulnerable people – including the precautions that can be taken to mitigate risks

## **6 Supply of low noise fireworks**

- 6.1 The RSPCA and other organisations have asked Councils to encourage local suppliers of fireworks to stock low noise fireworks for public and private display.
- 6.2 The Council will proactively approach all retailers within the Borough to stock low noise fireworks. However, the majority of these suppliers are selling for private events and to individuals as opposed to larger public displays which are often run by professional firework display companies.
- 6.3 These companies would be picked up with the guidance and advice proposed to be targeted at known organisers of public displays within the Borough.
- 6.4 Also, in the past few years there is already a move towards more environmentally friendly products as well as alternative “displays” such as the use of small drones as demonstrated at New Years Eve display in London.

## **7 Financial Implications**

- 7.1 **None**

## **8 Risk Implications**

- 8.1 None

## **9 Legal Implications**

9.1 As mentioned above the enforcement of a ban on the use of loud fireworks would be very difficult to enforce and would require significant officer effort to prove the use of loud fireworks. Also, the only recourse the Council would have would be to ban the operator from using our land again there are currently no other legal routes available to the Council.

## 10 Conclusion

10.1 Loud fireworks can impact on both animals and vulnerable people in the Borough. The Council has the ability to influence those people organising events within the Borough to do what they can to minimise the impacts of their displays on the wider community.


10.2 Although the Council does not receive a significant number of complaints in relation to fireworks we are often lobbied by the RSPCA and other organisations to do what we can to influence those running displays to reduce the noise impact of the fireworks used.

10.3 Therefore, it is proposed the Council take the actions highlighted in the recommendations to do what it can to reduce the impact of fireworks on animals and the vulnerable people.

## Background Papers

### [RSPCA bang out of order](#)

Area for consideration	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	None
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	None
Existing Council Policies:	Banning of Chinese lanterns and balloon releases.
Financial Implications (including VAT and tax):	None
Legal Implications (including human rights):	As above
Risk Implications:	None
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	None
Crime & Disorder:	N/A
Every Child Matters:	N/A



**URN: 22-192**

**Subject: Renewal of Public Space Protection Order NO.3- Dog Control**

**Report to: Environment Committee – 7<sup>th</sup> March 2023**  
**Council – 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2023**

**Report by: Paul Shucksmith – Environmental Protection and Waste Manager**  
**James Wilson – Head of Environment and Sustainability**

#### **SUBJECT MATTER**

**Public Protection Order No.3 was introduced in 2017 to update legal requirements on dog owners/walkers around dog control and removing waste after their dog has fouled. The Order was extended in 2020 and this extension is due to expire on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023. This report seeks member approval to extend it by a further three years.**

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

- **That the Environment Committee recommends to Council to agree to the Order being extended by a further three years.**

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1.** The Anti-Social Behaviour (Crime and Policing) Act 2014 provided local authorities with a range of powers to assist in tackling anti- social behaviour (ASB) within the community. One of these powers is the Public Space Protection Order (PSPO)
- 1.2.** PSPO'S are designed to replace and streamline a range of powers such Byelaws and other types of Orders which have historically been available to local authorities. They can be used to prevent or address behaviour carried out in the public space which have had, are having or could have a detrimental effect on other people in the locality.
- 1.3.** Councils may make a PSPO where there is an evidenced need and as part of its implementation must consult with the Police and appropriate community representation.
- 1.4.** PSPO's last for a duration of up to three years after which time they must be reviewed and renewed if they are still required

1.5. Currently the Council has three PSPO's. PSPO No.1 relates to alcohol related anti-social behaviour, PSPO No.2 relates to vehicle related anti-social behaviour and PSPO No.3 related to dog control.

## 2. Background To PSPO No.3

2.1. In 2017 the Borough Council introduced Public Space Protection Order No.3. This PSPO covers the issue of dog control on publicly accessible land including putting legal requirements on dog walkers to clear up after their dog has fouled, designated areas where dogs are banned from and where dogs must be leashed and enabling authorised Council Officers to require a dog be leashed where it is causing a nuisance or danger. For an overview of Public Space Protection Orders and background to dog control in Great Yarmouth please see Appendix 1.

2.2. PSPO No.3 was reviewed in 2020 and following a public consultation was renewed. The extension to the PSPO is due to expire on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2023 and consequently it must review and consider whether its requirements are still appropriate and whether to extend for a further three years.

2.3. As part of this review a public consultation exercise has taken place with partner agencies, charities and other appropriate bodies invited to feed into this. The Police and Crime Commissioner for Norfolk and Norfolk Constabulary have also been invited to take part in the Consultation as they are statutory consultees.

## 3. Requirements of the PSPO

3.1. PSPO No.3 updated existing byelaws bringing a variety of dog related control measures all under a single Order. A copy of the existing PSPO had been provided as Appendix 2. The following is an overview of the requirements:

- **Failing to pick up after a dog has fouled (Boroughwide).** It makes it an offence for a person in charge of a dog to fail to clear up after their dog(s) foul on publicly accessible land.
- **Dogs on lead by request (Boroughwide).** This allows authorised officers to direct an owner to leash their dog if it is considered to be out of control, causing alarm or distress or causing a nuisance. This covers all publicly accessible land the offence is not comply with the Officers direction.
- **Dogs on lead requirement (Select location).** Dogs are required to be leashed upon entering specific locations, in the interest of hygiene, preventing nuisance and/or promoting respect. Locations include Great Yarmouth Old and New

Cemetery, Caister Cemetery and select play areas where residents must walk through them to access their property.

- **Dog ban (Select locations).** In the interest of hygiene, safety and/or promoting respect dog are not permitted in Magdalen Lawn Cemetery and Gorleston Old Cemetery or fenced children's play areas, fitness areas, skate parks and multi-use games areas.
- **Seasonal controls (From 1<sup>st</sup> May to 31<sup>st</sup> September).** To minimise risk of issues between dogs and beach user's, dogs are banned during the tourist season on the beach at Great Yarmouth (between the two piers) and Gorleston (from the Harbour's mouth to The Ravine) plus a dogs on lead requirement on the adjacent section of promenade at the two location during the same period.

**3.2.** Anyone failing to comply with any of the requirements can be issues with a fixed penalty notice (currently set at £80 reduced to £60 if paid within ten days) or prosecuted with a maximum penalty of £1000.

**3.3.** Exemptions are in place for the requirement to clear after a dog has fouled and sites where dogs are banned for assistance dogs.

#### **4. Dog related complaints and enforcement**

**4.1.** The requirements of PSPO No. 3 are very much directed at preventing and addressing detrimental and unreasonable behaviour and providing the necessary powers to Officer's to deal with dogs which are causing a nuisance. It is felt it is proportionate without putting wholesale restrictions on dog walkers e.g., providing areas of the beach where dogs are banned from but also providing other areas where they can still be exercised

**4.2.** Since the implementation of the PSPO several successful prosecutions and other formal actions have been taken against persons breaching it. Anecdotal evidence from the Officers who enforce is that the PSPO has proven to be appropriate to the work they undertake. However, given that it is an emotive subject, complaints are still routinely received regarding dog control and fouling issues and as a result Officers do feel that these control measures are still required and that the PSPO should be renewed.

Year	Prosecutions	FPN's	Cautions	CPW/Formal Warnings
2014	3	8	1	
2015	9	5	1	3
2016	10		1	1
2017	5			1
2018	5			
2019	3	5		
2020	4	3		
2021	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
2022		1	1	

**4.3.** You will note figures have reduced since covid mainly due to the fall in complaints and the Rangers, who enforce this legislation being tasked with a lot more project work and focusing on flytipping.

**4.4.** Complaints regarding dog fouling are the second highest type of complaint received by the Environmental Rangers. There does tend to be a seasonal trend with dog fouling with more complaints in the Winter months when nights are darker. Number of complaints over previous years are detail below:

Year	Number of dog fouling complaints
2019/20	130
2020/21	107
2021/22	128
April 2022-Dec 22	88

**4.5.** Dogs bans and dogs on lead requirements are very much preventative measures and complaints regarding breaches are minimal. Where complaints are received the Rangers take a very much educational approach patrolling the area and providing guidance to anyone not adhering to the requirements. In such cases persons thus far have then been found to become compliant either leashing their dog or leaving the ban area and there has been no reason to pursue any further action.

**4.6.** Since the introduction of PSPO No.3 there has been no complaints about the nature of its requirements or any legal challenge to its appropriateness.

## **5. Consultation**

**5.1.** For the implementation or renewal of a PSPO the Council are obliged to seek the views of the Police and relevant community representation. A formal public

consultation was commenced 24<sup>th</sup> October 2022 and was appropriately advertised as is required. Closing date for the consultation was 20<sup>th</sup> November 2022.

- 5.2. In total 82 consultation responses were received and in addition a letter of response was received from The Dogs Trust. Full consultation feedback can be found in Appendix 3 which also provides individual responses. Of the responses received 93% were from residents of the Borough and 3.5% from visitors. There was a single response from a business and two from Parish Councils.
- 5.3. 62.2% of the responses received were persons who identified themselves as being dog owners.
- 5.4. In relation to dog fouling all respondents felt that the Council should continue its requirements under the PSPO that dog walkers should clear up after their dog has fouled.
- 5.5. 81 out of the 82 respondents felt that the Council should continue its requirements under the PSPO that allow Officer to require a dog be leashed upon request where it is causing a nuisance
- 5.6. With regard to dogs on leash requirements the following responses were received that the locations stated should still have requirement on the land:

Location	Agree	Disagree
Caister, Great Yarmouth Old and New Cemeteries	92.7%	7.3%
Playgrounds (access to properties)	87.8%	12.2%

- 5.7. With regard to dog bans the following responses were received that the locations stated should still have dogs banned from them:

Location	Agree	Disagree
Magdalen Lawn and Gorleston Old Cemetery	70.3%	20.7%
Fenced play areas, skate parks, fitness areas and multi games areas	93.9%	6.1%

- 5.8. 85.3% of respondent's agreed that the current seasonal dogs ban on the beaches and dogs on lead requirements on the prom were appropriate and should continue
- 5.9. The Dogs Trust have provided a position statement on PSPO's generally. They are not against PSPO's as long as its take into account the needs and welfare of dogs. They

fully support the requirement to clear up after a dog has fouled and the ability for officers to require that a dog is leashed where it is a nuisance. They agree with dog bans and dogs on leash requirements where there is a clear need for them such as children's play areas. They feel where bans and leash requirements are implemented in other areas they should only be done so where there is adequate alternative provision locally for dog walkers to exercise their dog e.g., not banning dogs from the entire length of beaches.

## **6. Conclusions/Recommendations**

**6.1.** When the PSPO was introduced in 2017 consideration was given to the needs of dog walkers against the health, safety and welfare of the other users. The existing requirements in PSPO No.3 were introduced to prevent nuisance, for hygiene safety reasons and minimising risk between dogs and other users in certain areas. Dog bans and dogs on leash requirements were only implemented in areas where it was necessary to prevent unreasonable behaviour and/or where there were clear alternative areas for dogs to be exercised. With the majority of person's responding to the consultation identifying themselves as residents of the Borough and also as being dog owners those persons most affected by the PSPO would agree that the measures are appropriate and support the renewal of the PSPO in its current form. Based on this it is recommended that the Order is renewed for a further three years from 1<sup>ST</sup> April 2023.

**6.2.** Members are therefore recommended:

- To consider the feedback and comments received from the Public Consultation held around PSPO No.3
- That PSPO No.3 should be renewed for a further three years

## **7. Financial Implications**

**7.1.** There are no current direct financial implications identified

## **8. Legal Implications**

**8.1.** Section 72 of the 2014 Act requires that in deciding whether to extend a PSPO the Council must have regards to the rights of freedom of expression and freedom of assembly and association set out in articles 10 and 11 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

**8.2.** Whilst acknowledging that the proposed Order could potentially infringe on an individual's human rights, including the right to respect for private life and potentially the right to freedom of assembly and association, it is considered that these qualified



rights may in the this instance be interfered within the interests of public safety , the prevention of crime and disorder and in accordance with the law.

- 8.3.** As required under section 72 of the 2014 Act the Council has carried out the necessary consultation and notification before deciding whether to extend and amend the PSPO or not. If the extension is agreed a notice will be published identifying that the Order has been extended.

**9. Risk Implications**

- 9.1.** Should the PSPO not be renewed its requirements would no longer be enforceable. Where a PSPO does expire any pre-existing Byelaws within the Borough would automatically become re-enacted. This would mean that there would be some dog control coverage including a requirement to clear up after a dog has fouled albeit there would not be the breadth of land that the requirement would cover within the Borough as there would be under the PSPO. However, it should be remembered that the reasons for originally introducing the PSPO was because these byelaws which were made at various times during 1980’s and 90’s were fragmented, inconsistent and did not cover many sites where controls measures were needed.

<b>Area for consideration</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	No
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	No
Existing Council Policies:	No
Financial Implications (including VAT and tax):	No
Legal Implications (including human rights):	Yes
Risk Implications:	No
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	No
Crime & Disorder:	Yes
Every Child Matters:	No

## Appendix 1



# Overview of Public Space Protection Orders

## What Are Public Space Protection Orders?

Public Space Protection Orders (PSPO's) were introduced as part of the reforms made under the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. They are designed to replace and streamline a range of powers such as byelaws and Dog Control Orders which have historically been available to local authorities to deal with anti-social behaviour.

PSPO's are designed to target a range of anti-social behaviour that adversely affects other people using the same public space including dog control. Historically Byelaws and more recently Dog Control Orders were made to cover a number of offences including dog fouling, banning dogs from sites and requiring dogs to be kept leashed.

In 2005 The Clean Neighbourhoods Act was enacted which included Dog Control Orders and as a result no new Byelaws relating to these offences could be made – although any existing Byelaws could still be enforced.

Unlike a Byelaw which had to be confirmed by the Secretary of State, Public Space Protection Orders can be made locally but consultation must be carried out with appropriate community representation. Unlike Dog Control Orders which could also be implemented by secondary authorities PSPO's can only be implemented by primary authorities. PSPO's last for duration of three years at which time they must be reviewed and renewed if they are still required.

## Background To Dog Control In the Borough

The Borough Council carried out an in-depth review of its dog control measures in 2016/17 and introduced PSPO No.3 following a public consultation and this PSPO was then renewed in 2019/20. Prior to this the last review of dog law within the

Borough took place in 1996 and most of the Byelaws regarding dogs pre-dated this. These Byelaws were made over a period of many years and as a result there was inconsistency between them, for example the maximum penalty taking a dog onto a site covered by a dog ban ranged from £50 through to £500 dependent on the location and when the Byelaw covering the site was made. As a review had not taken place for a number of years, many sites requiring some form of dog control on it were not covered and other sites had control measures no longer appropriate or needed.

## **Public Space Protection Order Types and Offences**

Public Space Protection Orders are designed to address a range of anti-social behaviour that affect people whilst in the public domain. The test for the local authority to make a PSPO is that it must be satisfied on reasonable grounds that two conditions are met:-

1. Activities carried out in the public place are having, have had or will have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality

and

2. Activities are or are likely to be persistent, unreasonable and justify the restrictions imposed by the order

PSPO's can only apply to public place i.e any place to which the public, with or without payment, have access to as of right or by permission.

Specifically relating to dog control individual measures available to Local Authorities under PSPO's are the similar restrictions as what could previously be made as Dog Control Orders.

Theses are:-

- Failing to Remove Dog Faeces – Similar to the designation order made under The Dogs (Fouling of Land Act) 1996 which historically covered the Great Yarmouth Borough. An offence is committed where the person responsible for a dog fails to clear up forthwith after a dog has fouled on most public land and private land to which the public have access and is open to the air. Land types previously exempt from being designated under a designation order can be covered under a PSPO– including agricultural land, woodland, marshland,

moorland, common and heath land and roads with a speed limit of 50mph or above.

- Dogs on Lead Requirement – Makes it a requirement that when using a location with such an order on that all dogs must be kept leashed.
- Dogs on Lead Request – Enables authorised officers, on land which such an Order covers, to require that a dog is leashed and kept leashed. This is designed to be used where a dog is causing a nuisance or a hazard to itself or other users.
- Dog Bans – Bans dogs from entering a site covered by such an Order. This is most likely to be used in connection with children’s playgrounds.
- Specifying Maximum Number of Dogs – Puts a limit on how many dogs can be walked by one person on land covered by the Order. This was mainly introduced for inner city parks where professional dog walkers are more prevalent. DEFRA suggest that when considering such an Order expert advice is that the maximum number of dogs that a person can control is six.

Additionally as PSPO’s are more flexible than the previous Dog Control Orders a PSPO can also be used-

- To put in place other restrictions or requirements to prevent any other activity that is considered to have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the area or locality, or is likely to have such an effect.

This does mean that other dog control restrictions can be introduced which are outside of the usual prescribed measures such as bans or leash requirements. However, any other restrictions which are introduced must be reasonable and not arbitrary.

There are exemptions that should be considered when making a PSPO such as those people with disabilities who make use of trained assistance dogs. Guidance would suggest that anyone using any type of assistance dog is not subject to a Banning Order in respect of their assistance dog, and anyone other than a registered deaf person (whose disability will not prevent him or her from being aware of and removing dog foul) is exempt from any Dog Fouling Control Order. Additionally PSPO’s should not restrict the normal activities of working dogs.

Penalties for a breach of a PSPO IS a fine of up to £1000 upon prosecution or, as an option, a Fixed Penalty Notice can be offered – for Great Yarmouth this is currently set as £80 or reduced to £60 if paid within ten days.



## **THE ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR, CRIME AND POLICING ACT 2014 GREAT YARMOUTH BOROUGH COUNCIL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDER No.3**

1. This Order is made by Great Yarmouth Borough Council ("the Council") under section 59 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 ("the Act") and this order may be cited as the Great Yarmouth Borough Council Public Spaces Protection Order No.3
2. The Council is satisfied that:
  - (a) Activities being the fouling of land by dogs, failure to control dogs and/or the presence of dogs carried on in the restricted areas as described below in Article 5 and Schedules 1 and 2 have had or are likely to have a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or it is likely that these activities will be carried on in the restricted areas and they will have such an effect.
  - (b) The effect, or likely effect, of the activities is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature and is, or likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable and justifies the restrictions imposed by this Order.
  - (c) The restricted areas in respect of Articles 5(1) and 5(2) of this Order for the avoidance of doubt include but are not limited to all public highway including footway, verge and footpath, all public parks, pleasure grounds, sports grounds, recreation grounds, playing fields, cemeteries, beaches, foreshores, promenades and play areas where these are open to the public as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission.
3. Under section 67 of the Act it is an offence for a person without reasonable excuse to do anything that the person is prohibited from doing by a public spaces protection order, or to fail to comply with a requirement to which the person is subject under a public spaces protection order. A person does not commit an offence under S67 of the Act by failing to comply with a prohibition or requirement that the local authority did not have the power to include in the public spaces protection order.
4. This Order comes into force at midnight on 1<sup>st</sup> April 2017 for a period of 3 years unless extended under section 60 of the Act.

## **5. REQUIREMENTS AND PROHIBITIONS**

### **5(1) FOULING - FAILURE TO REMOVE DOG FAECES**

Subject to article 7 below if within the administrative area of the Council a dog defecates at any time on land to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission the person who is in charge of the dog at the time shall remove the faeces from the land forthwith.

### **5(2) DOGS ON LEADS BY ORDER**

A person in charge of a dog present on land within the administrative area of the Council to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission shall comply forthwith with a direction given to him by a Police Officer, Community Support Officer or an authorised Officer of the Council to put and keep the dog on a lead.

### **5(3) LEAD REQUIREMENTS**

A person in charge of a dog shall keep the dog leashed at all times, (or during the period specified in respect of that land in Schedule 1 if a period is stated), when present on any land detailed in Schedule 1 of this Order being land to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission which land is more specifically marked in blue on the plans attached to this Order.

### **5(4) EXCLUSION - DOG BAN**

Subject to article 7 below a person in charge of a dog shall not at any time (or during the period specified in respect of that land in Schedule 2 if a period is stated) take the dog onto, or permit the dog to enter or remain on, any land detailed in Schedule 2 of this Order being land to which the public or any section of the public has access, on payment or otherwise, as of right or by virtue of express or implied permission which land is more specifically marked in green on the plans attached to this Order (With the exception of the Council owned or managed fenced playareas, multi use games areas, skate parks and fitness areas. A list of these sites is available upon request from the Council and is also published on the Council's website).

## **6. OFFENCE**

A person failing to comply with a requirement or prohibition set out in articles 5(1),5(2),5(3) or 5(4) of this Order shall be guilty of an offence unless:-

- (a) he or she has a reasonable excuse for failing to do so; or
- (b) the owner, occupier or other person or authority having control of the land has consented (generally or specifically) to his or her failing to do so.

## 7. EXEMPTIONS

Nothing in part articles 5(1) and 5(4) of this Order shall apply to a person who:-

- (a) is registered as a blind person in a register compiled under section 29 of the National Assistance Act 1948; or
- (b) is deaf, in respect of a dog trained by Hearing Dogs for Deaf People (registered charity number 293358) and upon which he relies for assistance; or
- (c) has a disability which affects his mobility, manual dexterity, physical coordination or ability to lift, carry or otherwise move everyday objects, in respect of a dog trained by a registered charity and upon which he relies for assistance.

## 8. FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS ORDER

- 8(1) A person who habitually has a dog in his possession shall be taken to be in charge of the dog at any time unless at that time some other person is in charge of the dog.
- 8(2) Placing the faeces in a receptacle on the land which is provided for the purpose, or for the disposal of waste, shall be sufficient removal from the land to satisfy the requirement of article 5(1).
- 8(3) The Council does not consider being unaware of the defecation (whether by reason of not being in the vicinity or otherwise), or not having a device for or other suitable means of removing the faeces is a reasonable excuse for failing to remove the faeces as required by article 5(1).
- 8(4) For article 5(2) of this Order an "authorised Officer of the Council" means an employee, partnership agency or contractor of the Council who is authorised in writing by the Council for the purposes of giving directions under this Order.
- 8(5) In respect of article 5(2) of this Order a Police Officer, Community Support Officer or an authorised Officer of the council may only give a direction under this Order if in their opinion such restraint is reasonably necessary to prevent a nuisance or to prevent behaviour by the dog that is likely to cause annoyance or disturbance to any person, or to a bird or another animal.

## 9. PENALTY

A person who is guilty of an offence under this Order shall be liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.


# GREAT YARMOUTH BOROUGH COUNCIL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDER No.3

## SCHEDULE 1

### LAND TO WHICH THE DOGS ON LEAD REQUIREMENT SHALL APPLY


- Promenade adjacent to the beach between Wellington Pier and Britannia Pier, Great Yarmouth - To operate from 1<sup>st</sup> May to 30<sup>th</sup> September each year
- Great Yarmouth (New) Cemetery North, Kitchener Road, Great Yarmouth
- Great Yarmouth (Old) Cemetery South, Kitchener Road, Great Yarmouth
- Great Yarmouth (Caister) Cemetery, Ormesby Road, Caister on Sea
- Promenade adjacent to the beach between the breakwater and Ravine, Gorleston - To operate from 1<sup>st</sup> May to 30<sup>th</sup> September each year
- Elder Green Playarea, Elder Green, Gorleston
- Hertford Way Playarea, Hertford Way, Gorleston
- Pine Green Playarea, Pine Green, Gorleston
- Clarendon Close (North) Playarea, Clarendon Close, Great Yarmouth
- Clarendon Close (South) Playarea, Clarendon Close, Great Yarmouth
- Dorset Close Playarea, Dorset Close, Great Yarmouth
- Howard Street South Playarea, Howard Street South, Great Yarmouth
- King Street Multi Use Games Area (MUGA), King Street, Great Yarmouth
- Sidney Close Playarea, Sidney Close, Great Yarmouth






© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
 © Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340





© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
 © Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340



Dogs on Lead Requirement - Great Yarmouth (Caister) Cemetery  
 1:5,000





© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
© Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340





© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
 © Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340



Dogs on Lead Requirement - Clarendon Close North

1:400


© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
 © Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340









© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
© Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340











# GREAT YARMOUTH BOROUGH COUNCIL PUBLIC SPACES PROTECTION ORDER No.3

## SCHEDULE 2

### LAND TO WHICH THE DOG EXCLUSION/BAN SHALL APPLY

- All Council owned or managed fenced play areas
- All Council owned or managed fenced fitness areas
- All Council owned or managed fenced skate parks
- All Council owned or managed Multi Use Games Areas (MUGA) with the exception of King Street, Great Yarmouth
- Runham Playarea, Thrigby Road, Runham
- The beach between Wellington Pier and Britannia Pier, Great Yarmouth - To operate from 1<sup>st</sup> May to 30<sup>th</sup> September each year
- The beach between the breakwater and Ravine, Gorleston - To operate from 1<sup>st</sup> May to 30<sup>th</sup> September each year
- Magdalen Lawn Cemetery, Oriel Avenue, Gorleston
- Gorleston Old Cemetery, Magdalen Way, Gorleston











© Copyright Norfolk County Council  
© Crown copyright and database rights 2012 Ordnance Survey 100019340





**Dated:** ...23 March 2017.....

The common seal of Great Yarmouth  
Borough Council was affixed in the presence  
of



**Signature:** ..........

Authorised Officer – Jane Beck,  
Director of Customer Services, Great Yarmouth Borough Council

**CHALLENGING THE VALIDITY OF ORDERS**

An interested person may apply to the High Court to question the validity of:-

- a. This Order, or
- b. A future variation of this Order.

“interested person” means an individual who lives in the restricted area or who regularly works or visits that area.

An interested person may apply to the High Court within six weeks from the date in which the Order is made, on the grounds that:

- a. The Council did not have the power to make the order or variation, or to include particular prohibitions or requirements imposed by the Order (or by the Order as varied);
- b. A requirement under Chapter 2 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014 was not complied with in relation to the Order or variation.



type	createdby	createdon	comments
FORM_PS PO3SURVE Y2022	ANONYMOUS	18-11-2022 16:48:35	
FORM_PS PO3SURVE Y2022	ANONYMOUS	18-11-2022 10:42:43	<p>The renewal of existing PSPOs in Belton refers to: Public Spaces Protection Order No 3: dog ban sites Belton:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bell Lane play area</li> <li>Bell Lane Multi Use Games Area</li> <li>Nursery Close play area</li> </ul> <p>Public Spaces Protection Order No 3: dog ban sites - Great Yarmouth Borough Council (<a href="http://great-yarmouth.gov.uk">great-yarmouth.gov.uk</a>)</p> <p>Also Schedule 2: land to which the dog exclusion/ban shall apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>all Council owned or managed fenced play areas</li> <li>all Council owned or managed fenced fitness areas</li> <li>all Council owned or managed fenced skate parks</li> <li>all Council owned or managed Multi Use Games Areas (MUGAs)</li> </ul> <p><a href="https://www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk/article/9021/Public-Spaces-Protection-Order-No-3-dog-control-2017">https://www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk/article/9021/Public-Spaces-Protection-Order-No-3-dog-control-2017</a></p>
FORM_PS PO3SURVE Y2022	ANONYMOUS	17-11-2022 21:01:17	Gorleston on seas beach, you have put dog can be not on a lead from certain dates. A dog came up to mine aggressive whilst my dog was on a lead therefore what is the saftey for dog owners who correctly put their dog on a lead. It should be all year round on the promenade.
FORM_PS PO3SURVE Y2022	ANONYMOUS	16-11-2022 15:18:15	Its just the same old outlawing of normal, legal and harmless behaviour that causes no problems due to the behaviour of a minority who will ignore the restrictions you seek to apply to everyone (with a dog) anyway.

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	15-11-2022 11:22:10	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	15-11-2022 11:06:27	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	12-11-2022 20:26:33	Council should empty bins around playing fields more often as always full and spilling out.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	09-11-2022 12:42:50	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	08-11-2022 07:48:25	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	07-11-2022 14:03:43	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 21:28:33	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 20:44:05	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 19:40:44	It would be great if something could be done to enforce dogs with no recall to be kept on leads... my dog is plagued by other dogs approaching him and is terrified. He's big and seen as aggressive (when in fact it is fear) where I am responsible ... they are not!

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 15:34:23	The area on Gorleston beach between Harbours Mouth and the Ravine should be dog free all year round not just from May to September. Small children should be allocated a clean, safe area to play without dogs mess and unruly dogs.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 15:06:42	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 14:04:44	Other open fields should require dogs to have a lead on. For example Mill and Green Lane. Too many people and dogs have been attacked to uncontrolled dogs. Furthermore, when you go down to the beach and walk along the prom in the morning, dogs are on the beach when they are not meant to be. However, there is no one to enforce this so one could argue, what is the point of this survey?
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 13:56:20	I believe that dogs should require a lead when on mill lane playing field. So many dog owners with their dogs being allowed to run up to you. They may well know their dog is friendly however my 2 year old is petrified of them. Walking through there 2 weeks after a c section a woman who clearly had no control of her ***** couldn't get it to come back. It was jumping at my then 1 year old. I had a newborn in the pram, instructed my then 2 year old daughter to get in the pram and picked my then 1 year old son up. The dog then jumped at me! This woman, like others had zero control and either needs the dogs to stay leashes on mill lane fields or find elsewhere to let dogs loose
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 13:31:43	Dogs are better controlled than some humans ...we pick after our dogs but also on the beaches pick up after irresponsible people..food packages, drinks bottles, toy packages, cans, bottles ...you can't blame dogs for this ....
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 13:02:48	Please do not penalize or tar all dog owners for the lack of responsibility of others.

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:54:30	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:51:10	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:42:18	All these points need to still be adhered too, we know through the media the problems which can occur when dogs are allowed to run free, children and people must continue to be protected from the few which are not friendly. Like humans there are dogs which are totally out of control, with no recall at all. Dog owners should not just let dogs off lead while they stand and chat and are not watching them. Walk with them to give the dogs and dog owners much needed exercise instead. Nothing is more frightening to some people than have a dog at speed running towards them. Any rules must be kept to protect everybody!!
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:41:50	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:13:47	More bins for owners can disguard of filled dog bags please..fed up of finding them thrown over our back garden fence, which backs onto cornfields play area :o(
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 12:00:56	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 11:54:27	



FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 11:40:12	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 11:32:02	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	06-11-2022 10:30:45	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	04-11-2022 12:01:16	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	02-11-2022 23:12:49	As a dog owner it is the responsibility of that person to keep their dog under control and pick up when it fouls.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	01-11-2022 19:21:29	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	01-11-2022 11:25:18	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	01-11-2022 11:19:08	It would be great to have easier enforcement of owners not picking up their dog mess
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	31-10-2022 12:22:02	All dog owners must pick up after their dogs however many do not. It is not unusual to see dog pooh in public places. I would like to see this problem highlighted and dog rangers more visible in the borough.

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	28-10-2022 13:35:24	I would like to see an all year ban on the part of Gorleston beach where there is currently a partial ban. There is plenty of beach space available for dogs to be walked without this area; not everyone likes dogs rushing around them. Also, not all dog owners clear up after their dogs, so that mess is left where children play in the sand - it never gets washed away as the sea very rarely washes the beach now. Please can we have one space kept dog free?
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	28-10-2022 09:42:33	Please patrol Harper's Lane in Bradwell, this is a well known spot for dog fouling. It is constantly a problem there, always heavy dog fouling.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	27-10-2022 21:32:32	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	27-10-2022 14:37:59	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	27-10-2022 14:28:51	

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	27-10-2022 08:20:20	<p>The measures for dog control are perfect but the only problem is that nobody controls that these rules are kept. Gorleston promenade - dogs must be kept on leads but they are running free . Summer time dogs must be banned from the beaches but nobody controls so Gorleston and Great Yarmouth beaches are full of dogs and dogs mess left on the beautiful beaches . Owners do not clean after dogs and very often I could find dogs poo on my door step as I live on very busy Bells road. Unlike British parks, where the primary purpose for most visitors is to have a place to let your dog run wild and free like a lunatic, Australian parks are much more people orientated (possibly something to do with all the picnics, sports and general activity that the good weather allows). There are strict rules on which parks you can and can't let your dog off the lead, and some have on and off leash zones and even time periods.</p> <p>One dog owner told me their local park ranger is an ex-police officer who hides in the bushes to catch people breaking the rules and fine them! She once jumped out at her and accused her of not picking up her dog's poo, even though she was already pulling out a plastic bag!</p>
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	27-10-2022 06:34:41	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 21:11:58	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 17:59:35	

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 16:54:00	<p>I believe that further measures are required, such as extending the area for dogs to be leashed throughout the all beach areas and open spaces such as Beaconsfield Park due to amount of public in the vicinity. I also believe that the current order restrictions should be extended to a full year, rather than just for the holiday season.</p> <p>There is endless amounts of dog faeces along North Drive beach, which I believe is mainly due to two reasons. One is motor homes / day trippers parking up and letting their dogs run off the lead on the beach while they stay on the promenade, which I have personally witnessed. Secondly, the fact that people let their dogs of the lead, they cannot keep observing what their dog does when it runs over a dune etc, so that dog has no-one in control of what they are doing. They then call the dog back to them after the dog has done something with no attempt to check to see if the dog has fouled. I believe that in future cameras should be installed in these areas along the promenade and the majority of people who fail to clean after can be therefore identified and dealt with accordingly.</p>
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 15:47:06	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 14:09:56	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 11:40:33	Dogs should be kept under control at all times and not left off a leash if they bother people and other dogs
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 11:31:04	Should be permanently upheld due to the large increase in dogs and incompetent owners.

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 11:04:22	this box doesnt work! There is a growing arrogance in dog owners, and they should be aware that dogs are large predators and disrupt the wildlife and can upset/scare humans. Dogs should be on leads at all times if the owner does not have perfect control
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	26-10-2022 10:52:04	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 22:41:54	The order is not being enforced. Lots of dog mess everywhere. Why not provide free dog poo bags attached to posts in worst areas. Have seen this practice elsewhere.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 22:34:53	Please consider a dog ban on Winterton beach for part of the year. And that dogs should only be allowed on the Winterton Dunes SSSI on a leash.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 21:10:39	we have to live here all year round and need somewhere you can let a dog off lead maybe between certain times in summer and any time in winter
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 20:18:29	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 18:56:25	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 18:15:32	People are not pick up near the beach in the Night and also people let dog off lead in the night in Caister-On-Sea
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 17:29:24	More monitoring as many owners ignoring signs . Dog owner in gorleston beach hut totally irresponsible throwing ball onto beach for dog from deckchair
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 17:10:12	

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 16:49:54	There needs to be more dog wardens monitoring dog fouling
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 15:46:32	Dog owners should behave responsibly whether or not enforcement is in place - most do though
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 13:15:43	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 12:34:55	the need enforcing across the borough rather than just Great Yarmouth. Gorleston has poo on paths and alley ways but nothing gets done.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 11:00:06	Mag playing field covered in dog mess dangerous to children playing football each week. The walk to schools on mag is covered in dog mess kids regularly stand it in.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 08:35:44	I believe the section of Gorleston beach which bans dogs in the summer should be dog free all year ,as small children use this area all year.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 07:06:21	More signs to make clear to all. Not fair that some do comply and others don't. Good signage will ensure clarity.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	25-10-2022 06:25:17	I work at a business on the promenade every day. I see NO enforcement of any of the current rules. Unless there is some visible presence of community marshalls or other council staff with the power to enforce these rules, they are meaningless.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 21:44:19	

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 16:24:48	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 16:24:20	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 16:09:13	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:50:37	Somebodys dog is fouling the pavement outside our house in Dorothy Avenue, but it is late at night so can't see them. A fresh "deposit" is left every couple of days. Do you have signs that we could purchase to put on our wall please?
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:42:01	Responsible caring dog owners will be in full agreement. It is the minority who create problems for the rest of us with their thoughtless and irresponsible behaviour to dog ownership.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:36:53	Need environmental wardens and fines imposed for not picking up dogs poo. Publicise widely so that people understand they will be fined. At the moment few irresponsible owners don't care because chances of fitting caught are minimal. Even
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:28:57	Can we have dogs leashed on Emerald Park please instead of running wild. Feel sorry for the poor children playing football in dog poo.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:17:39	As a dog owner for most of my life I would like to see ALL dogs kept on a lead in any public place. There are now several secure facilities ( some may charge) in the area to allow dogs to run in a safe and enclosed field off the lead.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 15:01:19	Dogs should be on a lead in all public spaces. I have a nervous dog after being attacked when she was a puppy, she's never off lead and has a 100ft training lead for open spaces, beaches etc. Please consider this

FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 14:02:53	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 13:40:57	More bins for dog excrement, fines for dog fouling, dogs permanently on a lead along promenade.
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 13:34:22	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 13:00:39	
FORM_PS ANONYMOUS PO3SURVE Y2022	24-10-2022 11:12:18	



Received Dogtrust  
via Mail

1st November  
2022

As the UK's largest dog welfare charity, we would like to make some comments for consideration.

#### Dogs Trust's Comments

##### 1. Re; Fouling of Land by Dogs Order:

- Dogs Trust consider 'scooping the poop' to be an integral element of responsible dog ownership and would fully support a well-implemented order on fouling. We urge the Council to enforce any such order rigorously. In order to maximise compliance we urge the Council to consider whether an adequate number of disposal points have been provided for responsible owners to use, to consider providing free disposal bags and to ensure that there is sufficient signage in place.
- We question the effectiveness of issuing on-the-spot fines for not being in possession of a poo bag and whether this is practical to enforce.

##### 2. Re; Dog Exclusion Order:

- Dogs Trust accepts that there are some areas where it is desirable that dogs should be excluded, such as children's play areas, however we would recommend that exclusion areas are

dogbancemeteries	dogbanplayareas	dogfoulingboroughwi de	dogowner
Agree	Agree	Agree	No
Agree	Agree	Agree	No

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Yes

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

---

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

---

Disagree	Agree	Agree	No
----------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	No
----------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

---

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

---

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Yes

---

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes



Agree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Yes

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

Agree

Agree

Agree

No

---

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Yes
----------	----------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Disagree	Agree	Yes
-------	----------	-------	-----

---

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	No
----------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

---

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Disagree	Agree	Agree	Yes
----------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Yes
----------	----------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

---

Disagree	Agree	Agree	No
----------	-------	-------	----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	Yes
-------	-------	-------	-----

Agree	Agree	Agree	No
-------	-------	-------	----

---



dogsonleadbo roughwide	dogsonleadscemeteries	dogsonleadsplaygrounds
Agree	Agree	Agree
Agree	Agree	Agree

Agree	Agree	Agree
Disagree	Disagree	Disagree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree



---

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

---

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

---

Agree                      Agree    Disagree

Agree                      Agree    Agree

Agree                      Agree    Disagree

Agree                      Agree    Agree

Agree                      Agree    Agree

Agree                      Agree    Agree

Agree                      Agree    Disagree

Agree                      Agree    Agree

Agree                      Agree    Disagree

---

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Disagree

Disagree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

---

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

Agree

---

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Disagree      Disagree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Disagree      Disagree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

---

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree

---



Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

Agree                  Disagree                                  Agree

Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

Agree                  Disagree                                  Agree

Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

Agree                  Agree                                  Agree


Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

Agree                  Agree                                  Agree

---


Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree



Agree      Agree      Agree

Agree      Agree      Agree



Agree      Agree      Agree

---



behalforganisation	otherrelationship	postcode
		NR31 9PU
	Belton with Browston Parish Council	NR31 6EF

		NR33 9LA
		NR31 0DF

Parish Council

NR29 3ES

NR31 8HJ

NR318QB

NR31 8HG

NR31 8HT

NR31 8QQ

NR14 6PW

NR31 8PB

NR31 9UG

---

NR31 8SD

NR31 8SH

NR31 8SY

NR318SY

NR318SH

NR31 8HX

---

NR31 8TQ

NR31 9GE

NR31 8QB

NR31 8SF

NR31 8RN

NR31 8NR

NR318PG

---

NR31 8SD

NR31 8PF

NR31 8PG

NR31 8TB

NR317DS

NR293LS

NR31 8SB

NR31 8QG

NR30 4LU



---

NR31 6EJ

NR31 8HE

NR29 4AL

NR31 8BQ

NR30 5JX

---

NR31 6AN

NR30 2LD

NR29 3JT

NR31 7AQ

NR30 4LT

NR31 8LQ

NR305HF

NR319PL

NR29 3SP

---

NR120SF

NR33 9EB

NR30 4LT

NR29 4BQ

NR30 2NA

NR31 9RB

NR30 3RB

NR30 5HX

NR317BW

NR310GX

---

NR30 5UF

NR31 0DA

NR293BX

NR31 7AX

NR31 7JW

NR317DY

NR29 3RJ

NR30 4EU

NR30 3HJ

---

NR31 7RF

NR310BN

NR30 4ND

NR31 8NR

NR31 7AQ

NR31 8PX

NR31 7HA

NR29 4LG

NR310BN

---

NR31 6DT

NR31 6PN

NR31 8TQ

NR30 2NN

NR30 2NA

---





relationship	seasonalcontrols
--------------	------------------

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
---	-------

Other	Agree
-------	-------

A visitor to Great Yarmouth	Disagree
-----------------------------	----------

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree
---	----------

Other	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Agree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Agree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Agree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Agree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Disagree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth Agree

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Disagree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Disagree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Disagree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Disagree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth



A visitor to Great Yarmouth	Agree
A visitor to Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A visitor to Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Disagree

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A business owner in the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of Agree  
the Borough  
of Great  
Yarmouth

A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree
A resident of the Borough of Great Yarmouth	Agree