AUDIT, RISK AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE



URN:

Report Title:Corporate Risk RegisterReport to:Management TeamAudit, Risk and Standards CommitteeDate of meeting:10 July 2023

Responsible Director / Officer: Corporate Risk Officer

EXECUITVE SUMMARY / INTRODUCTION

This report asks the Audit, Risk and Standards Committee to review the Corporate Risk Register to determine whether the register correctly reflect the risks affecting the Authority.

RECOMMENDATIONs:

It is recommended that the Audit, Risk and Standards Committee review the latest Corporate Risk Register.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Audit, Risk and Standards Committee is responsible for monitoring the arrangements in place for the identification, monitoring, and management of strategic and operational risk.
- 1.2 The Audit, Risk and Standards Committee last reviewed the register in January 2023.
- 1.3 This report informs the Audit, Risk and Standards Committee of the current corporate risk position.

2. Work to Date / Proposal

- 2.1 The Corporate Risk Register was last reviewed by Management Team in June 2023.
- 2.2 The risk matrix below shows how the risks identified in the Corporate Risk Register are distributed. There are currently 28 risks included on the Corporate Risk Register. The risks considered to be outside the Councils risk appetite have action plans in place to mitigate or reduce the risk. The risks that fall within the risk appetite or acceptable have action plans in place to reduce the risk further.
- 2.3 Since presenting the Corporate Risk Register to the Audit, Risk and Standards Committee in January 2023 there has been two new risks added to the register in response to the change in the governance arrangements from the committee system to cabinet and one in relation to the new wholly owned company set up to deliver the services previously delivered by Great Yarmouth Borough Services:

Two risks have been added to the register:

- 23 Breach of Cabinet System
- 25 Management and Monitoring of Subsidiary Companies

Two Risks have been removed from the register:

- Emergence of new strain of Covid
- Creation of Local Authority Subsidiary Company for Operational Services Great Yarmouth Services Limited

			RISK MA		
5				7b – Cyber Security 5b – Impact of inflation rise	
4			 3 – Incident Management - Flood Risk 18b – Sufficient resources and resilience to ensure effective contract management 20 – Tree, open and play spaces Management 	5a - Local/National Economy 12a – Workforce Capacity 22 – IT systems capability and interaction	1 – Financial Stability 4b – Coastal Erosion
3		19 – Change Management	 6 – Compliance with General Data Protection Regulations (UK GDPR) 7a - Information Security 8 - Data quality 11 – Management of Major Projects 12b – Capacity and Resilience of the Control Centre 13 – Changes in government policies 16 - Infrastructure not being able to meet demand 21 – Loss of Reputation 23 – Change to Cabinet System 	 17 - Business improvement in the major leisure facilities under delivers 18a – Sufficient resources and resilience to ensure effective procurement 26 – Failure to reduce Carbon footprint / failure to embrace sustainability and mitigate the impact of climate change 27 – Confidential Risk 28 – Confidential Risk 	2 – Cost of Living Crisis 4a– Flood and Coastal Management 17 – BT upgrade network & Caister exchange upgrade
2			 9 - Event Management – for large scale events held in the Borough 24 - Gaps in Insurance cover - insurers reducing cover in place with the result that Council assets may not be adequately covered 	10a - Business Continuity 14 - Delivery of long-term strategic objectives 15 - Lack of community cohesion / community tensions 25 – Management and Monitoring Subsidiary Companies	10b Business Continuity – National Power Outages 10c – Business Continuity – Rolling Power Outages
1	1	2	3	4	5

RISK MATRIX

Impact

Likelihood – 5 Very Likely, 4 Likely, 3 Fairly Likely, 2 Unlikely, 1 Very unlikely Impact – 5 Severe, 4 Significant, 3 Moderate, 2 Minor, 1 Negligible

Risk Rating Actions:							
Very High 20 - 25	Risk outside risk appetite. Action required to reduce rating to an acceptable level						
High 10 - 16	Risk outside risk appetite. Action required to maintain or reduce rating.						
Medium 5 - 9	Acceptable with some mitigating and contingency planning. Routine reviews should be carried out to ensure there is no change which makes them more severe						
Very Low 1 - 4	Within risk appetite but kept under review. No further action required unless risk become more severe						

Likelihood

3. Impact / Next Steps

3.1 The Corporate Risk Officer will present the Corporate Risk Register after its next review by Management Team in six months.

4. Financial Implications

4.1 See attached Corporate Risk Register.

5. Risk Implications

5.1 See attached Corporate Risk Register.

6. Legal Implications

6.1 See attached Corporate Risk Register.

7. Conclusions

7.1 The Corporate Risk Register currently reflects the risks affecting the Authority and where applicable action plans have been put in place to reduce the current scores and risk affecting the Authority.

8. Background Papers

Areas of consideration: e.g. does this report raise any of the following issues and if so how have these been considered/mitigated against?

Consultations	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	
Existing Council Policies:	
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	



CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Risk Rating Actions:

Very High	Risk outside risk appetite. Action required to reduce rating to an acceptable level
20 - 25	
High	Risk outside risk appetite. Action required to maintain or reduce rating.
10 - 16	
Medium	Acceptable with some mitigating and contingency planning. Routine
5 - 9	reviews should be carried out to ensure there is no change which makes
	them more severe
Very Low	Within risk appetite but kept under review. No further action required
1 - 4	unless risk become more severe

IMPACT OF RISK

Last Review:	CRG May 2023	Audit, Risk & Standards July 2023
Review Date:	July 2023	
Review By:		

Impact and Likelihood Matrix Explanation					
Risks are rated by two factors:					
IMPACT - the impact to the business of the identified risk should it be realised					
LIKELIHOOD - the likelihood that a risk will become a business issue					

		1	2	3	4	5	
			Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Severe
	5	Very Likely	Medium 5	High 10	High 15	Very High 20	Very High 25
LIKELIHOOD OF RISK	4	Likely	Very Low 4	Medium 8	High 12	High 16	Very High 20
	з	Fairly Likely	Very Low 3	Medium 6	Medium 9	High 12	High 15
	2	Unlikely	Very Low 2	Very Low 4	Medium 6	Medium 8	High 10
	1	Very Unlikely	Very Low 1	Very Low 2	Very Low 3	Very Low 4	Medium 5

DIRECTION OF TRAVEL					
\leftrightarrow	No change to current risk rating				
←	Current risk rating has reduced				
\rightarrow	Current risk rating has increased				

						Current Rating			
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
1	Financial sustainability	ELT	Unknowns about the future funding for Local Government including the operation of the business rates retention scheme		Review of the Medium Term Financial Strategy when the outcomes of the Fair Funding Review and review of the Business Rates Retention Scheme are announced.	4	5	20	Very High
			Longer term reliance on reserves not sustainable	Unplanned reductions to services and quality of delivery	Continued review of reserves and balances to manage cashflow, unexpected events and use of reserves to deliver longer term benefits				\leftrightarrow
			including business rates and council tax	reserves	Continued maintenance of the Medium Term Financial Strategy and delivery of the themes of the business strategy Member workshops and engagement as part of the budget and MTFS preparations				
			Reduced income from Joint Ventures	Annual savings and new income streams not achievable	Monitoring and scrutiny of all JV operations and Subsidiary Companies				
			Government funding	Changes to existing income streams Recyclable tonnage income / fail to get good rates for product	Continue to pro-actively respond to central government funding consultations				
			Reduced income from recycling credits	Recyclable tonnage income / fail to get good rates for product Lack of market for recyclates	Active member of the Norroik Waste Partnership (NWP)				

						Current Rating			
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
				Removal or changes to recycling credits	Levelling up funding and possible constraints due to current economic climate				
				Increased funding to support NEWS and MRF					
				Inability to deliver strategic objective					
2	Cost Of Living Crisis	ELT	Reduction in spending / budget decisions of partner organisations	Unfunded activity / funding opportunities	Working with partners to redesign and streamline service delivery / share resources	3	5	15	High
				Resources diverted from services	Working together to build capacity of communities				
			Consultation around spending reduction	Services have to be reduced / withdrawn	Understanding and responding to proposals				\leftrightarrow
			Housing related support cuts	Inability to deliver strategic objectives	Understanding funding requirements and protecting spend				
			Devolution	Increased demand placed on borough council services					
				Unmet demand					
3	Incident Management - Flood Risk	Head of Environment and Sustainability, Head of	Potential of flooding and tidal surge event in the short term and long term	Disruption of commercial activity	Emergency Response Plan / Recovery Plan	4	3	12	High
		Property & Asset Management, Executive Director - Major Projects,	More frequent flooding and tidal surges due to climate change	Health and safety issues	Emergency Response Plan. Numbers of rest centre managers have declined and proving difficult to recruit replacements. Will impact capability to support rest centres if multiple sites are opened. Proposal being prepared on how best to recruit rest centre managers.				
		Head of Growth & Capital	Failure of flood barriers	Inability to deliver services	Norfolk Strategic Flood Alliance established - emerging strategy under consideration. Action Plan in place.				\leftrightarrow
				Additional demands on resources to undertake post event inspections and repairs to coastal assets	Monitor weather regularly / EA flood warning system. Communities at risk of flood given risk warnings and updates ahead of flood issues occurring				
				Potential of additional support from the Council adding to the demands of the team	Liaising and working with partners and other organisations / agencies				
			Surface water flooding		Surface Water Management Plan - work underway with County to refresh				
4a		Head of Environment and Sustainability, Head of Property & Asset	Failure of flood and coastal defences	Impact on economy and increased business development for the Borough	Working with businesses to improve awareness.	3	5	15	High
		Management. Executive Director - Major Projects & Head of Growth & Capital Projects	Major flooding and Tidal Surge event	Reducing economic potential for the area	Long term plan to review, repair and renew flood defences				
			Sea Level Rise / Climate Change	Impact on infrastructure across the borough	Facilitation of community groups to work with coastal areas in relation to adaptations				\leftrightarrow
			Deteriation of assets and changes in beach processes	Health and Safety	Mott Macdonald Economic Business case is well established and agreed for river / flood defences to draw in/ attract funding				
				Risk to life or loss of public or private property, infrastructure and assets	Local Plan Part 2 - addresses relocation schemes & future development. emerging policy re: new development and flood risk adopted in December 2021				
			Extending the length of river frontage for which the Council have responsibility – current proposal of de-manning by the Environment Agency	Lack of resources to fund maintenance on watercourses transferred from EA control	Long term plan to review, repair and renew flood defences – engaging with Coastal Partnership East and the Environment Agency. EA and Suffolk and Norfolk Resilience Forum				
				Loss of environmental asset	EA funding - covers tidal and coastal				
			Limited availability for resources and finance for maintenance and repairs	Resources and financial implications to council for clear up	Emerging Environmental Net Zero Strategy				

-1. · · ·							Curre	ent Rating	Discuti 1
sk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
			Increased costs in construction and recruitment	Reputational risk dependent in event drivers and also Council's reaction and actions to the event	Managing reputation and media and comms across council				
			Single point of failure	Resilience of teams significantly reduced due to response work	Monitoring weather / surge reports and engagement with partners / agencies. Emergency response plans				
				Changes expectations of community and members	Defra funding				
		Head of Property and Asset	Erosion of cliffs and dunes	Impact on economy and increased business development for	There is currently very limited national assistance to facilitate adaptation and no duty for				
4b	Coastal Erosion	Management & Head of Environment and		the Borough	local authorities to fulfil this roll. However, Government Policy and the EA strategy now identify the need to adapt and transition	4	5	20	Very High
		Sustainability	Sea Level Rise / Climate Change	Reducing economic potential for the area	Working with businesses to improve awareness			•	
			Deteriation of assets and changes in beach	Impact on infrastructure across the borough. Number of	Analysis of monitoring data to assist with identification of change and locations at risk				<u>/</u> \
			processes	properties at immediate risk with further properties being lost in the short medium and long term	with reporting of data with homeowners on current situation and ongoing liaison with property owners at imminent risk				\leftrightarrow
			Limited availability for resources and	Health and Safety - possible health implications	Facilitation of community groups to work with coastal areas in relation to adaptations				
			finance for maintenance and repairs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			Increased costs in construction and recruitment	Unsafe coastline resulting in danger of life and Loss of property, infrastructure and assets.	Short term options for coastal erosion				
				People displaced and having to seek alternative accommodation, impacting on housing services	Emerging Environmental Net Zero Strategy				
			Members of the public are injured whilst	Impact on visitors/tourism in area, with coastal paths/beach	Emergency planning framework in place to deal with major incidents				
			on or near coastal asset or land	closed for safety purposes and unattractive coastline.	Emergency planning namework in place to dear with major incidents				
				Loss of environmental asset	Ongoing discussion with national government for assistance with adaptation and regular updating of erosion mapping.				
			Single point of failure	Resources and financial implications to council for clear up and	Development of approaches and investigation of funding approaches to enable				
				service provisions / resilience of teams significantly reduced due to response work	resourcing for adaptation.				
				Reputational damage	Managing reputation and media and comms across council				
				Changes expectations of community and members					
			Downturn in national / local economy	Increased workload for Council staff (Benefits/ Economic	Strong local economy (town deal and future high street successful funding)				
5a	Local/National Economy	CEO	Downtain in national y local economy	development/ homelessness)	strong local economy (town dear and ratare nigh street successful randing)	4	4	16	High
			Issue of Welfare Reform National Policy -	Increase in bad debts	Corporate planning				
			changes to benefit - universal credit rolled						\leftrightarrow
			out	Major projects put on hold and Inability to meet requirements	Monitoring backet of key indicators				
				of capital programme	Womtoring basket of key indicators				
			Supply chain shortages due to conflict	Downturn in housing market	Communications Strategy				
				Uncertainty lead to a downturn in economy	Great Yarmouth Economic Growth Strategy review				
			Increased cost of living, fuel shortages, construction costs, materials, plant and		Monitoring current inflationary pressures				
			resource availability and hyper inflation						
			Increased demand for Council services	Increased costs, increased demand for services, scarcity of	Budget monitoring and project forecasting - review all budgets and project contingency				
				labour, scarcity of goods and supplies or other operational					
5b	Impact of inflation rise	Management Team		impacts which impair the Councils ability to deliver services for		5	4	20	Very High
				people within the borough					
			Increased food costs	Community unrest					\leftrightarrow
			Increased costs for goods and services	Increased Joblessness and Homelessness	Homelessness funding				. /

						Current Rating		nt Rating	
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
			Financial hardship cases due to energy cap and rising in energy and food costs / loss of household income		Government support and funding / Household Support fund				
6	Compliance with UK General Data Protection Regulations (UK GDPR)	Management Team		All staff must comply with the new regulations from 25th May 2018. Data Protection Policy, Data Protection Impact Assessment Policy & Record Management Policy must be reviewed annually and updated where necessary. All requests for information must contain a Privacy Notice or a link to a Privacy Notice on our website	Publication Data Protection Policy and creation of Records Management Policy, Data Impact Assessment Policy and Breach Notification Procedure. Quarterly reports to ELT on data breaches and highlighting any serious breaches.	3	3	9	Medium
		Head of Legal & Governance	Staff not completing their Data Protection training	Fines and costs	GDPR training provided to all staff and members and repeated annually. GDPR training also forms part of new starter induction. HR required to be informed of any recruitment to add to eLearning system and all temporary staff and consultants required to complete training				\leftrightarrow
		Executive Director - Resources		Reputational damage / bad publicity resulting from Data Breaches	Procurement process has begun to replace or upgrade the non compliant computer systems. As part of this data records will be reviewed and those outside of their retention securely deleted				
		Management Team	Records outside of their retention are retained at various offsite locations	Insurance claims	A methodical review of offsite records has commenced, this is being carried out in a controlled manner with the support and oversight of the Data Protection Officer. Work in this area has had to be paused due to the Covid pandemic, redeployment of staff and lack of resources. Work commenced to progress in April / May 2023 with the aim of completing by end of October 2023.				
		Management Team	Capacity to undertake data cleansing and deletion.		Agile working policy covers / considers working from home and data protection.				
7a	Information Security	Management Team, IMT Manager & Head of Legal & Governance	Good management, protection of information	DWP refuse to correspond with GYBC electronicaly. Loss of capability to handle Housing Benefits and other issues	Security Policy and Digital Strategy. Information Security manager in post	3	3	9	Medium
			Number of cases of lost information by public and private bodies Need to attain criteria set by government to retain information flow with DWP (Department Works Pensions)	Information lost/mislaid therefore loss of reputation and potential legal claim	PSN Compliant / Accepted by DWP computer security (PSN) — Annual renewal Realisation that information includes paper, people and computer				\leftrightarrow
					Monthly Governance Board meetings - data sharing policy with NHS to share NHS and GP data. Further works to comply with NHS data security tool kit				
7b	Cyber Security	Management Team, IMT Manager & Head of Legal & Governance	Cyber threats upon the Council's systems - data / systems hacked	Data could be shared for criminal use etc. Breaches of GDPR and non-compliance with policies and procedures	IT security procedures and IT security policy. Ensuring third parties security measures meets required standards. Investigation / follow up process into Cyber breaches internally and externally	5	4	20	Very High
			conflict in Ukraine	Loss of IT Systems and Loss of Information	LGA Grant for Cyber Security and Cyber training				\leftrightarrow
			Services not recovered within reasonable timescale due to inadequate business continuity plans or loss of resilient capacity	Unable to deliver basic business services. Inability to recover to agreed service levels within agreed timescales	Information Technology Investment Group / Risk is a standing item on the agenda				
			Third Party supplier security meets required standards	Financial implications	Annual Cyber Security Health check undertaken by NCC				
8	Data quality	Management Team, IMT Manager & Head of Legal & Governance	Records not maintained accurately or securely	Inaccurate data used for decision making	IT security procedures and IT Security Policy	3	3	9	Medium
					Data Management & Data quality action plan and Data Cleansing				\leftrightarrow

							Curre	nt Rating	
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
9	Event Management - for large scale events held in the borough by a third party on Council Land	Head Marketing & Communications	Failure of event / duty of care	Cancellation / suspension of event	ESAG Group (Police, Highways, Ambulance Service, Fire Service, Coastguard and key GYBC staff), ESAG website and ESAG email address - ensure that we work together effectively through the ESAG structure to support the planning of large scale events and ensure we respond effectively if anything goes wrong	2	3	6	Medium
		Head of Environment and Sustainability		Major incident	Event Management Plan, Event Guidance and Guide to Councillors				\leftrightarrow
		Head of Property and Assets		Reputational damage / bad publicity	Member & Officer Groups				
					Involvement / consultation of key officers. External support to assist with the delivery of major events				
					Engagement of stakeholders				
			Loss of facilities (flooding, fire etc)	Service delivery impaired	Online Event Notification form and centralised mailbox Business Impact Assessments / 3rd Party Service Agreement				
10a	Business Continuity	Management Team	Loss of IT systems			2	4	8	Medium
				Inability to pay creditors/benefits	Information Technology Investment Group				\leftrightarrow
			Loss of reputation	Relocation of services	The Loop - web-based programme and external provider				
			Loss of staff time and system functionality		Insurance				
			Loss of staff (fuel strike, industrial action, single point of failure etc)	Resilience of teams significantly reduced due to response work	Capability exists for staff to work from home and support key services				
			Loss of temporary accommodation provider	Range of providers	Additional resource from NCC and monthly meetings with NCC to discuss SLA				
			Ukraine war		Business Continuity plans updated to included Agile Working				
			Energy outages / Fuel Strike		The UK have a range of reliable sources for oil imports. The UK is a significant producer of both crude oil and petroleum products, and holds oil stocks in the unlikely event of a major oil supply disruption. The Government has contingency plans in place to mitigate residual risks.				
	Business Continuity -		Severe weather disrupting power	7 days to recover -disruption to communications, vulnerable	The government undertook a programme of exercises at LRFs and a national exercise in				
10b	National Power Outages	Management Team	transmission	residents, community care, loss of key services and impact on NHS	March 2023	2	5	10	High
					The NRF managed a local workstream to understand how to address the key risks (communication, vulnerable residents, community care, how to manage a response without communications, loss of key services, NHS) alongside the national exercise.				\leftrightarrow
					GYBC resilient office identified at Wherry Way, recommendations made to implement emergency comms, refuelling process for generator and updated access for response staff. Paper prepared for Management Team with recommendations. Once approved recommendations will be implemented including response plan and training.				
					NRF resilience group created comms process				
	Business Continuity - Rolling Power Outages	Management Team	Rolling Power outages due to cold winter and disrupted supply	Disruption to community care, communications, emergency response and key services	Multi agency response to support the community	2	5	10	High
					Business Continuity template reviewed to include section on power loss				\leftrightarrow

							Curre	nt Rating	
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
11	Management of Major Projects	ELT	Failure to deliver project or project overspend / over running	Cancellation / suspension of project	Involvement / consultation of key officers	3	3	9	Medium
			Resourcing and capacity - failure to deliver project and obtain funding	Reputational damage / bad publicity	Strengthen project management internally and external project support for the management of significant project				\leftrightarrow
			The impact of increases to inflation, current issues with the supply chain and material prices e.g. steel, could have an impact on the delivery of key projects and contingency budget		Business Case and Risk Register / risk logs completed, monitored and updated. Review of contingency budgets and plans				
			Reduced opportunities to effectively manage and achieve financial requirements of assets.	Failure to met regeneration	Suite of documents created for setting up &, delivering and monitoring projects. Agreed governance structure for management of project				
			Funding withdrawn due to programme delays		Guidance on how to access assistance from Project Team and relevant service areas consulted at the outset				
			Project governance not in place		Dedicated officer to manage the risk / issue and governance of all projects going forward, which can be followed / implemented by other team members.				
			Failure to comply with funding agreement / conditions	Failure to met government and other external funders guidelines for spending / reduced or cancellation of funding	Understanding funding requirements and protecting spend				
					Strong local economy				
12a	Workforce Capacity	Management Team	Departure of key individual	Skills lost	Recruitment drive to fully staff and up resource new programmes of work	4	4	16	High
			Insufficient staff to undertake work / single point of failure	Negative impact on capacity of other staff	BC Plan for single points of failure				\leftrightarrow
			Difficulty of recruitment / failure to recruit staff of suitable expertise and calibre		Workforce Planning - Organisational Development Plan, personal reviews and succession planning				
				Buying in of consultancy/temporary staff	Business Strategy				
			Skills shortage / gaps in knowledge	Fines and costs	Agile working Policy. Emerging Health and Wellbeing Flexible Working Policy				
			Staff workload levels increased due to impact of responding to major incident and maintaining business as usual.	Increased demand for services and scarcity of labour / Delays in delivery of services	Monitoring of workload of staff at service, team and individual level. Additional short term staff resource (where possible) / Prioritisation of tasks / Risk Based approach				
			Lack of resource to deliver Health & Safety		Creation of Health & Safety Co-Ordinators. Partnership arrangements for Resilience Officer to improve resilience in these key areas				
			Failure to comply with Health & Safety legislation and corporate approach not consistently applied	Increased sickness absence	Employee Support Scheme and other wellbeing support				
				Reduced productivity	Maximisation of external funding to support service delivery				
12b	Capacity and Resilience of the Control Centre	Executive Director - People & Head Of Strategic Housing	Loss of staff due to sickness and resignation to deliver Control Centre Service and Out of Hours Service	Insufficient staff to deliver the service safely.	Cover from within existing team, however not sustainable owing to high staff absence.	3	3	9	Medium
				Shifts left uncovered	Recruitment to fully staff. Training will be required to be provided to those recruited			'	\leftrightarrow
					Temporary short-term cover from wider housing team with previous knowledge of the service.				

						Current Rating			
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
				Out of hours call not answered, emergency repairs not being delivered, statutory emergency response to emergencies such as homelessness, unsafe building, environmental issues not being provided.	As and When Register Review and investigate recruitment agencies (not an immediate solution)				
				Shifts covered by staff who are not fully trained, leading to mistakes and risk to health and life of residents reliant on the service and out of hours services not being provided correctly.	Report to ELT 22/06/2022 detailing short-to medium term solution to bolster resilience using an external provider to provide cover.				
				Reputational damage to the council including impact on collaborative working relationships with partners and stakeholders.	Careline 365 contracted until July 2023 for out of hours resilience and call answering to cover sickness and breaks and leave - review of control centre to take forward to ELT.				
				Increased sickness absence	Sickness absence management				
13	Changes in Government policies	CEO	Insufficient focus of organisation on "bigger" picture	Loss of funding / funding opportunities	Business Strategy	3	3	9	Medium
				Insufficient preparation for policy changes/ new responsibilities	Resource and waste strategy is currently out to consultation- Consultation are responded to and go through decision process				\leftrightarrow
14	Delivery of long term strategic objectives	ELT	Council focuses on the issues of the day rather than a vision for the future	Non delivery of strategic objectives	Medium Term Financial Strategy	2	4	8	Medium
			Lack of political direction for strategic objectives	Negative impact on residents of the Borough	Service Planning				
			Financial resources unavailable for to deliver the aims and ambitions identified	Loss of reputation	Corporate plan & Annual Action Plan				\leftrightarrow
				Implications on future funding / grants	Great Yarmouth Economic Growth Strategy				
				Timescale of projects not met due to unavailability of resources	Local Plan Core Strategy adopted and Local Plan Part 2 adoption November 2021 (to 2030)				
				Impact of unexpected increased of project costs (e.g. resources, increasing costs)	Investment (Town Deal / Future High street Fund Etc)				
				Pressure on finances	Business Strategy Levelling Up Agenda				
			Control alternation of the second second	have a start of the start of the					
15	Lack of community cohesion / community tensions	Executive Director – People	Social changes / immigration / increase in unemployment	Increased reliance on benefits	Reviews of and better alignment of front-line services to ensure residents get the help and support they need through Multi-agency working across Public Health, Norfolk CC, Police, CCG/Integrated Case System (ICS), the two Primary Care Networks (PCNs) in the borough and the JPUH.	2	4	8	Medium
			Financial hardship cases due to energy cap and rising in energy and food costs	Increase neighbourly tensions/disputes owing to Lockdown measures	Development of Information, Advice and Advocacy (IAA) services directly commissioned under Great Yarmouth's Community Champions' Programme and also those services by Norfolk CC's Adult Social Care's review of commissioned IAA and SIL (Social Isolation and Loneliness) contracts with VCSE organisations in the borough.				\leftrightarrow
			Clinically Extremely Vulnerable (CEV) residents and Potentially Vulnerable People (PVP) requiring additional support	Dispersal area for asylum seekers	Close working with the CCG/ICS in its Health Equalities Project (HEP).				
				Increased joblessness	Two Community Hub multi-agency operating models are in place: one covering the Great Yarmouth & Northern Villages PCN area (located in GFH) and one for the Gorleston and Southern Parishes PCN area (located at Shrublands).				
				Increased homelessness	Community Managers supporting the two Community Hubs whereby residents and statutory/VCSE partner agencies collaborate to find solutions, support community capacity-building and thereby diffuse tensions and support social cohesion.				

						Current Rating			
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
				Pressure on health, social care & welfare services	Targeted work with the police and other partners to address new and emerging issues relating to housing enforcement, domestic abuse, community tensions and ASB				
				Issues with equality of access to services	Household Support Fund				
				Increased crime rates and an increase in hate crime	Community Marshal Team - to support GYBC and other Partners within the locality board with out reach work for health and equalities Community Champion Programme and Community Voices - multi cultural and faith network - monthly meeting talking shop to understand issue in the community				
16	Infrastructure not being able to meet demand	Head of Growth & Capital Projects & CEO	New development (commercial and housing)	Increased traffic congestion	Local Plan / Infrastructure Plan	3	3	9	Medium
				Lack of services (education/health/social)	Ongoing engagement with partner organisations for funding e.g. New Anglia LEP, National Highways, Clinical Commissioning Group, Anglian Water, Environment Agency, Norfolk CC etc				\leftrightarrow
				Stalling of further investment	Local Plan Core Strategy adopted and Local plan Part 2 adopted				
					GY Transport & Infrastructure Steering Group established and meet regularly				
				Compliance with Natural England requirements that development in some catchments cannot proceed if it increases levels of nutrients. Development can only proceed if 'nutrient neutral'.	Part of Norfolk Growth Group to discuss infrastructure issues across county & strategic planning framework				
	BT upgrade network from	Executive Director - People &			Capital bid to purchase additional units over 2-year period to replace all wired analogue				
17	analogue to digital by 31st December 2025	Head Of Strategic Housing	Community Alarms		alarms.	3	5	15	High
			600 wired analogue alarms deployed in the borough of which 70 are in Caister.	Risk of emergency alarm call failing when the client presses the alarm in an emergency to request help. Assistive technology	Waive standing orders to procure direct from a framework. Both Chiptech Go and Legrand are on the ESPO framework.			1	
	Caister exchange due to be upgraded 2nd August 2022		Unclear whether analogue alarms plugged into a hub will work plus hubs do not have battery back-up.	connected the alarm would also not work.	cegrand are of the Loro framework.				\leftrightarrow
	https://www.openreach.co m/upgrading-the-UK-to- digital-phone-lines		Demand for digital alarms outstripping current supply for some providers. Plus, issues with suppliers obtaining some components required to manufacture the alarms make obtaining alarms difficult.	Risk to client's health and wellbeing.	The council deploys only digital GSM alarms now, which work off the mobile network and have built in battery back-up of 36 hours.				
			Sheltered Housing	Reputational risk, leading to a decline in cut in the service will impact revenue as number of clients could decline.	Write to community alarm customers in the Caister area advising of the planned BT upgrade and support that the service can provide.				
			Ū.	Sheltered housing tenants may not be able to use their alarm to call for assistance in an emergency. Assistive technology connected the alarm would also not work.	Prioritising Caister to replace wired analogue alarms with GSM digital alarms as more become available. Temporary staff resource to support with distribution of the new digital GSM alarms				
			properties. The central dialler (Rack) on each of the 33 sites will need upgrading including installing battery back-up to ensure the	Risk to tenants' health and wellbeing	Access to the BT Stop Sell list which lists the areas that are digital and where BT will Stop providing analogue lines and instead Sell fibre. Capital bid to upgrade sheltered housing racks.				
			service can be maintained. GYBC Housing Stock and Council Buildings		Trialling digital alarms from an alternative provider, with a view to placing an order. This provider has more stock availability than the current provider				

Risk ID	Pi-li	n'i li Ouriere	Description (D)	lana di	a		ture t	-	Direction of
No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Travel
		Head of Property and Asset Management	Emergency phonelines in lifts rely on an analogue phone line	If power goes out there is a risk that any one trapped in a lift may be unable to use the dedicated alarm call to raise a call to allow them to be rescued and communicate with the Control Centre pending their rescue	City Fibre meeting to improve infrastructure in the borough				
18a	Sufficient resources and resilience to ensure effective procurement.	Head of Legal & Governance (Monitoring Officer)	Corporate procurement approach not consistently adopted	Benefits of procurement strategy not realised	Engagement of Procurement Specialist consultant	3	4	12	High
	enective procurement.		Services delivered on behalf of the Council not provided as expected by large/significant contracts / partnerships	Efficiency savings not made or contract fail	Adherence to new Contract Standing Orders			· · · · ·	\leftrightarrow
				Procured service not value for money / poor	Procurement Strategy has been updated and partially implemented - training and awareness how we can build additional objectives and values and development of guidance on The Loop				
				Ensure compliance with legislation / regulations and Councils processes and procedures Adverse impact on service delivery and consequential publicity and reputational damage	Procurement Strategy has been updated and partially implemented - training and awareness how we can build additional objectives and values etc Management Team training to be delivered on new procurement bill and appropriate use of frameworks				
				Statutory requirements not met	Publication of transparency requirements for procurement of contracts and internal publication.				
					Review of contract management and procedures.				
18b	Sufficient resources and resilience to ensure effective contract management	Management team	Failure to managed contract performance and corporate approach not consistently adopted		Staff training and guidance on The Loop	4	3	12	High
			Contracts not managed / used to full potential and financial benefits not received by the Council	Increase budgets	Consistent management and publication of Contract Register. Review of Contracts Register to ensure accurate and up to date			'	\leftrightarrow
				Contract disputes / Partners not engaged and bad relations	Contract standing orders contains a section on Contract Management				
			Contracts not able to be terminated / reviewed before contract end date	Penalties and costs Reputational damage if not managed effectively	Performance monitoring and reporting to ensure good service quality and better value for money Appropriate governance principals and risk management in place - Risk Register				
			Contractor / business failure unable to deliver contract	Services not delivered efficiently	Consideration of dedicated contract management roles within services to improve accountability & capacity for managing major contracts. Role of contract manager defined.				
					Increased ownership and accountability on officer leading on particular contract. Ensure lead officers on contract are supported and given mechanisms to manage contracts effectively				
19	Change Management	Head of Organisational Development	Key changes managed ineffectively	Service delivery is affected during implementation	Business Strategy and Change Management Policy	3	2	6	Medium
		occopinent		Staff resource needed to undertake review	Application of a formal programme management framework				
				Council fails to capitalise on opportunity Targets not achieved	Monitoring of project plan Ongoing assessment of the project risks				\leftrightarrow
				Funding is missed	Organisation Development Plan				

Risk ID								-	Direction
No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Travel
				Resources wasted	Departmental Managers Meetings				
				Significant increase in construction costs, materials, plant and	Equalities and Diversity Inclusion Strategy and delivery Plan				
				resource availability and hyper inflation					
				Loss of key staff & staff morale	Staff Engagement Group and programme				
		Director of Operational	Failure to managed tree inspection and land management	Insurance claims and increased insurance premiums	A review of current inspection policy to ensure compliance with best practice				
	Tree, open and play spaces	Services, Head of Environment	ianu management						
20	management	and Sustainability & Head of Property & Asset				4	3	12	High
		Management							
		5		Chaite an ann an tha film da ann a tha Danaist	Te feel de ellemente les de Abie de Conserte Asert Detekses te availle e				
				Clarity on ownership of land across the Borough	To include all amenity land within the Concerto Asset Database to provide a comprehensive database of ownership.				\leftrightarrow
				Budget / maintenance requirements	Tree Strategy currently being reviewed - trees are being inspected but policy needs to				
					be reviewed and formally adopted by GYBC				
					Trees for city funding - maintenance funding for three years and Market Place public				
					realm funding				
21	Loss of Reputation	ELT / Head of IT, Marketing &	Lack of transparency in decision-making	Lack of trust from residents	Clear and accurate Cabinet and Committee reports with recorded decisions available in	3	3	9	Mediur
21		Comms	process		the public domain	,	3	5	Wiculu
			Factually incorrect or negative media reporting	Loss of confidence from investors and key partners - project delivery	Maintenance of a timely and effective relationship with representatives of the media/press.				
			· -						
			Inability to attract investment	finances	Clear communications plans in place to promote the council's Corporate Priorities & also for projects.				\leftrightarrow
					Transparent stakeholder engagement incl. planning and evidence-gathering.				•••
					mansparent statienolder engagement ind. planning and evidence gathering.				
					Risk associated with joint ventures and other council funded partners. Understanding				
					funding requirements and monitoring spend and delivery				
			Some system will no longer be supported /	System costs are disproportionally costly based on	Aware of limitations of systems				
	IT systems capability and		maintained by the IT provider. Therefore	functionality of system					
22	interaction	Management Team	has become unfit for purpose and causing service interruptions and issues			4	4	16	High
			service interruptions and issues						
				Upgrades costly	Procurement of new systems				\leftrightarrow
		IMT Manager							~ /
				Week in arrears - loss of possession cases due to the way information is held	Internal processes to manage				
				Service interruptions and issues - resource implications	Using as effectively as can and aware of weaknesses				
				No document management - required to use two systems -	Sub marketing testing				
				increased risk of error Increase functionality	Road map / action plan of systems to be addressed and detailed business cases				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	presented for approval to the IT Investment Group				
				Systems don't talk together	Digital transformation and strategy				
				Reduced productivity, increased timescales for completing tasks.	Flexibility within home/office arrangements to minimise impact on productivity				
	Gaps in Insurance cover -		Reduced level or removal of cover	Increased self insurance and insurance reserve	Updated MTFS to earmark funds for self insurance including re-allocations to the				
	insurers reducing cover in		provided by insurers		insurance reserve.				
24	place with the result that	Executive Director - Resources				2	3	6	Medium
	Council assets may not be								
	adequately covered		1						

ak ID			ſ	<u> </u>		Current Rating			Direction	
isk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel	
			Inadequate or no insurance cover could	Increased project costs	Annual review of insurance cover					
			have significant financial implications, dependent on the value of the asset and						/ \	
			the extent of the damage / loss.						\leftrightarrow	
			the extent of the demage / lossi							
			Impact on increased financial risk to the		Consultation with insurers for all major projects / development and business activities					
			Council due to the removal or reduced							
			level of cover							
		Executive Director - People &	Unable to effectively meet commitments	Negative impact on the Council's reputation	Partnership working with other authorities and organisations via the Norfolk Climate					
	Failure to reduce the	Head of Environment and	identified with Carbon Reduction Plan		Change Partnership (NCCP).					
	Carbon footprint of the	Sustainability	including key milestones resulting in the							
26	Council as an organisation		Council not meeting its own carbon			3	4	12	High	
	and Housing Stock		emissions target as being Net Zero by 2035.							
			2035.							
	Failure to embrace		Not effectively encouraging communities,	Long term impact on the environment, economy, communities	Embed carbon reduction/Net Zero in all council policies, plans and procurements/supply					
	sustainability and mitigate		businesses and individuals to mitigate	biodiversity, health & wellbeing.	chain					
	the impact of climate		their own contribution to and adapt to						\leftrightarrow	
	change		climate change							
			Not able to meet the council's	Communities not supported effectively or encouraged to	Ensure adequate staff resources to co-ordinate and lead on direction of travel					
			commitment to reduce its carbon footprint		corporately. Climate Change Officer recruited - role to include monitoring of carbon					
			and be at Net Zero by 2035.		footprint					
				Future benefits and opportunities to embed carbon reduction	Increased flexibility of working procedures and review of policies including home working					
				in supply chains not fully achieved.	and remote meetings.					
					Collaboration with staff and key stakeholders including working with New Anglia LEP and					
					Norfolk CC. Joint member and officer groups to influence County decisions					
			Unable to out in place internal initiatives &		Increased understanding and working with communities and businesses via the					
			support external partners, local groups &		facilitation of a Great Yarmouth Sustainability Forum.					
			community-led projects to positively impact on the Climate, Biodiversity and							
			Waste Challenges set-out in the Great							
			Yarmouth Sustainability Strategy							
				Negative impact on staff and member morale	Strategic plans in development setting out actions and what is to be delivered					
				Negative impact on confidence of partners	Increased understanding and working with communities and businesses via the					
					facilitation of a Great Yarmouth Sustainability Forum.					
					GY Transport infrastructure group - drive to more sustainable transport - e-scooter,					
					walking, cycling buses - drive to improve the network					
					Great South East Net Zero - funded by central government - Working with public sector					
					organisations and their stakeholders to support the development and financing of local					
					energy projects					
					Review of assets - feasibility study					
				CONFIDENTIAL RISK	S					
27						3	4	12	High	
-'						5	-	12	. iigii	
									\leftrightarrow	
									~ /	
28						2	4	8	Medium	

							Curre	nt Rating	
Risk ID No	Risk	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impacts	Action Plan	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
									\leftrightarrow
				CORPORATE RISKS RECOMMENDE	D TO BE ADDED				
23	Breach of Cabinet System	Head of Legal & Governance (Monitoring Officer)	Decisions could be challenged Unlawful decision or key decisions not considered Underestimating a key decision Deadline and time table difficult to or not meet	Longer lead in time for reports Delay in decisions if deadline not met	Training provided to key staff and management Key decision procedure - explains what and how to do it. Report deadline included on forward plan published on SharePoint Scrutiny provided a check and balance element Re-design of website and new report templates	3	3	9	Medium
			Misunderstanding of new system		Lessons learnt exercise to be undertaken to understand what has worked well and where improvements can be made.				
25	monitoring or Subsidiary Companies	F1 T			Reports to Cabinet	2	4	8	Medium
25	Equinox Enterprises Limited	ELT	Failure to comply with obligations to	Fines and costs	Board meetings	-		-	
25a	and Equinox Property Holdings Limited		Council and meeting own statutory obligations Failure to communicate and consult with key stakeholders Failure to deliver service	Adverse impact on service delivery with consequential publicity and reputational damage. Increased budgets	Monitoring of accounts and performance				
				Reputational damage if not managed effectively					
				Significant financial implications and statutory requirements not met.					
25b	Great Yarmouth Services Limited		Failure to comply with obligations to Council and meeting own statutory obligations	Fines and costs	Governance structure - separation of duties				
			Breach of Teckal regulations Failure to comply with legislation	Adverse impact on service delivery with consequential publicity and reputational damage. Increased budgets	Board meetings Reports to Cabinet				
			Failure to communicate and consult with key stakeholders Failure to deliver service	Reputational damage if not managed effectively Significant financial implications and statutory requirements	Monitoring of accounts and performance				
			Failure to deriver service	not met.					
				CORPORATE RISKS RECOMMENDED					
	Emergence of new strain of Covid	ELT	Impact on service delivery and residents	Disruption to service delivery including potential of staff absence and increased workloads	Agile working, ability to respond and reprioritise	2	2	4	Very Low
			Impact on acute and primary health		Booster programmes / NHS App deleted				\leftrightarrow
					Collaborative working with key stakeholders				
	Creation of Local Authority Subsidiary Company for Operational Services - Great	Director of Operational	Failure to comply with legislation	Fines and costs	Project Manager and HR Manager Appointed	2	4	8	Medium
	Yarmouth Services Limited		Failure to communicate and consult with key stakeholders Failure to deliver service	Adverse impact on service delivery with consequential publicity and reputational damage. Significant financial implications and statutory requirements not met.	Various working groups created and meet on periodic basis / as and when required Quarterly meetings with trade unisons				\leftrightarrow
				Increased budgets Reputational damage if not managed effectively	Reporting to Committee and ELT Workstreams for branding - IT and Legal				
					Consideration for resourcing required by GYBC to deliver contract Engagement of services for specialist advice				
					some outstanding issues to resolve being looked at officer and company board level				