**Reference:** 06/13/0439/SU

Parish: Gorleston Officer: Mr G Clarke Expiry Date: 26-09-2013

**Applicant:** GY Community Housing

**Proposal:** Use of four communal grass areas for communal parking areas

Site: Oxford Avenue/Brasenose Avenue Gorleston

### REPORT

#### 1. Background / History :-

- 1.1 The areas involved in the application are four grassed areas in the Oxford Avenue/Brasenose Area of the Magdalen Estate. The proposal will provide parking for 42 cars which will not be allocated to particular properties but will be used on a first come first serve basis. Two of the areas will provide spaces directly adjoining the road, the large area adjoining Oxford Avenue will provide 18 spaces in a parking area with a single point of access. The fourth area is an area of open space laid to grass which separates two terraces of houses between Brasenose Avenue and Oxford Avenue.
- 1.2 There is a shortfall of parking available within the estate and the proposal has been put forward as a way of increasing off-road parking to help to reduce parking problems in the surrounding area.

#### 2 Consultations :-

- 2.1 Highways No objections subject to standard conditions.
- 2.2 Neighbours Two letters/comments have been received, one person objects to the loss of open space at Brasenose Avenue, the other has no objections subject to the spaces being permit only.
- 2.3 Norfolk Constabulary Supports the application but has some concerns if planting/landscaping conceals the parking areas.
- 2.4 Trees Officer No objections but would recommend using techniques to limit the impact on mature trees.

## 3 Policy :-

## 3.1 POLICY TCM13

DEVELOPMENT WILL NOT BE PERMITTED WHERE IT WOULD ENDANGER HIGHWAY SAFETY OR THE SATISFACTORY FUNCTIONING OF THE LOCAL HIGHWAY NETWORK. IN APPROPRIATE CASES A TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT WILL BE REQUIRED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS CAN BE SATISFACTORILY ACCOMMODATED WITHIN THE HIGHWAY NETWORK TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ANY IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSED.

(Objective: To ensure that new development does not prejudice highway safety or the free flow of traffic.)

## 4 Assessment :-

- 4.1 When the Magdalen Estate was laid out few residents owned cars and there was sufficient parking available, over the years with increasing car ownership parking on the estate has become a problem with the lack of parking leading to inappropriate parking near road junctions and on landscaped areas. GY Community Housing has identified the sites involved in the application as having the potential to provide off-road parking which will help to solve the parking problems. According to the Design and Access Statement submitted with the application, consultations have been carried out with tenants in the area and the majority are in favour of the idea.
- 4.2 The Highways Officer has no objections to the proposal subject to resolving some minor design and landscape issues.
- 4.3 One of the comments received states that the car parking would be a useful addition to the community assuming that it is permit only, they would not like to see the area being turned into an overflow car park for the hospital and other local amenities. As it will not be possible to allocate a space to every house in the area Community Housing are of the opinion that it is better to leave the spaces unmarked so they are used on a first come, first served basis. This view is also supported by the Police who say in their letter that as there is not sufficient parking to provide one space for each dwelling any allocation would probably cause more problems in this case.
- 4.4 The other letter is from the occupier of no. 148 Brasenose Avenue which raises concerns that the communal green between the houses off Brasenose Avenue

will be lost which is an area where children enjoy playing. There are terraces of houses on either side of this area and the open space provides a break between them and an area where children can play as the letter writer states. However, none of the occupiers of the dwellings facing the open space have objected and it therefore has to be assumed that they support the application and would rather have parking spaces than the grassed area.

4.5 The proposed spaces will provide much needed parking in the area and in general the need for the parking is supported by local residents.

# 5 RECOMMENDATION :-

5.1 Approve – the proposal complies with Policy TCM13 of the Great Yarmouth Borough-Wide Local Plan.

rnet Consultees				ACK28/33 <
Application Reference	<b>TEMPAN</b>		Attachments	
Invalid C	onsultee	Comment?	٢	Copy to existing Consultee?
Name	calum envis			or in the second se
Address	120 bras	enose avenue	دین که این است. از این	
			and a subject of the	
	-	- Bindina a tana Tanatan Kina Tana - Jawa		
			a 1994) add y dyna y beffan 1964 y blanned an yn a'r a'r ar ar y arffarffan yr	
Post Code	NR317EP			
Telephone	D7967857812			
Email Address	calumfish69@hotmail.co.uk			
For or Against	NOS S	Subject to Con	dition	
r or or Agamar				

of as my front lawn being turned into a overflow carpark for the hospital and other local amenities, there is a need for more carparking spaces within the local area as i have had to park some distance from my property on several occasions but it must put local residents first, we will be the ones who lose our communal green space so it makes sense that we should be the ones to benefit - PUT LOCALS FIRST!!

Date Entered 25-08-2013

Internet Reference OWPC72



With regerence to the above, regarding the use of your communal grass areas for communal parking areas, I am writing is as I object to the charge is use of the one situated on Brasenose Avenue. I have no objections to the ones situated on Oxford Avenue, but see very strongly that a communal green where small children enjoy playing on, and that children enjoy cycling a round is gring to be ruined just for car parking. It seems ridiculous to siturte car parking there, when in just this could be incorporated onto the land where the Fostolype Arms Pub is. I know there are plans to denotish this and build eight houses and two glats. Using common sense and logic, wouldn't it be better to scale back development to just 4 houses, and put a communal parking area on that land. Alternatively, do not build any houses or glats on that land, but just use the land for parking with trees and plants to landscope the area with access from Girton Road. This could mean that even the greens on Oxford Avenue do not have to be changed. I have that common series prevoids, and at least the communal green on Briterose. Fivenue is left instanched. Those you Take on bound these views by one making a givel decision. Thank you. Yours Faithquilly





m:	Jason Beck
Sent:	03 October 2013 12:46
То:	Graham A. Clarke
Subject:	06/13/0439/SU

Hello Graham,

I just wanted to add a little more advise to the above application

Patrick Tabor suggested the development should follow the no dig, load bearing specification for driveways and parking areas as outlined in APN1.

Many Thanks

### JASON BECK

Planning Assistant (Strategic Planning)

### **Great Yarmouth Borough Council**

Tel: 01493 846422 E-mail: jb@great-yarmouth.gov.uk

Website: www.great-yarmouth.gov.uk

The information contained in this email is intended only for the person or organisation to which it is addressed. If you have received it by mistake, please disregard and notify the sender immediately. Unauthorised disclosure or use of such information may be a breach of legislation or confidentiality and may be legally privileged.

Emails sent from and received by Members and employees of Great Yarmouth Borough Council may be monitored.

Unless this email relates to Great Yarmouth Borough Council business it will be regarded by the Council as personal and will not be authorised by or sent on behalf of the Council. The sender will have sole responsibility for any legal actions or disputes that may arise.

Correspondence Address: Town Hall, Hall Plain, Great Yarmouth, Norfolk NR30 2QF



