Subject: Quarter 2 Key Project and Performance Report

Report to: Policy & Resources Committee – 27 November 2018

Report by: Strategic Director (Kate Watts)

SUBJECT MATTER/RECOMMENDATIONS

The following gives an update on current performance for the second quarter of 2018/19 (Jul - Sept) where progress is assessed against targets which are set at the start of the financial year. Progress against targets is assessed by RAG (Red/Amber/Green) ratings and is also assessed in terms of Direction of Travel (DoT) through use of arrows.

The report also gives an update to the position of key projects that are linked to the corporate priorities from 'The Plan 2015-2020'. A summary of progress for the suite of key projects and individual highlight reports for each of these key projects are presented in Appendix 1 of this report.

The performance measures, see attached Appendix 2, give a comprehensive overview of how the authority as a whole is performing and cover most Council functions. This report highlights performance measures that have not achieved the target for this period and measures that do not have a target but are moving in the wrong direction. The report also highlights a number of measures that are showing exceptional performance against targets.

Measures have been separated into five headings consisting of the four service committees, with commentary at the end of each section highlighting those measures that outturns are below target or moving in the wrong direction.

The fifth section will only be reported to ELT as it contains measures that do not have targets but are reported for contextual information.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The actions are:

- All measures to be monitored during the next quarter.
- All key projects will continue to be monitored over the next quarter with the aim of maintaining a green status and where possible attaining a green status for those key projects which are currently amber.

1. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

To consider all measures and key projects within the report and to continue to monitor throughout the year and report quarterly to Executive Leadership Team (ELT)/Management Team (MT). Performance measures will be presented to the relevant service committees on a quarterly basis with the complete suite going to

Policy & Resources Committee. The suite of nine new Key Projects will be reported to Policy & Resources Committee quarterly.

2. **PROGRESS OVERVIEW OF KEY PROJECTS**

A review of all projects was conducted at the end of the 2017/18 financial year and a new list of key projects was established and was included in the Council's Annual Action Plan 2018/19 which was approved by Policy & Resources Committee on 24 July 2018. Each highlight report details a summary of the project, milestones and achievements, alongside open issues, mitigation and a financial summary. Each report also has a current status, which can be green, amber or red. Out of the nine reports, six have a current green status defined as no problems or minor issues.

The remaining three key projects have an amber status defined as having problems which have been identified but with a contingency plan in place.

	Key Project Current Status	Total
G	Green - no problems or minor issues	6
Α	Amber - problems identified but contingency plan in place	3
R	Red – serious problems out of tolerance	0

KP07 – Winter Gardens: An amber status has been given as the Council was not successful with the major batch submission, but received positive feedback from the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) who are very supportive of the Councils commitment to the project and are keen to see something develop in the near future.

Heritage Enterprise, an HLF grant programme was announced early summer with a closing date in August 2018. Following the discussions held in July it was apparent that the Council and a potential operational partner would not be in a position to apply for the August deadline HLF Enterprise Fund. Officers are now working towards presenting a paper to Members about the next steps required to formalise the interest received so far which will include advice regarding State Aid, and procurement.

KP08 – Venetian Waterways: This has been given an amber status because we are currently in the early stages of construction and therefore still uncovering issues that should fall within contingency existing arrangements, to mitigate against this a further £55,000 has been moved into contingency.

KP09 - Improving the Markets and the Market Place: An amber status has been given as there was a delay in the announcement of the funding bid from Coastal Communities Fund. As the bid was unsuccessful a shortfall of £1.5m in the £2.7m development plan will impact on building of the Market Place infrastructure due to new funding avenues being sought.

3. Performance Measures

Performance Measures – Highlights

Performance measures cover the full range of services delivered by the Council. The details in the summary report provide quantitative information about the performance of these services and provide useful trend data. A traffic light status easily identifies if improvement is required.

There are several areas across the Council where performance is below the target level set (RAG rating) or where no target has been set performance is moving in the wrong directions (Direction of Travel). These measures are highlighted in the appropriate service committee section in the report.

An addition area has been added which will only be reported to ELT as it contains measures that do not have targets but are reported for contextual information.

Breakdown of the measures is shown below.

	Performance Measures against Targets	Total
	Green - Performance has met or exceeded target	32
	Amber - Performance is below target but within tolerance	16
	Red – Performance is below target and tolerance	4

The three measures that are within the Red status and are not achieving the target and below the tolerance level set are:

- PR11: % of completed Full Performance Reviews
- PR19: Corporate Property Portfolio Revenue Growth per annum
- HN09: Number of DFGs: Numbers of calendar days from initial request to works complete
- EN04: Number of Flytips reported

The following areas of performance are brought to your attention:

Improved performance:

1. Percentage of food premises scoring 3 star food hygiene ratings or above (EN01)

The current performance of 96.3% is above target and above the national average. It is pleasing to note that the work of Food Officers in the Commercial Team has resulted in the continued improvement in the number of food businesses achieving a hygiene rating of three or above. The team is now prioritising the 0 to 2 rated premises and is identifying a number of ways to work with them to improve standards. The Food Standards Agency (FSA) in their annual report for 2017/18 stated that "More than 95% of food businesses in England, Wales and Northern

Ireland now have a 'Generally satisfactory' rating or higher (3 or above).

2. Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (HN03)

The improved performance is a result of the continuous improvement work undertaken to reduce void times. The improvements include; Development of process maps, creation of GYBC void team, pre-leave visits to tenants & any day sign ups. The performance figure for Quarter 2 is based on the Housemark definition of a major void property which has contributed to the more rapid recent improvement, however the trend has been one of reducing timescales prior to the adoption of this description.

Reduced performance:

3. Percentage of completed Full Performance Reviews (PR11)

All outstanding annual PDR's are expected to be completed in the Interim Review Period, to be completed by the end of Quarter 3.

4. Corporate Property Portfolio Revenue Growth per annum (PR19)

Although the overall rental income is significantly above target at 21.98%, the revenue growth has reduced purely as a result of Market income, which has had a negative impact of -17.60% giving a revenue growth of 4.38%. It is anticipated that the Market income will be £53k lower than anticipated, this is a direct result of the reduction in the 2-day market rate for the 2018/19 financial year which has now been profiled as a result of the first quarter activity.

Number of Disabled Facilities Grant (DFGs): Numbers of calendar days from initial request to works complete (HN09)

Quarter two has seen a significant increase in the number of DFGs completed, the number has doubled from 18 in Quarter one to 36 in Quarter two. However, Analysis has revealed that the average cost of the adaptation has increased this quarter from £6,586 to £7,612 indicating a greater level of work per adaptation leading to a longer period of time to complete the work. Some cases were delayed waiting for a technical officer to be available. These cases were started prior to the appointment of an additional 0.5 fte Technical Officer who commenced work in January 2018. Two of the cases took a month to receive the recommendation from the Occupational Therapist this is likely to reflect the complexity of the case, which correlates with the increase in average spend and time taken to deliver the works.

6. Number of Flytips reported (EN04)

In the past year the Council has reviewed the manner in which it record's flytipping to fall in line with the how other Norfolk Authorities report theirs. This has already seen a significant reduction in the overall reportable figure. The Ranger teams has had its most successful year of enforcement – so far this year prosecuting 25

individual's for waste related offences with a further 17 cases in due court procedure. Comparatively the other Norfolk Councils have prosecuted a total of six cases between them. Alongside enforcement the team also carries out preventative work through the usage of signage, letter drops, social media and one to one education with a particular focus on hotspot areas. Further work is being carried out via the Norfolk Waste Partnership who is launching an anti flytipping campaign in the New Year.

Data Quality note

All data included in this report for the current financial year is provisional unaudited data and is categorised as management information. All current in-year results may therefore be subject to later revision.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None

5. RISK IMPLICATIONS

None

6. **CONCLUSIONS**

None

7. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The actions are:

- All measures to be monitored during the next quarter
- All key projects will continue to be monitored over the next quarter with the aim of maintaining a green status and where possible attaining a green status for those key projects which are currently amber.

8. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

Areas of consideration: e.g. does this report raise any of the following issues and if so how have these been considered/mitigated against?

Area for consideration	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	N/A
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	N/A
Existing Council Policies:	None
Financial Implications:	None
Legal Implications (including	None
human rights):	
Risk Implications:	None
Equality Issues/EQIA	N/A
assessment:	
Crime & Disorder:	N/A
Every Child Matters:	N/A

KEY PROJECTS – SUMMARY REPORT QUARTER 2 2018/19 (JUL- SEPT)

Key projects that impact on the corporate priorities in 'The Plan 2015 – 2020'.

Detailed commentary from each project lead is provided in the next section.

Project	Project Lead	Lead ELT	Current Position
KP01 – Energy Park & South Denes Regeneration	Stuart Dawson	David Glason	G
KP02 - Beacon Park Housing Development	Dawn Edwards	Neil Shaw	G
KP03 –Middlegate Estate	Anthony Moore	Kate Watts	G
KP04 – Licensing Programme for Private Sector Housing (Selective Licensing)	David Addy	Kate Watts	G
KP05 – Wellesley Road Recreation Ground	Claire Sullivan	Kate Watts	G
KP06 - Marina Centre	Michelle Burdett	Kate Watts	G
KP07 – Winter Gardens	Michelle Burdett	Kate Watts	Α
KP08 – Venetian Waterways	Michelle Burdett	Kate Watts	Α
KP09 – Improving the Markets and the Market Place	David Helsdon	Kate Watts	Α

Key	
G	No problems or minor issues
Α	Problems identified but contingency plan in place
R	Serious problems out of tolerance



Project Name	, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,		Date	October 2018
	Regeneration			
Project Manager	Stuart Dawson Lead ELT		David Glason	
	Officer			
Status	RED – serious	AMBER - Proble	ems GREEN	– no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	but within problem		s or only
	tolerance	contingency pla	n minor is	sues

Project Overview

The Great Yarmouth Energy Park was launched in late 2013 by the Great Yarmouth Development Company (a joint venture between GYBC & Norfolk County Council) and its purpose is to seek to regenerate the project area thereby targeted redevelopment and re- use of sites by private and public sectors, focusing on the energy industry.

The ambition is to secure inward investment to the area, to generate economic growth and improve the environment by redevelopment. The strategy is a reflection of the demand on the port area, in particular by the renewables sector. The Enterprise Zone status of the port area predicts a demand for sites that is likely to be higher than the supply within the port area creating a pressure on land adjacent to the port. The Great Yarmouth Energy Park is complementary to the Enterprise Zone at the port. The forecast arrival of the East Anglia Array, as well as other Round 2 (windfarm) Projects is a limited window of opportunity to secure inward investment to Great Yarmouth, with the supply chain and operations and maintenance requiring proximity to the windfarm and potentially both deep water harbour and river port access.

The strategy of the GYEP addresses the 'need' to regenerate the project area due to the outdated and generally run down appearance. The opportunity presented by the new economic activity has been the trigger.

Part funding for the project has been put in place by Norfolk County Council from the Norfolk Infrastructure Fund, this is recorded by the NIF Annual report dated 3 March 2014 where it is decided to support the project to £2.75m. The remaining funding, £250,000, will come from Great Yarmouth Borough Council from its capital reserves

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
September 2018	 Tecta – groundbreaking (Infrastructure) 	Met
August 2018	Ocean Yard site clearance complete	Met
January 2018	Vanguard Point site clearance completed	Met
February 2017	 Millora Works – 2 acre site acquired February 2017 	Met
January 2015	The first non-energy business to relocate away from the Energy Park has completed freeing up just under an acre of land.	Met
March 2014	Marketing strategy /particulars produced	Met

January 2014	 Established locations for relocating non- energy business's 'off site' 	Met
November 2013	 Launched late 2013 	Met

Land Assembly

Land assembly remains a key priority together with securing agreements with a number of non-port related businesses' to relocate 'off site'.

Ocean Yard North (Block 4) – Demolition contractors have completed site clearance and securing site ready for new occupier.

Former ITS Site (Havenshore South) - Demolition contractors appointed and have commenced site clearance

Millora Works & adjacent site (Block 12 & 13b) - Demolition contract out to tender with return date 24th October 2018. Works planned to start Mid November.

Vanguard Point (Block 3b)

Marketing underway. Planning permission has been granted to advertise on the hoarding for marketing purposes and to promote the EZ extension.

Tecta (Block 1)

Phase 1 of major infrastructure is underway by the ingoing tenant. Lawyers are progressing legal documentation.

General

- NCC/GYBC have appointed a consultant to review the prospect of relocating a manufacturing company (non-port related) currently based in South Denes to Beacon Park. The report has been submitted and currently under review by the occupier.
- Construction of the 81 Bedroom Premier Inn hotel (Edge development, South Denes) is progressing and still on program with an anticipated opening of Spring 2018

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
	The County Council have agreed to release NIF
Delays with NIF funding – GYBC in the interim	funding but require legal agreement to be
funding approved programme of works.	documented. Officers progressing.
Development surveyor required	Asset Manager leading project however workload
	is limiting progress and recruitment of development
	surveyor required.
Remaining negativity from some non-energy	Continue having dialogue with businesses, positive
businesses	press statements.

Financial Summary						
Overall Approv	ved Budget	Actual Spe	Actual Spend to date		Income	
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Achieved	Achieved	
£3,000,000	£9,000	£760,000	£40,000	£n/a	£n/a	
Financial data verified by (name of finance officer) Date						
Lorna Snow				22/10/2018		



Project Name	(KP02) Beacon Park Housing Development		Date	Oct 2018
Project Manager	Dawn Edwards	Lead ELT Officer	Neil Shaw	
Status (delete as appropriate)	RED – serious problems out of tolerance	AMBER – Problems but within contingency plan	GREEN – no problems or only minor issues	

Project Overview:

Development of housing on site 25 Beacon Park. The project is separated into three phases the first phase of 56 properties being delivered on the western end of the site has detailed planning approval granted in 2016. The project is to be delivered through a Local Authority Trading Company, Equinox Enterprises.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
Nov 2016	Approval of the original business case	Met
Mar 2017	Outline planning permission for the whole site and detailed planning consent for phase 1	Met
Mar 2017	Completion of s106 agreement and transfer of land to Equinox	Met
June 2018	Installation of rising main	Met
June 2018	Upgrade of Woodfarm Lane	Partially met
Feb 2017	Tendering process (phase 1)	Met
May 2018	Final agreement of tender (phase 1)	Met
June 2018	Contract signature	Met
Aug 2018	Commence procurement, mobilise contractors, site set up	Met
Sept 2018	Commence construction	Met
Nov 2018	Member engagement on phase 2 proposal	Nov/Dec 2018
May 2019	Completion of first tranche of development (phase 1)	May 2019
April 2019	Feasibility proposal (phase 2)	Apr 2019
Sept 2019	Planning consent for phase 2	Sept 2019
Aug 2019	Completion of second tranche of development (phase 1)	Aug 2019
Oct 2019	Completion of third tranche of development (phase 1)	Oct 2019
Dec 2019	Completion of fourth tranche of development (phase 1)	Dec 2019
April 2020	Completion of fifth tranche of development (phase 1)	April 2020
July 2020	Final site completion (phase 1)	July 2020

Overall phase 1 of the project was six months behind the timeline anticipated in the original business case developed in 2016 for the pre-contract phase. Since then it has remained on track during the post-contract timeline and the project is now being tracked on the milestones since the contract was signed in July. As a result the project is current green/on track.

The project pre-contract phase experienced delays between Autumn 2017 and Summer 2018 with the discovery of a high voltage cable on site not in the location asserted on plans. Investigatory work around the actual route of the cable, amendment to plans, amending the layout of the site and seeking formal approval of the amended work from UKPN has been lengthy. These issues were resolved in Summer 2018 but caused delay in the precontract phase.

In June 2018 the Board and Shareholder's Committee approved the updated business case, still within the original projections agreed in the business plan. Equinox signed the contract on 25 July 2018 and Lovells have now mobilized sub-contractors so groundworks can begin in October 2018. An update was provided to the Policy & Resources Committee on 16 October 2018.

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
	UKPN and nplaw have agreed verbal
	approval for the works and
Formal written authorisation of the UKPN easement agreement	documentation has been issued
Completion of Woodfarm Ln upgrade, inc street lighting	In discussion with Norfolk County Council to resolve the issue with the adoption and on-going maintenance of street lighting
Delivery of tranche one (phase 1) of construction due to unforeseen	- Street lighting
delays	Project management of contractors

Financial Summary

Approved Budget		Actual/C	Actual/Committed Spend		Savings Achieved	Income Achieved
Capital £9.46m	Revenue	Capital £2.082m	Reve	enue	.	•
	verified by (name of fi		CCallum Date Oct 2018			-



Project Name	(KP03) Middlegate Estate		Date		October 2018
Project Manager	Anthony Moore	Lead ELT Kate Officer		Kate Watts	
Status (delete as appropriate)	RED – serious problems out of tolerance	AMBER – Problems but within contingency plan		GREEN - problems minor iss	s or only

Project Overview:

A feasibility study for potential to regenerate Middlegate Estate.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
A high level options appraisal for the	Create project team	Met
site has been undertaken alongside	Tender consultants	Met
extensive stakeholder engagement.	Appoint Consultant	Met
As a result these options have been	Area Appraisal – Member's review	Met
finalized and developed to form part	Viability Report – Member's review	Met
of a master plan for the whole site, which will include detailed financial	Options Appraisal – Member and Stakeholder participation	Met
analysis.	Options Appraisal report – Member's review and recommendation to H & N Committee	02/19
	Final Report to H & N Committee	02/19
	Final Report to DCLG	03/19
	Final Report to Council	04/19
	Project Review	05/19

Summary / Progress

Extensive stakeholder engagement has taken place and as a result a master plan for the area was being progressed. However due to the announcement that the cap on HRA borrowing would be lifted it has been agreed with the Members working group that additional work will be undertaken to reflect this new opportunity. As a result the master plan will not be completed until the new year.

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
Don't meet DCLG deadline	Achievable project plan, reviewed
	weekly by DM and at Project Team
	meetings. Timely and honest
	relationship management with
	DCLG.
Tenant and leaseholder disengagement due to consultation	Slow launch when viable options are
fatigue	available. Use Community board as
	initial proxy. Community strategy
	through tenant's champions
	(connectors). Win trust through
	iterative achievable stages and

	transparent workings.					
Financial Sun	nmary					
App	proved Budget	Actual/Cor	nmitte	d Spend	Savings Achieved	Income Achieved
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Rev	enue		
£320,000	£	£ £147,231.18	£ £147,231.18 £		£	£
(DCLG)						
Financial data	a verified by (name o	of finance officer)		Date		
Danielle Lee		•		04/10/18		



Project Name	(KP04) Licensi	(KP04) Licensing Programme for			(KP04) Licensing Programme for Date		October
	Private Sector	Housing (Selec	tive		2018		
	Licensing)						
Project Manager	David Addy	David Addy Lead ELT Officer			ts		
Status	RED – serious	RED – serious AMBER – Problems		RED – serious AMBER – Problems GREE		EN – no	
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	of but within		prob	ems or only		
	tolerance	tolerance contingency plan		mino	r issues		
Project Overview							
Selective Licensing of the Priva	te Rented Sector						

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
	Data gathering/peer review visits	Met
To intention is to consult upon, and designate the areas of Great Yarmouth with the most deprivation and challenges, as a Selective	Appointment of intelligence & data analysis consultants	Met
	Produce communications plan with David Wiles	Met
	Procured intelligence & data analysis report submitted	Met
Licensing area. Selective Licensing requires all privately rented dwellings	Define proposed designation area and produce consultation document	Met
within the designated area to be licensed.	Report to Housing and Neighbourhoods Committee on consultation proposals	Met
The objectives are improving the	Full media rollout	Met
living conditions and life outcomes for tenants in the private rented sector,	10 week statutory consultation on Selective Licensing	Met
and their neighbours. This will result	Report on consultation responses	Met
in a better environment for our tourist and visitors, leading to repeat	Verbal update to Housing and Neighbourhoods Committee	Met
demand. Our Landlords will get increase rents and property values,	Report to seek approval from Full Council to designate Selective Licensing area(s)	Met
and better tenants. Low housing	Designation of Selective Licensing area(s)	Met
demand, anti-social behaviour, poor property conditions, migration,	Award of Delivery Partner Concession Contract	Met
deprivation, and crime will all be	Appointment of Housing Licensing Officers	10/18
significantly improved for communities within the designated Selective	Online application system for Selective licensing active	12/18
Licensing areas.	Selective Licensing Desination commences	1/19
	Selective Licensing inspections begin	1/19
	Business as usual stage	1/19
	Scheme ends (if not renewed)	1/24

The Selective Licensing report and consultation were launched on the 15th of July, following a presentation of the report and approval by the Housing and Neighbourhoods Committee.

Media coverage on Radio Norfolk, interviews on Harbour Radio (which is supportive of the Scheme), in The Mercury, and EDP was positive, with the Council getting its message out well, and the National Landlords Association (NLA) publicly giving their support to the Scheme.

Two stakeholder consultation events, and a NLA meeting about Selective Licensing took place. Residents, partner agencies, and other non-landlords were in support, with mixed views from landlords; the design of scheme, monthly fee structure, and benefits seemed to be more acceptable to landlords than a standard selective licensing scheme.

A presentation was also made to the Eastern Landlords' Association (ELA) Great Yarmouth Branch on the 11th of July, where landlords were generally less supportive. Following the meeting, members of the ELA Branch considered proposing an alternative landlord managed voluntary housing complaint management membership scheme through the consultation, similar to 'SEAL', the 'South Essex Area Landlords' within the Southend BC unitary area. We investigated SEAL further through Southend BC and SEAL, so that we could more fully advise.

The stakeholder consultation closed on the 24th August. The interim results (in the week before the consultation closed) were reported to Management Team on 3rd September, and the Housing and Neighbourhoods Committee on 6th September, where Members were very supportive.

The Designation report, which presented the results of the consultation, and made recommendations for the proposed Designation for Member's decision, went to ELT on 10th September, before being approved by Full Council on 13th September. The legal designation was subsequently made, and the Public Notice issued.

The recruitment of the Selective Licensing staff was then possible to progress. With sufficient suitable internal and external candidates applying for the posts. Interviews were taking place 9/10/18, with the intention of appointing as soon as possible to start with the training and work.

The Home Safe Scheme were appointed as the Council's Delivery Partner on 25th September, to operate the Landlord Support Scheme under the concession agreement.

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
Not possible to recruit to Selective Licensing Team	Meeting with HR; JE documentation & example
	posts provided; Meeting with The Home Safe
	Scheme; Posts out to advert.
Project Manager unable to continue with project	Support available and offered; Project planned
	and timelined; Community Protection Manager
	fully aware of project, and able to cover in
	extremis.
Legal challenge from stakeholders	Meeting with NLA representatives; Presentation
	to NLA landlords; Statutory consultation &
	events; NLA/GYBC Consultation Landlord
	Forum.
	Selective Licensing Designation made.

Financial Summary						
Overall Approved Budget Actual Spend to date				Savings	Income	
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Achieved	Achieved	
£0	-£280,248	£0	£30,558	£	£0	
Financial data verified by (name of finance officer) Date						
Fiona Castleton				19/9/2018		



Project Name	(KP05) Wellesley Road Recreation		Date		October
	Ground				2018
Project Manager	Claire Sullivan Lead ELT Officer		Kate Watts		
Status	RED – serious	0111001		REEN -	no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	but within problem			
	tolerance contingency plan		ın <mark>m</mark>	inor iss	sues

Project Overview:

Develop a clear future direction for the Wellesley Road Recreation Ground, including current and future usage, potential 3G facilities, onsite buildings and future management of the site.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
	Create project team	Met
	H &N Committee	Met
	Tender consultants	Met
	Appoint Consultant	Met
A review of income, expenditure,	Area Appraisal – Member's review	Met
management, maintenance, issues,	Viability Report – Member's review	Met
risks and opportunities on the site to	Detailed Options Appraisal – Member and	
present a calculated, coherent and	Stakeholder participation	Met
comprehensive plan for the site	Detailed analysis of options by Sporting Assets to	
which addresses all areas, either as	develop a preferred option mix	Met
one study or as linked studies.	Options Appraisal report – Member's review of	
	options and development of proposal for	
	committee	10/18
	Final option Report to H & N Committee	12/18
	Project review and next steps	12/18

Summary / Progress

Housing and Neighbourhoods Committee approved funding of £25,000 on the 21 March 2018. Following a procurement process the member's steering group chose to appoint '**Sporting Assets**' after an Interview process. They were seen as more innovative than the other parties and they fall within the agreed budget.

Sporting Assets met with Officers, Members and Stakeholders on 5th & 6th July for inception meetings. Work is currently ongoing to develop a long list of options for Wellesley that was discussed with members in August 2018. This meeting developed a short list of potential options for Sporting Assets to further develop. A report will be forthcoming to Housing and Communities committee on the potential short options in December 2018.

Complementary to this we have undertaken a borough wide sports and activity participation survey to identify barriers to physical activity and potential views on sporting grounds within the borough. We received 310 responses from stakeholders across the borough and are working to analyse the results. We are working in partnership with Active Norfolk and will present a report on the potential consultation to Housing and Neighbourhood Committee December 2018.

Issues / Risks			Mitigation /	decision req	uired	
Stakeholder e				the success or potential is a well-lov borough wit Any ongoing	r engagement of any option proposal. The ed asset withir h long standing g work will eng stakeholders.	appraisal Wellesley the g uses.
	proved Budget	Actual/	Committee	d Spend	Savings	Income
7.4	provou zuugo.	71000011		. орона	Achieved	Achieved
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Rev	enue		
£25,000	£	£	•			£
Financial dat	ta verified by (name o	of finance officer)		Date		



Project Name	(KP06) Marina Centre		Date		October
					2018
Project Manager	Michelle Burdett	Lead ELT Officer	Sheila Oxtoby		
Status	RED – serious	AMBER – Problen	BER – Problems GREE		no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	but within		problem	s or only
	tolerance	contingency plan		minor iss	sues

Project Overview:

The project has moved to the next stage (progression of multi-disciplinary team to move the project to RIBA Stage 2) following approval from Full Council that Option 5 should be developed and that the project team should look at developing a new build Centre including some leisure water offer.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
	Executive Approval	met
	Notify Tenderers	met
	Award Contract (Director level)	Met
	Design Team (Mace) inception meeting	Met
	RIBA Stage 1	Met
	Officer meeting to discuss financial package	met
	Members meeting to discuss RIBA 1 principles	MET
	RIBA Stage 2	11/10/18
	PAM for full paper	4/12/18
	Full Council meeting - approval of capital funds	13/12/18
	RIBA Stage 3 – DEVELOPED DESIGN	03/01/19
	Develop Gen. Arrangement plans and layouts	07/12/18
	Design review	Feb 19
	Finalise RIBA stage 3 report	Mar 19
	Pubic Engagement preparation	Oct-Nov 18
	Public engagement period	Dec18-Feb19
	Planning	
	Submission for planning	April 19

Planning decision	May 19
Complete SQ tender docs for approval	Dec18-Jan 19
ITT Issue and Evaluation	Jan 19
Contract signing and award	TBD
Start on site	Oct 19
Fit out / handover	TBD
Launch and test events	TBD
Completion	TBD
Executive Approval	met

- The timeline has slipped as the stand still period and stage 1 discussions with both the tenderers have extended beyond what was anticipated. The timeline has been adjusted above to reflect this.
- Financial analysis of the potential income for the building has now been modeled. Capital costs are to be finalized before the December full council meeting including the revenue financial modeling and proposed designs
- The RIBA 2 plans will be released for the December meeting and will include designs for the centre, including leisure water, 6 lane pool, sports hall, fitness centre, spa and 2 external flumes.

Approved Budget		,	Actual/Committed Spend		Income Achieved
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue		
£141,022	£	£141,022	£	£	£



Project Name	(KP07) Winter Gar	dens	Date	October 2018
Project Manager	Michelle Burdett	Lead ELT	Kate Watts	
		Officer		
Status	RED – serious	AMBER -		GREEN – no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	Problems b	ut	problems or only
	tolerance	within conti	ngency	minor issues
		plan		

Project Overview

The project is to restore the building and structural elements of the Heritage asset, as well as to give the building a new life by transforming both the internal and external spaces into a distinctive attraction for both the local community and the visitors to Great Yarmouth. It is intended that the facility will provide an all year round attraction along the Golden Mile.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/ Target Date
To achieve capital	Investor open day 19 and 31 July	01 August 2018
grant funding to	Arrange Project Team meeting	June 2018
restore	Review of Business Plan and Financial Modelling	Ongoing
investment in the steel works restoration	Officer meeting to discuss procurement of operator and financial arrangements	August 2018
To identify and work with securing a	Assess what is required for future HLF application submission	August 2018
commercial end user	Complete the financial assessment of the conservation deficit	September 2018
To generate council income and boost the	Bring together working group to discuss next steps and proposed option	Winter 18 (date TBC)
local economy	Announcement of HLF Strategic Plans	January 19
	Draft application to revised Heritage Lottery Fund – Heritage Enterprise grant programme.	Jan-Mar19
	Present proposed submission to Policy and Resources Committee	Spring 19
	Submit Stage 1 Heritage Enterprise application	Unknown date (awaiting announcement from
		HLF)
	Stage 1 HLF Assessment	Unknown
	Review of HLF Feedback	Unknown

July

- Officers met with potential commercial operators.
- Further bespoke site visits were arranged with two agents representing commercial operators. Those viewings were reported back to their clients for consideration.

August

- Following the discussions held in July it was apparent that the Council and potential operational partner would not be in a position to apply for the August deadline HLF Enterprise Fund. The Council continued to work with interested operators in developing their business case for the venue.
- The Council was approached by BBC "The One Show" to feature the building and promote that the Council is searching for a Commercial Operator.

September

- The Victorian Society featured the building in its 2018 Top 10 Endangered Buildings list. This led to a surge in publicity for the building, covered by BBC Look East and carefully supported by the Victorian Society's patron, Griff Rhys Jones.
- One of those interested parties has built a strong business plan with architectural scope provided. Officers continue to communicate with any interested operators.
- Officers are now working towards presenting a paper to Members about the next steps required to formalise the interest received so far which will include advice regarding State Aid, and procurement.

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
HLF convert Heritage Enterprise to a loan	We continue to communicate with the HLF to
scheme and alternative funding option not	understand what funding they provide and how
available	their schemes fit the Winter Gardens. Recent
	communications indicate that a future round
	will look very similar to the Heritage Enterprise
	grant programme.
A tender submission is not received during	The HLF will likely continue to launch a Major
procurement timeframe, resulting in the	Batch funding opportunity in early 2019 that
Council not identifying a commercial operator	the Council could submit an application,
to apply for further HLF Enterprise funding.	without a commercial operator but will require
	a stronger operational business case to
	support the application.

Financial Summary							
Overall Approved Budget		Actual Spend to date		Savings	Income		
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Achieved	Achieved		
£0	£45,200	£0	£45,200	£0	£0		
Financial data verified by (name of finance officer) Date							
Keith Phillips			19/10/2018				



Project Name	(KP08) Venetian Waterways		Date		October
					2018
Project Manager	Michelle Burdett	Lead ELT Officer	Kate Watts		
Status	RED – serious	AMBER – Problen	ns GREEN – n		no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	but within problem		problems	s or only
	tolerance	contingency plan		minor iss	sues

Project Overview:

The aim of the whole project is to undertake comprehensive restoration and repair, engaging experts, trainees and volunteers throughout the duration of the project. The legacy will be a restored heritage asset, a highly skilled workforce with traditional construction and horticulture skills which will be supported into employment. In addition to this, the project will closely work with the Community, particularly those presently disconnected from the labour market or whom face multiple disadvantages when trying to access employment.

Key Project Targets and Achievements	Milestones	Achieved/
, , , ₀		Target Date
	Contractor Award and Appointment	complete
	Start date on site	complete
	Members Working group and Stakeholders site meetings	complete
	Decision on café / restaurant offer	complete
	Volunteers to start physical works on site	1/8/18
	Launch of tender for whole of site operation	Oct 18
	HLF and Members Working group and Stakeholders	21/8/18
	Meetings	21/0/10
	Recruitment of Gardeners	complete
	HLF and Members Working group and Stakeholders	20/9/18
	Meetings	20/3/10
	Tender completed, assessed and awarded	15/10/18
	Completion of boating lake works	Easter 19
	Completion of all site works	15/5/19

Summary / Progress

- Work has started on site: the compound has been set up between the boating lake and waterways, and work has begun at the boating lake.
- Site works focusing on the Boating lake site are progressing well, the whole site has now been closed so work has also commenced on the Waterways site. There are some delays but works are being moved around to accommodate this. Thus far the end date of the project has not changed.
- Members working group met to discuss the completed business case review and have an overview of current financial position, they also visited the construction site. A follow up P+R paper has been discussed at the October Committee.
- The stakeholder group met to be updated about the project, here a number of concerns regarding the water quality of the VW were raised, and they also visited the construction site.
- Gardeners posts the Head Gardener and Assistant Gardener are now appointed, both Head / Asst gardeners are now recruiting the Apprentice (the advert has now closed).

- The final drafts of the Boating Lake café / horticultural work tenders have been completed. These are due to be launched by the end of October via OJEU.
- Helpful officer meetings have taken place with GYPT to agree their involvement / lead on the joinery / carpentry and other volunteering works on site.

Financial Summa	ary				
Approved Budget		Acti	Actual/Committed Spend		Income Achieved
Capital £2,088,000	Revenue £668,000	Capital £ 199135	Revenue £ 46003	£	£
	erified by (name of	Date October 2			<u> </u>



Project Name	(KP09) Improvinç	Date	October 2018	
Project Manager	David Helsdon	Lead ELT Officer	Kate Watts	
Status	RED – serious	AMBER - Problem	s GREEN	– no
(delete as appropriate)	problems out of	but within	problen	ns or
	tolerance	contingency plan	only mi	nor
			issues	

Project Overview

By 2025, trade and custom in the 6- and 2-day markets is greatly improved, supported by new stalls and service facilities and by the newly-paved Market Place which has created a beautiful setting for an expanded programme of outdoor events and improved building frontages.

Key Project Targets	Milestones	Achieved/
and Achievements		Target Date
	Better management of both markets Restructure has taken place and Market Management is on site 6 days a week. New market fees for 2 day traders has encouraged new traders to join and the 6 day market remains stable ahead of development options. Regular marketing meetings are held with trader representation to strengthen the market offer.	Met
B1. Better market operations	Better management of both markets Restructure has taken place and Market Management is on site 6 days a week. New market fees for 2 day traders has encouraged new traders to join and the 6 day market remains stable ahead of development options. Regular marketing meetings are held with trader representation to strengthen the market offer. Better facilities for 2 day market Revised fees in place.	
B2. Business support	Shopfront improvement scheme Now on the 5 th trance of applications. Awaiting committee to agree next tranche £16k applications. Should all applications be approved be up to 48K however only £20,800 claimed from 60k budget.	The scheme will continue until the funds are exhausted. At the current rate we can expect the funds to be exhausted by Spring 2019.
B3. Public Realm investment	Market Place and Church Square Design concept and funding bid Design team has been appointed and 2 nd design meeting arranged. P&R Committee have deferred a decision on the redevelopment until the consultation	Market Trader consultations will run from 8 th November to the 30 th November. Week commencing 12 th

with individual Market Traders has been completed. No timeframe was submitted on the deferment.	November we received notice we had not been
CCF fund for £2.3 million submitted (expression of interest stage)	successful with our £1.5m bid to the Coastal Communities fund.
Note. Decision regarding the CCF has been delayed and is now expected end/ start of November.	An application has been made to draw down upon the Pooled Business
	Rates fund, a decision is expected imminently.

The planned redevelopment of the Market Place has been challenged by existing six-day market traders who have raised a number of concerns about the project. During the P&R meeting held on Tuesday, 16 October. The committee asked for a deferment on the decision surrounding the development of the market until all 32 of the six-day traders had been individually consulted with. Commencing of the 8th November Head of Property and Project Manager started this individual business consultation process. To ensure accurate record of the consultation is kept a Statement of Common Ground will be signed by all parties around the table.

As a result of the deferment and the request from members for the individual business consultations, the development will now be presented during the December P&R Committee. Planning for the application will then be sought January 2019.

Week commencing 12th November we received notice we had not been successful with our £1.5m bid to the Coastal Communities fund. An application has been made to draw down upon the Pooled Business Rates fund, a decision is expected imminently.

Issues / Risks	Mitigation / decision required
If the November individual consultations are not recorded correctly this could potentially delay the project being sent to planning January 2019	Individual consultations to be completed by the end of November 2018. Statements of Common ground to be signed by all parties to ensure the validity and accuracy of the consultation meetings.
A shortfall of £1.5m in the £2.7m development plan will impact on building of the Market Place infrastructure due to new funding avenues being sought.	PM office (David Helsdon/ Claire Sullivan) have made an application to the Pool Business Rates fund to meet the shortfall in funding.

Financial Summar	'y				
Overall Approved Budget		Actual Spe	end to date	Savings	Income
Capital	Revenue	Capital	Revenue	Achieved	Achieved
£	£100k	£	£95k	£	£
Financial data ver	ified by (name o	of finance office	er)	Date	
	Timanolai data vormou by (namo or imanoc omoci)				

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS – SUMMARY REPORT QUARTER 2 (Jul – Sept) 2018/19 POLICY & RESOURCES COMMITTEE

	Previous This _		Qtr 2		Trend		
Indicators	Quarter	Quarter	Target	2017/18	Status	Last Period	Last Year
PR01: Average time to assess Housing & Council Tax: Benefit new claims (Quarterly Cumulative)	24 days	20 days	18 days	16 days	Α	•	•
PR02: Average time to assess Housing & Council Tax: Change in circumstances (Quarterly Cumulative)	13 days	11 days	10 days	9 days	Α	•	•
PR03: Collection rates Council Tax (Quarterly Cumulative)	28.7%	55.3%	55.5%	55.3%	G	N/A	+ +
PR04: Number of long term empty homes (6 months or more) (Snapshot at last day of quarter)	546	591	Less than 600	555	G	•	•
PR05: Collection rates NNDR (Quarterly Cumulative)	26.5%	55.2%	52.4%	56.1%	G	N/A	•
PR06: Contact centre telephone calls: Percentage of Contact Centre calls answered as a % of all calls offered (Quarterly Cumulative)	81.18%	80.24%	90%	73.86%	Α	•	•
Note: Additional resource is now in place to help furth necessary training, however, Quarter 3 is already resuexceeding the 90% target.							al days
PR07: Contact centre telephone calls: Average wait time by customers contacting the Contact Centre (Quarterly)	2:54 minutes	2:53 minutes	1:30 minutes	2:40 minutes	Α	•	•
Note: Quarter 3 is already resulting in improvement w	ith October to	date reducing	the averag	ge wait to 1:	52 minutes	3	
PR08: Number of new customers signing up to 'My account' (Quarterly Cumulative)	1,023	1,868	2,400	3,036	Α	N/A	1

894 16	63,237 1 tic, the new ve		Qtr 2 2017/18 88,267	Status	Last Period	Las Yea
g this statisti	tic, the new ve	·	·	G	N1/0	
•		ersion is re			N/A	N/A
		33.3.10	ot que			
% 80	0.58% 8	35%	86.73%	Α		•
ng more resond ssues with the me and speed on the first qua	ource to the p he corporate of d up the respon arter and char	orocess to email syst onses to re nges alrea	bring it up em. Furthe equests but	to date follor r changes to these mig	owing the to the prod ht not filte	r
7% 84	4% 1	100%	75.4%	R	N/A	1
	er with the cong more resules with the and spee of the first quicklevable the special contents of the special three special thre	er with the cumulative out ng more resource to the psues with the corporate ne and speed up the response the first quarter and charachievable then the 90% in 7% 84%	er with the cumulative outturn of 80. ng more resource to the process to ssues with the corporate email syst he and speed up the responses to real the first quarter and changes alreat chievable then the 90% initially set. 7% 84% 100%	er with the cumulative outturn of 80.58%. The ang more resource to the process to bring it up ssues with the corporate email system. Furthene and speed up the responses to requests but the first quarter and changes already made to chievable then the 90% initially set. 7% 84% 100% 75.4%	er with the cumulative outturn of 80.58%. The actual quaring more resource to the process to bring it up to date follows sues with the corporate email system. Further changes the and speed up the responses to requests but these might the first quarter and changes already made to the process chievable then the 90% initially set. 7% 84% 100% 75.4%	er with the cumulative outturn of 80.58%. The actual quarter two ng more resource to the process to bring it up to date following the ssues with the corporate email system. Further changes to the process and speed up the responses to requests but these might not filted the first quarter and changes already made to the process the target chievable then the 90% initially set.

PR12: The number of working days lost due to sickness absence per FTE. (Quarterly Cumulative)	1.69	3.17	4.20	4.48	G	N/A	1
PR13: % of Audit days delivered (of the annual plan) (Quarterly cumulative)	20%	39%	36%	49%	G	N/A	•

Note: The audit programme will vary from one year to the next depending on what audits are undertaken and when during the year, so although more of the audit programme was delivered by quarter two in 2017/18 the 2018/19 is on target to deliver the programme with more audits to be completed in the second half of the financial year.

PR14: Percentage of priority 1 Internal Audit				New			
recommendations completed on time (Quarterly	*	*	100%	measure	*	N/A	N/A
cumulative)							

Note:*PR14 and PR15 are new measures for this financial year and require further discussion between the Finance Director and the Head of Internal Audit to determine exactly how this can be measured (commentary from Emma Hodds, Head of Governance & Monitoring Officer South Norfolk Council).

	Previous	This		Qtr 2		Tre	end
Indicators	Quarter	Quarter	Target	2017/18	Status	Last Period	Last Year
PR15: Percentage of priority 2 Internal Audit recommendations completed on time (Quarterly cumulative)	*	*	90%	New measure	*	N/A	N/A
Note: *See commentary for PR14.							
PR16: Number of people signed up to 'E Billing' (Quarterly Cumulative)	3,429	3,586	3,300	2,532	G	1	•
PR17: Open Access: Number of user logins within 'Open Access' (Quarterly)	520	417	375	278	G	•	1
PR18: Number of 'Webchat' calls received (Quarterly Cumulative)	45	589	500	684	G	N/A	•
PR19: Corporate Property Portfolio Revenue Growth per annum (Quarterly Cumulative) Commentary: Revenue growth has reduced purely as	15.33%	4.38%	10%	New measure	R	N/A	N/A
lower than anticipated, this is a direct result of the red- been profiled as a result of the first quarter activity. The then impacted by the Market income which is -17.60%	ne overall rent						
PR20: Corporate Property Portfolio Arrears per annum (Quarterly Cumulative)	8%	5.42%	3%	New measure	*	•	N/A
Commentary: *The current performance, as reported							et fall
due and distorts the outturn figure of 5.42%. Work is PR21: Corporate Property Overall Occupancy levels	still underway	to exclude the	ese paymer 	New	calculation) <u>.</u>	
per annum (Quarterly Cumulative)	93.9%	94.2%	95%	measure	Α	•	N/A
PR22: % of agendas published in accordance with statutory deadlines (no later than five working days from date of meeting) (Quarterly Cumulative)	100%	100%	100%	New measure	G	(+)	N/A
PR23: Payment of Invoices within 30 days (%) (Quarterly Cumulative)	95.8%	96.7%	90%	New measure	G	N/A	N/A

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS – SUMMARY REPORT QUARTER 2 (Jul - Sept) 2018/19

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

	Previous	This		Qtr 2		Tre	end
Measure	Quarter	Quarter	Target	2017/18	Status	Last Period	Last Year
ED01: Planning applications: Major applications					_		
determined within 13 weeks or as agreed extension	80%	85.7%	75%	100%	G		↓
(Quarterly Cumulative)							
ED02: Planning applications: Non Major (Minor or						4	_
Other) applications determined within 8 weeks or as	78%	78%	75%	89.5%	G		
agreed extension (Quarterly Cumulative)							
ED03: Percentage of Major planning applications							
processed within 13 weeks or as agreed extension	93%	95%	75%	91.7%	G	1	1
over the last 24 months (Quarterly Cumulative)							
ED04: Percentage of Non Major planning				1			
applications processed within 8 weeks or as agreed	78.6%	84%	75%	New	G		N/A
extension over the last 24 months (Quarterly				measure			
Cumulative)							
ED05: Percentage of Major planning applications	00/	0.000/	00/	00/		_	_
overturned on appeal over the last 24 months	0%	0.08%	9%	0%	G	-	♣
(Quarterly Cumulative)							
ED06: Planning Appeals: Percentage of Non Major				New			
Planning applications overturned on appeal over the last 24 months of an authority's total number of	0.69%	0.16%	9%	measure	G		N1/A
decisions on applications (Quarterly Cumulative)	0.0976	0.1076	976	illeasure			N/A
ED07: Building Control: The percentage of building							
regulation applications where a decision notice is	100%	100%	100%	100%		40	
issued within the eight week statutory period.	100 /6	100 /6	10076	10076	G	77	~~
(Quarterly Cumulative)							
,							
ED08: Percentage of Land Charges search returns	97.4%	96.4%	90%	83%	G	1	
sent within 10 working days. (Quarterly Cumulative)	57.70	00.470	3070	5570	0	•	
ED09: Enterprise Zone: Beacon Park						4	
a) % of empty floor space across Beacon Park	15.56%	15.56%	15%	20.39%	Α	(+)	
(Quarterly Snapshot at last day of quarter)							

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS – SUMMARY REPORT QUARTER 2 (Jul - Sept) 2018/19

ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

	Previous	This		Qtr 2		Tre	end	
Measure	Quarter	Quarter	Target	2017/18	Status	Last Period	Last Year	
EN01: % of food premises scoring 3 star food hygiene ratings or above (Snapshot at last day of quarter)	96.2%	96.3%	94%	96.1%	G	•	•	
EN02: Garden waste service: a) Number of households taking up garden waste bin service.	8,968	9,350	9,100	8,910	G	•	•	
b) Total tonnage of garden waste recycled. (Quarterly Cumulative)	1,227.10	2,249.02	2,200	2,160.93	G	N/A	1	
EN03: Percentage of total domestic waste collected which is sent for recycling (Quarterly Cumulative)	34.4%	34.5%	40%	New measure	Α	N/A	N/A	
Note: The target of 40% is an aspirational figure that residual waste and the introduction of alternative recycles.				•	e service,	a reductio	n of	
EN04: Number of Flytips reported (Quarterly Cumulative)	366	842	546	New measure	R	N/A	N/A	
(Quarterly Cumulative) 000 012 013 measure N/A N/A N/A Commentary: Performance is below the national average target. However, the Rangers team have far this year prosecuting 25 individual's for waste related offences with a further 17 cases in due court procedure. Alongside enforcement the team also carries out preventative work through the usage of signage, letter drops, social media and one to one education with a particular focus on hotspot areas.								
EN05: Flytips removed within 3 working days (Quarterly Cumulative)	89%	89%	100%	100%	Α	+	•	

Note: For the period of May through to July there was a specific issue relating to the staff being able to access reports via the App for the collection of white goods which consequently affected the average collection time. Staff from this crew were not able to fully access the app to manage these reports during this period and consequently this affected the average collection time. Once this issue was realised it was resolved. As a consequence in August and September collection times improved with GYBS reporting 99% and 100% respectively for these months.

	Previous	This		Qtr 2 2017/18	Status	Trend	
Measure	Quarter	Quarter	Target			Last Period	Last Year
EN06: Number of streets in the Borough meeting street cleanliness levels						_	
a) Litter (formerly NI195a)	96%	96%	95%	New	G		N/A
b) Detritus (formerly NI195b)	96%	96%	93%	measures		-	N/A
(Snapshot at last month of quarter)							

Note: This measure has historically been recorded based on data provided by GYBS. As part of the contract management functions Environmental Services carries out its own auditing of the various work streams of GYBS street cleansing. The outturn figure shows the measure collated through the collective findings of both GYBS and Environmental Services with an average figure of their audits being provided.

EN07: Contamination rate in dry recycling (Quarterly Cumulative)

20.2%

21.2%

New measure

N/A

N/A

Note: No comparison data for 2017/18 as this is a new measure.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS – SUMMARY REPORT QUARTER 2 (Jul – Sept) 2018/19

HOUSING AND NEIGHBOURHOODS COMMITTEE

Trend

HN01: Great Yarmouth Community Housing rent: GYCH rent collection rate a) % of rent & arrears collected b) Arrears as a % of rent debit c) Total rent arrears (Quarterly Cumulative) HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of respective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average Cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) 97% 98% 95% New measure A Period A P £404,963 A F404,963	Maasura	MASSIFA	This	Target	Qtr 2	Status	Last Last	
GYCH rent collection rate a) % of rent & arrears collected b) Arrears as a % of rent debit 1.49% 1.56% 2.345,095 2.361,688 2.300,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 2.18 3.000,000 3.000	Measure		2017/18	Otatus				
a) % of rent & arrears collected b) Arrears as a % of rent debit c) Total rent arrears (Quarterly Cumulative) HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) Page 404,963 A 404,963 A 404,963 A 404,963 A 404,963 A 509 A 500,000 A 500,000 A 60,000	HN01: Great Yarmouth Community Housing rent:							_
b) Arrears as a % of rent debit c) Total rent arrears (Quarterly Cumulative) HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A The member of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN05) contribute to a lower average void time (HN05) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A The member of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN05) Prevised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Power of a void repair (Quarterly School of the revised Allocation on the revised Allocation of the revised Allocation of the revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation of the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN06: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Power of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN05) and the power average v	GYCH rent collection rate					G	1	1
C) Total rent arrears (Quarterly Cumulative) HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) F2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A The implementation of the revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) F2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A The Mote: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids PHN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids PHN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids	,							^
(Quarterly Cumulative) HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) \$\frac{29 \text{ days}}{20 \text{ days}}\$ \$\frac{23 \text{ days}}{20 \text{ days}}\$ \$\frac{35 \text{ days}}{35 \text{ days}}\$ \$\frac{3}{47	•							
HN02: Number of a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50	,	£345,095	£361,688	£300,000	£404,963	Α	-	1
a) Social housing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days 4 4 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6							Ť	
a) Social nousing applicants in allocation pool b) Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) Phosphore Tenerate of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 20 days 27 10 days 27 10 days 24.54 218 375 218 375 369 350 300*								
Social housing new applicants awaiting assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50		304	360	300*	218			
assessment (Snapshot at last day of quarter) Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) Phota: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower average void time (HN04) and lower average v	b) Social housing new applicants awaiting							1
Commentary: *The number of applicants in the allocation pool has risen. This is to be seen as improved performance as it reduces the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) \$\frac{\frac		000	000	330	070	G	_	_
the number of applicants waiting for a decision. An increased number of applicants in the pool contribute to a lower average void time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days A L A L HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 27 10 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50								
time (HN03) and lower nomination time (HN08) due to the immediate availability of prospective tenants. The implementation of the revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 10 days 27 10 days 28 47 days G 10 days 27 10 days 28 42.50								
revised Allocation Scheme will increase the numbers in the pool further. A revised target will be set shortly once the revised Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days A HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 27 10 days 28 days 47 days A F2,540 E3,425 A F3,425 A F4 A F4 A F4 A F4 A F4 A F5 A F6 A F7 A F								
Allocation Scheme is in place. HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days G HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50								of the
HN03: Average Time to Re-let Local Authority Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days A A A A A A A A A A A A A		in the pool fur	ther. A revised	d target will	be set short	tly once the	e revised	
Housing (Quarterly Cumulative) HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) E2,684 E2,745 E2,540 E3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days 47 days A A A A A A A A A A A A A	!	1			T			r
HN04: Average cost of a Void repair (Quarterly Cumulative) ### E2,684 ### E2,745 ### E2,540 ### E2		29 days	23 days	35 days	47 days	G		
Cumulative) £2,684 £2,745 £2,540 £3,425 A HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) 97% 98% 95% measure G New measure G Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days G HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50		20 days	20 days	oo days	47 days	J		
HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 9.4 days 9.4 days 9.5 March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. 10 days 27 days 10 days 27 days 42.50			00 = 4=					
with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 97% 98% 95% measure G 1 1 A A	Cumulative)	£2,684	£2,745	£2,540	£3,425	Α		1
with the repairs service they received (Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 97% 98% 95% measure G 1 1 A A	HN05: Percentage of residents very or fairly satisfied				New			
(Quarterly Cumulative) Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50		97%	98%	95%	_	G		
Note: The way satisfaction monitoring was changed in March 2018, with different criteria applied so no comparative figure available for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days G HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	37 70	3070	3370	measure	J	_	
for 2017/18. HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50								
HN06: Time taken for GYNorse to complete standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days 42.50								
standard voids (Quarterly Cumulative) 9.9 days 9.4 days 10 days 27 days HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50							_	_
HN07: Time taken for GYNorse to complete all voids 26 96 days 27 10 days 24.54 42.50		9.9 days	9.4 days	10 days	27 days	G	-	1
' 26 Y6 da/s 27 10 da/s				24.54	42.50	_	_	_
	(Quarterly Cumulative)	26.96 days	27.10 days	days	days	Α	-	1

	Previous	This		Qtr 2		Trend	
Measure	Quarter	Quarter	Target	2017/18	Status	Last Period	Last Year
HN08: Time taken for Housing Options to match property (Quarterly Cumulative)	3 days	2 days	14 days	17 days	G		1
HN09: Number of Disabled Facilities Grant (DFGs) Numbers of calendar days from initial request to works complete (Quarterly Cumulative)	258	309	240 days	New measure	R	•	N/A

Commentary: There has seen a significant increase in the number of DFGs completed in Quarter two, up from 18 in the previous quarter to 36 in this quarter. However, performance has dipped this quarter. Investigations have revealed the average cost of the adaptation has increased this quarter from £6,586 to £7,612 indicating a greater level of work per adaptation leading to a longer period of time to complete the work. Some cases were delayed waiting for a technical officer to be available. These cases were started prior to the additional 0.5 fte Technical Officer post coming on stream in January of this year. Two of the cases took a month to receive the recommendation from the Occupational Therapist this is likely to reflect the complexity of the case, which correlates with the increase in average spend and time taken to deliver the works.

HN10: Wherry Way Control Centre call handling: a) Alarm Calls answered within 30 seconds	89.52%	87.56%	80%	84.96%	G	•	•
b) Alarm Calls answered within 60 seconds (Quarterly Cumulative)	95.57%	94.06%	98%	93.79%	Α	•	1
HN11: Neighbourhoods That Work programme a) Number of self-help resident led community groups supported to develop.	3	9	14	28		N/A	•
b) Number of residents who have overcome issues preventing them from getting and holding down a job, resulting in them sustaining employment.	11	17	30	6	Α	N/A	1
c) Number of residents with complex needs supported to overcome at least one personal	20	20	20	12		N/A	
challenge. (Quarterly Cumulative)							

Note: The programme for Neighbourhoods at Work started in September so the project years run from 1 September to 31 August each year so their reporting period is not in line with our performance cycle of 1 April to 31 March.

Key	
Status	
G	Current performance has met or exceeded target/ has met or exceeded trend
A	Current performance is below target but within tolerance/ is below trend but within tolerance
R	Current performance is below target and tolerance/ is below trend and tolerance
	Contextual information only
Trend	
↑ ↓	Performance for quarter is improving (up) or deteriorating (down) compared to previous quarter.
↑ ↓	Performance for period (quarter) is improving (up) or deteriorating (down) compared to same quarter last year.

Key:

NA = No target set, contextual information only

N/A = Not available/not applicable