

Environment Committee

Date:Wednesday, 20 July 2016Time:18:30Venue:Supper RoomAddress:Town Hall, Hall Plain, Great Yarmouth, NR30 2QF

AGENDA

Open to Public and Press

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

You have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in a matter to be discussed if it relates to something on your Register of Interests form. You must declare the interest and leave the room while the matter is dealt with.

You have a Personal Interest in a matter to be discussed if it affects

- your well being or financial position
- that of your family or close friends
- that of a club or society in which you have a management role
- that of another public body of which you are a member to a greater extent than others in your ward.

You must declare a personal interest but can speak and vote on the matter.

Whenever you declare an interest you must say why the interest arises, so that it can be included in the minutes.

3 <u>MINUTES</u>

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on the 8 June 2016.

4 MATTERS ARISING

To consider any matters arising from the above minutes.

5 AIR QUALITY STATUS REPORT

7 - 38

Report attached.

6 UPDATE OF GYBS SERVICES

A Verbal update will be given at the meeting.

7 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

To consider any other business as may be determined by the Chairman of the meeting as being of sufficient urgency to warrant consideration.

Environment Committee

Minutes

Wednesday, 08 June 2016 at 18:30

PRESENT :

Councillor Smith (in the Chair); Councillors Annison, Bensly, Fairhead, Grant, Hacon, Hanton, Jones, Pratt, Walch, Waters-Bunn, Weymouth and Wright.

Also in attendance :-

Jane Beck (Director of Customer Services), Nicola Holden, Graham Jermyn and Simon Mutton (GYB Services), Sarah Flatman (Commercial Manager), Glenn Buck (Group Manager, Environmental Services) and Sammy Wintle (Member Services Officer).

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence received.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Chairman and Councillor Grant declared a personal interest in item 5, in their capacity as members of the Norfolk Waste Partnership, but in accordance with the Council's Constitution were allowed to vote and speak on the matter.

3 FOOD SAFETY SERVICE PLAN

Sarah Flatman, Commercial Manager, provided Members with a brief overview of the Environmental Health Team, its staffing levels and the wide range of activities which were delivered by the department.

Members were advised that the Food Safety Service Plan was an annual statutory requirement, under the Food Standards Agency Framework Directive. The Service Plan explored the remit of the food safety service and the commitment to improving food standards of businesses in the Borough.

The Chairman pointed out that the dates on page 8 of the report were incorrect and should read 1 April 2015 to the 31 March 2016 and he requested that these be corrected. He asked for an update on the Civica App, and was advised that good progress had been made.

A Member asked if regular meetings were held with Trading Standards, this was confirmed by Sarah Flatman.

A Member asked for an update regarding premises with no public convenience facilities, it was advised that guidance had been reviewed and that a number of factors were taken into consideration to determine whether a premise required toilet facilities. A further question was raised as to whether it was compulsory for businesses to display their food rating certificates. It was reported that at present, it was not compulsory to display the certificate, however, it was hoped that this will be implemented in the near future.

A Member asked what sanctions were in place if a residential care home did not meet the required food standards, Sarah Flatman advised that sanctions would be dependent on what was found during an inspection.

The Chairman thanked Sarah and her team for all their hard work.

RESOLVED:

(i) That the Committee endorse the aims and objectives of the Food Safety Service Plan.

(ii) That the Committee approve the adoption of the Food Service Plan for 2016/17.

4 GYBS PRESENTATION

Graham Jermyn, GYBS, gave a presentation to Members detailing the Joint Venture Company and the services it provided.

A Member raised concern in relation to the Gorleston cliffs area, it was advised that there had been several issues with the machinery used for the grass cutting on the cliffs, however, this had now been repaired and grass cutting on the cliffs would commence next week.

A Member asked whether the horse trough situated at the bottom of Gorleston cliffs could be utilised, Simon Mutton, GYBS raised the possibility of bedding plants. It was advised that should Great Yarmouth in Bloom have spare bedding plants after planting was completed, the remainder of plants could be used.

Concern was raised in relation to the vast quantity of weeds near to the Sealife Centre on Yarmouth seafront, it was advised that the maintenance of this area was part of the Sealife Centre lease and that this matter would be raised with them.

A Member asked in relation to the length of the grass at the Beaconsfield play area and whether this would be cut, it was advised that discussions were being undertaken with GYBS staff to find a solution to alleviate the back log for grass cutting within the Borough.

A Member asked in relation to weed killer being sprayed on weeds on pathways and whether this matter would come under the Highways Department. It was reported that this would be a matter for Norfolk County Council, Jane Beck advised that email notification had been received from Norfolk County Council stating that they would be spraying twice a year and was due to start next week.

A Member raised concern in relation to road sweeping and asked if the rota could be provided, it was agreed that Graham Jermyn would send this information via email to all members.

Jane Beck, Director of Customer Services, advised Members that Norfolk County Council were encouraging people to report any matters through their Customer Services Department. However should Members have complaints which had been raised by residents in their wards, these could be sent to GYBC, who will then facilitate these complaints on their behalf.

The Chairman advised Members that Councillors Pratt, Hacon and himself attended a monthly meeting with Graham Jermyn to discuss issues that might arise and asked the Committee to endorse that this should continue.

RESOLVED :

That the Committee agree to the continuation of a monthly meeting between Councillors Pratt, Hacon and Smith and Graham Jermyn of GYB Services.

5 WASTE COLLECTION

Glenn Buck, Group Manager Environmental Services, provided Members with an overview of his report which informed Members of the current arrangements for the collection, recycling and disposal of domestic solid waste within the Borough.

The Group Manager, Environmental Services, reported on the Norfolk Waste Partnership. He advised that the Council, together with all the other Norfolk District Councils and the County Council formed the Norfolk Waste Partnership. The Partnership was formed to help tackle and reduce the amount of household waste produced in the County.

Members were advised that a tour of the Materials Recycling Facility could be arranged if requested.

RESOLVED :

(i) That the Committee note the information contained within the Group Manager, Environmental Services report.

(ii) That the Committee endorse the research of the Norfolk Waste Partnership.

6 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

The Chairman advised Members of the establishment of Forward Plans for all Committees and that this would be a standing item on future agenda.

7 DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

The Chairman confirmed that the next meeting would be held on Wednesday 20 July 2016 at 6:30 pm.

The meeting ended at: 20:25

Subject: AIR QUALITY STATUS REPORT 2016

Report to: EMT, 7th July 2016; Environmental Committee, 20th July 2016

Report by: David Addy, Environmental Health Officer

SUBJECT MATTER/RECOMMENDATIONS

Reporting of Great Yarmouth's 2016 Air Quality Annual Status Report.

Recommendation:

That the committee notes the Air Quality Annual Status Report and its contents.

1. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

1.1 Great Yarmouth Borough Council must annually report on the status of the air quality in the Borough, as required by Part IV of the Environment Act 1995.

2. AIR QUALITY ANNUAL STATUS REPORT

- 2.1 Overall, this Air Quality Annual Status Report has shown that air quality standards are being met, and should continue to be for next 12 months.
- 2.2 The Council's detailed air quality monitoring programme gives the surety to vital decisions around transport, infrastructure, business, and housing development for the Borough.
- 2.3 A detailed assessment is not required for any pollutants and the Council will progress to the next Annual Status Report in 2017.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no financial implications at present, as the monitoring and reporting work is within existing budget provision, and the Air Quality Objectives in England are being met.

4. **RISK IMPLICATIONS**

4.1 There are no risk implications at present, as the Air Quality Objectives in England are being met.

5. CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Overall, this Air Quality Annual Status Report has not revealed any exceedance of air quality standards and has not predicted any likely exceedance over the next 12 months.

6. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

6.1 That the committee notes the Air Quality Annual Status Report and its contents.

7. BACKGROUND PAPERS

APPENDIX 1 – Great Yarmouth Borough Council 2016 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

Areas of consideration: e.g. does this report raise any of the following issues and if so how have these been considered/mitigated against?

Area for consideration	Comment
Monitoring Officer Consultation:	No
Section 151 Officer Consultation:	No
Existing Council Policies:	No
Financial Implications:	No
Legal Implications (including human	No
rights):	
Risk Implications:	No
Equality Issues/EQIA assessment:	No
Crime & Disorder:	No
Every Child Matters:	No

APPENDIX 1



2016 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

July 2016

Page 9 of 38

Local Authority Officer	David Addy
With help and input from	Glenn Buck Jane Jackson Allan Thomson Richard Alger
Department	Environmental Services
Address	Town Hall Hall Plain Great Yarmouth Norfolk NR30 2QF
Telephone	01493 846678
E-mail	David.Addy@Great-Yarmouth.gov.uk
Report Reference number	GYBC/ASR/2016
Date	4 th July 2016

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area

Overall, this Air Quality Annual Status Report has not revealed any exceedance of air quality standards and has not predicted any likely exceedance over the next 12 months.

The Outer Harbour remains in use for general bulk cargo and there are no plans to set up a container terminal. The Port has recently been sold to Peel Ports, who have been successful in securing contracts related to north sea offshore wind turbine construction and maintenance. The detailed assessment in 2010 recommended a watching brief and that position remains the same.

The Local Enterprise Zone for the South Denes peninsula, plus the Local Development Order covering this area, and small parts of Southtown and Gorleston, may attract in new industry over the coming years and with its relaxation of planning standards. Close liaison between Environmental Services and Planning departments is essential in early identification of new business that may impact on local air quality.

The large residential development and enterprise zone in Bradwell and South Gorleston is progressing, and the associated A12 - A143 link road (assessed as unlikely to have adverse impacts) has been completed.

The dualling of the A47 between Acle and Great Yarmouth has no firm timescale for construction yet. Elsewhere the Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing (GYTRC) (between Southtown and the South Denes Peninsula) now has an intended scheme delivery of 2021/2023, with the design phase starting in 2018.

Highways England are currently exploring options to improve a number of A47/A12 junctions in Great Yarmouth, including the Harfrey's Roundabout where the proposed GYTRC would join the A12. The Option Development stage is due to be completed later in 2016 with construction planned for 2020/21. Together the GYTRC and A47/A12 junction improvements have the potential to significantly improve connectivity between the LDO / Enterprise Zone including port of Great Yarmouth, and the strategic road network.

These developments make it absolutely critical that the Council maintains it's typeapproved real-time air quality monitoring, so that the data is available to support the design and planning stages of the third crossing, plus to monitor the real-world operational phase.

Page 11 of 38

A detailed assessment is not required for any pollutants and the Council will progress to the next Annual Status Report in 2017.

Air Quality in the Borough of Great Yarmouth

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas^{1,2}.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around ± 16 billion³.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council's area is situated in the south east corner of Norfolk. It covers the area from Hopton-on-Sea in the south to Winterton-on-Sea in the north, a coastline of some 24 miles. The southern boundary follows the County boundary with Suffolk. To the west and north, the Borough is bounded by rivers of the Norfolk Broads including the Yare, Waveney, Bure and Thurne.

A mixed urban/rural area, the population of approximately 98,000 is concentrated in the urban centres of Great Yarmouth, Gorleston, Bradwell and Caister-on-Sea, with smaller communities in Hopton-on-Sea, Hemsby, Martham, Ormesby and Winterton on-Sea. In summer, the population doubles. The geology is gently undulating in the east on glacial tills with flat marshland adjacent to the Broadland rivers. The River Yare is the principal river of Broadland and this discharges to the North Sea at Great Yarmouth, forming a long narrow port area. There are a number of Sites of Special Scientific Interest and Breydon Water is considered an important international site with a RAMSAR designation.

Most land use outside the built up urban areas is given over to farming. This is predominantly arable farming although there are grazing marshes on the river flood plains. Large areas adjacent to the coast are used in conjunction with tourism which is one of the main industries. The Port is the principal UK base for the Southern

¹ Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

² Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

³ Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing change in arguate, May 2013

North Sea offshore oil and gas industry, plus it is becoming established as a centre for the construction and maintenance of offshore wind farms in the North Sea.

Actions to Improve Air Quality

The Borough does not have any Air Quality Management Areas, and so there is no action plan to improve air quality. However, the Council has taken a significant number of measures forward (see section 2) to improve air quality, and reduce the exposure of the public to adverse air quality.

Local Priorities and Challenges

The aforementioned Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing (GYTRC) development makes it absolutely critical that the Council maintains it's type-approved real-time air quality monitoring, so that the data is available to support the (2018) design and planning stages of the third crossing, plus to monitor the real-world operational phase. The challenge here is that the Council's air quality monitoring station is over 20 years old and has equipment and enclosure integrity failures, which threaten the Council's ability to continue such a detailed air quality monitoring programme, which gives the surety to vital decisions around transport, infrastructure, business, and housing development for the Borough.

How to Get Involved

If people would like to find out more about air quality, and how they can contribute to improving it in their area, these links can provide further information:

- Defra's UK Government UK-Air website: <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/</u>
- Great Yarmouth Borough Council's real-time and historic air quality (and meteorology) monitoring data: <u>http://www.wecare4air.co.uk/air-qualitydata/great-yarmouth-bc-gorleston/</u>
- Sustrans' 'CleanSpace' sustainable transport and air quality movement: <u>http://www.sustrans.org.uk/what-you-can-do/use-your-car-less/join-air-quality-movement</u>
- 'Air Pollution' website college/university level: <u>http://www.air-</u> <u>quality.org.uk/index.php</u>

Page 13 of 38

- BBC 'Bitesize' GCSE air quality: <u>http://www.bbc.co.uk/schools/gcsebitesize/science/21c/air_quality/</u>
- 'Clean Air Kids' air quality website for children aged 5-11: <u>http://www.clean-air-kids.org.uk/index.html</u>

Please note that Great Yarmouth Borough Council does not have any control over the content of the above websites, and is not responsible for their content, which it does not necessarily endorse.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area	i
Air Quality in the Borough of Great Yarmouth	ii
Actions to Improve Air Quality	. iii
Local Priorities and Challenges	. iii
How to Get Involved	. iii
1 Local Air Quality Management	. 1
2 Actions to Improve Air Quality	. 2
2.1 Air Quality Management Areas	. 2
2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in the Borough of	
Great Yarmouth	. 2
2.3 PM _{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and or	
Concentrations	. 6
3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality	
Objectives and National Compliance	. 7
3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	. 7
3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites	7
3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites (diffusion tubes)	. 8
3.2 Individual Pollutants	. 8
3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂)	. 8
3.2.2 Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	. 8
Appendix A: Monitoring Results	. 9
Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2015	15
Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring	
Data QA/QC	16
Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations	19
Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England	21
Glossary of Terms	22
References	23

List of Tables

Table 2.2 - Progress on Measures t	Distance in Improve Air Quality	<u>/</u> 4
------------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------

Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in the Borough of Great Yarmouth during 2016. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by the Borough of Great Yarmouth to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in Table E.1 in Appendix E.

Actions to Improve Air Quality

Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council currently does not have any AQMAs.

Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in the Borough of Great Yarmouth

Great Yarmouth Borough Council has taken forward a number of measures – both new and building on existing ones – during the current reporting year of 2016 which has helped to improve local air quality. Details of all measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 2.2. Key completed measures are:

- Formation of a Cycling Hub;
- Payment of cycling allowance to Council staff;
- Work bike scheme;
- Energy efficient new build housing;
- Replacement heating system;
- Switch off of streetlights;
- Replacement of streetlights with energy efficient units;
- Establishment of county wide air quality group;
- Promotion of town walks;
- Carbon reduction and fuel poverty;
- Bike & Go scheme at Gt Yarmouth railway station;
- Leisure centre upgrade.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council expects the following measure to be completed over the course of the next reporting year: Page 17 of 38 A 'Review of domestic bin presentation', which is expected to help address capacity as part of the Council's domestic waste collection service. It is hoped that the increase in capacity resulting from this will mean a delay in the need for a further refuse collection vehicle and save on emissions.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council's Local Air Quality Management programme ties in with the priorities within the Council's 'The Plan 2015-2020', by:

- Helping businesses with timely, accurate advice on air quality;
- Safeguarding the health of the population, through ensure a suitable and sufficient air quality monitoring network and programme for now and the future, to meet the air quality objectives, and to reduce the exposure of people to potentially harmful air quality
- Consulting and maintaining a dialogue with Norfolk County Council Highways, and Highways England – which is essential as transport related air quality emissions have the greatest impact in health;
- Working with Planning Authorities and Developers, to ensure that air quality is considered in business, transport, industrial, retail, and residential developments.

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	Progress to Date	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
1	Formation of a Cycling Hub	Promoting Travel Alternatives	Promotion of cycling	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Individual take-up	N/A	Slow growth in take-up	Complete	Not significant take-up to date, scheme continues to be promoted, particularly with tourists
2	Review of domestic bin presentation	Vehicle Fleet Efficiency	Fleet efficiency and recognition schemes	GYBC	Yes	Late February 2015	Reduction in collection vehicle miles	N/A	Planning phase	Autumn 2016	Initial thoughts are that this may remove the need to purchase a new additional refuse vehicle
3	Payment of cycling allowance to Council staff	Alternatives to private vehicle use	Other	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in car mileage & take- up of scheme	N/A	Implemented	Complete	Small impact
4	Work bike scheme	Alternatives to private vehicle use	Other	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in car mileage & take- up of scheme	N/A	Implemented	Complete	Bikes regularly used by staff
5	Energy efficient new build housing	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in householder energy bills	N/A	Implemented	2015	Reduced energy bills for householders
6	Replacement heating system	Promoting Low Emission Plant	Public Procurement of stationary combustion sources	GYB Services	Completed	Completed	Reduction in energy bill	N/A	Implemented	2015	Reduced energy bill

Table 2.1 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Lead Authority	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Target Pollution Reduction in the AQMA	Progress to Date	Estimated Completion Date	Comments
7	Switch off of streetlights	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Other policy	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in energy bill	N/A	Implemented	2014	Reduced energy bills for the Council
8	Replacement of streetlights with energy efficient units	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Low Emissions Strategy	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in energy bill	N/A	Planned long term replacement scheme	2018	Reduced energy bills
9	Establishment of county wide air quality group	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Regional Groups Co-ordinating programmes to develop Area wide Strategies to reduce emissions and improve air quality	Joint partnership	Completed	Completed	Better air quality in Norfolk	N/A	Implemented	Ongoing	Shared ideas & feeding into County Council policies on transportatio n & air quality
10	Promotion of town walks	Promoting Travel Alternatives	Promotion of walking	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in vehicle use in town	N/A	Implemented	Ongoing	Reduction in vehicle use
11	Carbon reduction and fuel poverty	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Other policy	GYBC	Completed	Completed	Reduction in energy bills	N/A	Implemented	Ongoing	Reduction in energy bills for householders
12	Bike & Go scheme at Gt Yarmouth railway station	Promoting Travel Alternatives	Promotion of cycling	Abellio Greater Anglia	Completed	Completed	Reduction in vehicle use in town	N/A	Implemented	2014	Reduction in vehicle emissions
13	Leisure centre upgrade	Policy Guidance and Development Control	Low Emissions Strategy	GYBC	Yes	Completed	Reduction in energy bill	N/A	Implemented	2015	Reduced energy usage

PM_{2.5} – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less – this is important as this is so small that it can be breathed in, but not out again). There is clear evidence that $PM_{2.5}$ has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council is taking the following measures to address PM_{2.5}:

- Reviewing our air quality monitoring arrangements: we consider that it would be beneficial to accurately measure and monitor the ambient atmospheric concentrations of PM_{2.5} within the Borough of Great Yarmouth, in order to be aware what concentrations our residents and visitors are exposed to, and also to enable us to work effectively to our exposure reduction targets. To this end, we will consider whether it is possible to start PM_{2.5} monitoring by the 2017-18 financial year;
- The Council is working through the Norfolk Environmental Protection Group's (NEPG) Air Quality Sub-Group, to ensure regular two-way engagement with representatives of Public Health England, and the Director of Public Health at Norfolk County Council;
- The Council has direct dialogue with Officers of Norfolk County Council Highways, and also through the NEPG Air Quality Subgroup, on proposed significant changes to highways and traffic flows in the Borough, when possible improvements to PM_{2.5} exposure will also be considered;
- Also the Council's measures from section 2.2 and 'Table 2.2 Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality' above, also contribute to reducing PM_{2.5} emissions and/or exposure.

Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

Automatic Monitoring Sites

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how it compares with objectives.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council undertook automatic (continuous) monitoring of nitrogen dioxide, particulate matter, and ozone at one site in Gorleston during 2015. Table A.1 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

NB. Great Yarmouth Borough Council does not report on sulphur dioxide (SO2), as previous assessments have shown that concentrations are so low that there is no need to monitor. Local authorities do not have to report annually on the following pollutants: 1,3 butadiene, benzene, carbon monoxide and lead, unless local circumstances indicate there is a problem. National monitoring results are available at https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/interactive-map

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D. Further details on how the monitors are calibrated and how the data has been adjusted are included in Appendix C.

Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites (diffusion tubes)

Great Yarmouth Borough Council undertook non-automatic (passive) plastic diffusion tube monitoring of NO₂ at 11 sites during 2015. Table A.2 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D. Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) and bias adjustment for the diffusion tubes are included in Appendix C.

Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for 'annualisation' and bias. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C.

Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)

Table A.3 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO₂ annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of $40\mu g/m^3$.

For diffusion tubes, the full 2015 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in Appendix B.

Table A.4 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored NO₂ hourly mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of $200\mu g/m^3$, not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year. There were no exceedances of either the annual, or hourly air quality objectives here, with an improving trend for the annual mean objective, and the hourly objective unchanged at 0 exceedances.

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

Table A.5 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored PM_{10} annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of $40\mu g/m^3$.

Table A.6 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored PM_{10} daily mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of $50\mu g/m^3$, not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year.

There were no exceedances of either the annual, nor 24 hour mean air quality objectives here, with an improving trend for both.

Page 23 of 38

Appendix A: Monitoring Results

 Table A.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) ⁽¹⁾	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) ⁽²⁾	Inlet Height (m)
CM1	Gorleston	Urban background	TG52492	05612	PM ₁₀	Ν	BAM	5	25	3
					Ozone	Ν	UV Photometer			
					Nitrogen Dioxide	Ν	Chemiluminesence			

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA ?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) ⁽¹⁾	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) ⁽²⁾	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
DT1	12 Bridge Road	Roadside	TG5205 3	08188	NO ₂	N	1	4	Ν	3
DT2	44 North Quay	Roadside	TG5207 9	07828	NO ₂	N	1	2	N	2.5
DT3	60 North Quay (upper)	Roadside	TG5210 4	07665	NO ₂	N	1	1	N	3
DT5	110 South Quay	Roadside	TG5252 0	06862	NO ₂	Ν	1	6	Ν	3
DT6	9 Southgates Road	Roadside	TG5256 9	06537	NO ₂	N	1	3	N	3
DT7	41 Southgates Road	Roadside	TG5261 1	06223	NO ₂	N	1	2	N	3
DT4	Southtown Road Junction	Roadside	TG5209 2	07419	NO ₂	N	1	2	N	3
DT8	Maltings House Gorleston	Co-location urban background	TG 52492	05612	NO ₂	N	5	26	N	2.5
DT8	Maltings House Gorleston	Co-location urban background	TG 52492	05612	NO ₂	N	5	26	N	2.5
DT8	Maltings House Gorleston	Co-location urban background	TG 52492	05612	NO ₂	N	5	26	N	2.5

Table A.2 – Details of Non-Automatic (diffusion tube) Monitoring Sites (including historic sites 2011-2015)

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA ?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) ⁽¹⁾	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) ⁽²⁾	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
DT9	81 North Quay	Roadside	TG5206 6	07874	NO ₂	N	1	3	N	3
DT3	60 North Quay lower	Roadside	TG5210 4	07665	NO ₂	N	1	1	N	2
DT10	1 South Quay	Roadside	TG5232 6	07376	NO ₂	N	1	3	N	1.5
DT11	25 South Quay	Roadside	TG5249 0	07174	NO ₂	N	1	4	N	2

			Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO ₂ A	NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) ⁽³⁾					
Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring Type	Monitoring Period (%) ⁽¹⁾	Capture 2015 (%) ⁽²⁾	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
CM1	Urban Background	Automatic	99.6	99.6	20	18.8	18.2	17.1	16.8		
DT1	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	25.3	25.8	22.1	22.0	21.9		
DT2	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	24.9	24.8	23.95	24.1	22.5		
DT3	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	66.7	66.7	27.1	25.6	25.4	26.9	25.4		
DT5	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	25.8	25.1	25.31	23.5	23.8		
DT6	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	27.5	26.4	25.81	25.6	24.4		
DT7	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	91.7	91.7	24.3	23.8	20.84	22.9	20.9		
DT4	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	39.6	38.8	37.48	37.8	37.4		
DT8	Co-location urban background	Diffusion Tube	100	100	20.3	18.5	18.15	17.8	16.0		
DT8	Co-location urban background	Diffusion Tube	100	100	19.9	18.3	14.27	16.9	16.3		
DT8	Co-location urban background	Diffusion Tube	100	100	19.5	17.8	17.18	15.4	15.7		
DT9	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100	100	21.5	20.0	20.21	18.7	19.9		
DT3	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	N/A	N/A	25.9	27.7	N/A	N/A	N/A		
DT10	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	91.7	91.7	35.9	33.2	33.97	30.6	32.8		
DT11	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	75	75	32.3	28.8	N/A	N/A	31.6		

Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO₂ Monitoring Results

(1) data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per Technical Guidance LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

Table A.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

Site ID		Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO ₂ 1-Hour Means > 200µg/m ³					
	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) ⁽¹⁾	Capture 2015 (%) ⁽²⁾	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
CM1	Urban Background	Automatic	99.6	99.6	0	0	0	0	0	

(1) data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

Table A.5 – Annual Mean PM₁₀ Monitoring Results

Site ID		Valid Data Capture	Valid Data	PM ₁₀	PM ₁₀ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m ³) ⁽³⁾					
	Site Type	Period (%) ⁽¹⁾	(%) ⁽²⁾	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
CM1	Urban Background	94.5	94.5	21.7	19.9	20.7	16.6	16.8		

(1) data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) All means have been "annualised" as per Technical Guidance LAQM.TG16, valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM₁₀ Monitoring Results

Site ID	Site Type	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring Period (%)	Valid Data	PM ₁₀ 24-Hour Means > 50µg/m ^{3 (3)}						
	one Type		(2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
CM1	Urban Background	94.5	94.5	11 (35.8)	19.9 (30.6)	8	4	0		

(1) data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) If the period of valid data is less than 90%, the 90.4th percentile of 24-hour means is provided in brackets.

Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2015

Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2015

	NO ₂ Mean Concentrations (μg/m ³)														
		Feb				Jun	Jul		Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean		
Site iD	Jan		Mar	Apr	Мау			Aug					Raw Data	Bias Adjusted	
DT1 - 12 BRIDGE ROAD	24.8	16.4	28.6	24.0	21.6	21.2	25.8	25.9	16.4	21.8	28.7	28.6	23.6	21.9	
DT2 - 44 NORTH QUAY	22.7	21.6	27.1	27.3	18.9	22.0	23.7	27.8	18.8	29.0	25.2	26.9	24.2	22.5	
DT3 - 60 NORTH QUAY	-	-	-	29.6	-	23.9	26.7	32.4	21.6	30.1	26.1	28.0	27.3	25.4	
DT5 - 110 SOUTH QUAY	23.8	22.4	31.5	26.2	23.1	27.0	27.3	28.0	26.4	23.3	25.1	22.9	25.6	23.8	
DT6 - 9 SOUTHGATES ROAD	22.7	24.9	33.8	25.0	24.0	22.4	23.4	25.4	21.8	27.7	32.5	31.2	26.2	24.4	
DT7 - 41 SOUTHGATES ROAD	23.6	25.3	28.2	24.6	22.0	17.9	21.5	23.3	17.9	26.1	-	17.5	22.5	20.9	
DT4 - SOUTHTOWN ROAD	44.4	22.5	44.4	45.4	39.4	33.7	46.9	42.1	43.8	41.5	44.2	34.1	40.2	37.4	
DT8 - MALTINGS HOUSE	19.4	11.6	21.7	19.1	15.2	14.2	14.9	16.7	14.0	20.5	19.5	19.2	17.2	16.0	
DT8 - MALTINGS HOUSE	25.8	15.2	21.3	16.5	13.3	15.0	14.6	15.7	13.7	21.8	21.8	15.6	17.5	16.3	
DT8 - MALTINGS HOUSE	20.3	17.7	19.5	14.9	14.2	14.7	15.1	16.5	13.6	20.9	19.0	16.2	16.9	15.7	
DT9 - 81 NORTH QUAY	19.4	28.8	21.7	20.2	18.1	19.6	20.6	24.4	14.8	21.3	24.2	23.6	21.4	19.9	
DT10 - 1 SOUTH QUAY	16.7	24.0	42.5	45.2	37.4	17.9	42.8	46.0	39.6	43.7	32.7	-	35.3	32.8	
DT11 - 25 SOUTH QUAY	-	-	-	34.8	28.8	27.9	39.3	38.9	33.1	33.6	32.2	37.4	34.0	31.6	

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment

Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC

QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring

The diffusion tubes are supplied by Gradko Environmental, part of Gradko International Ltd. They consist of 20% TEA (Triethanolamine) in deionised water. Once received by post the tubes are stored in a refrigerator until required. Once the tubes have been placed in their holders, the end caps are removed and the tubes exposed for a month. At the end of the period the tubes are recapped and retrieved and stored in the refrigerator until returned by post to the laboratory for analysis. A travel blank is used. This travels everywhere with the exposed tubes but is not itself exposed. It is stored in the refrigerator and sent for analysis with the exposed tubes. Its purpose is to check on contamination of the tubes.

Gradko International is accredited by UKAS for the analysis of NO₂. Gradko also take part in the AIR NO₂ Proficiency Testing Scheme on a quarterly basis. Their AIR results over the last twelve rounds of testing gave 100% laboratory performance in terms of the accuracy and precision of results (Summary of Laboratory Performance in AIR NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme (April 2014 – February 2016))

Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factors

The National bias adjustment factor for the period was 0.91 (Spreadsheet Version Number: 3/16)

Factor from Local Co-location Studies

The Borough Council has co-located three diffusion tubes with its continuous air quality monitors. The site is set up as an urban background site. From the co-location study the local bias adjustment factor derived is 0.98 for 12 months of data. However, the tube precision is poor for one month, as detailed in Figure C.1 below. If the month of poor precision data is removed the local bias adjustment factor is 0.93.

Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes														
Diffusion Tubes Measurements											Automat	tic Method	Data Quali	ty Check
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 μgm ⁻³	Tube 2 μgm ⁻³	Tube 3 µgm ⁻³	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatic Monitor Data
1	07/01/2015	04/02/2015	19.4	25.8	20.3	22	3.5	16	8.7		16.9	99.6	Good	Good
2	04/02/2015	04/03/2015	11.6	15.2	17.7	15	3.1	21	7.6		23.8	100	Poor Precision	Good
3	04/03/2015	01/04/2015	21.7	21.3	19.5	21	1.2	6	2.9		20.2	100	Good	Good
4	01/04/2015	29/04/2015	19.1	16.5	14.9	17	2.1	13	5.3		17.2	100	Good	Good
5	29/04/2015	27/05/2015	15.2	13.3	14.2	14	1.0	7	2.4		12	100	Good	Good
6	27/05/2015	01/07/2015	14.2	15.0	14.7	15	0.4	3	1.0		16	100	Good	Good
7	01/07/2015	29/07/2015	14.9	14.6	15.1	15	0.3	2	0.6		18	99.5	Good	Good
8	29/07/2015	26/08/2015	16.7	15.7	16.5	16	0.5	3	1.4		14	99.9	Good	Good
9	26/08/2015	30/09/2015	14.0	13.7	13.6	14	0.2	1	0.5		13	96.4	Good	Good
10	30/09/2015	28/10/2015	20.5	21.8	20.9	21	0.6	3	1.6		21	100	Good	Good
11	28/10/2015	02/12/2015	19.5	21.8	19.0	20	1.5	7	3.7		15.8	99.9	Good	Good
12	02/12/2015	06/01/2016	19.2	15.6	16.2	17	1.9	11	4.8		14.6	99.9	Good	Good
13														
lt is r	It is necessary to have results for at least two tubes in order to calculate the					ate the precisi	on of the meas	surements			Overal	l survey>	Good precision	Good Overall DC
Sit	e Name/ ID:						Precision	11 out of 1	2 periods h	ave a C	V smaller t	han 20%	(Check average	CV & DC from
	Acources	(with C	5º/	fidanaa	intorycal		Acourcov	(with () 5% oonf	idonoo	intoryal		Accuracy ca	iculations)
	without pe	riods with C	V larger	than 20	%				578 COM	luence	intervalj	50%]
	Bias calcula	ated using 1	1 period	s of data			Bias calcu	lated using 1	2 period	s of da	a	m		
	B	ias factor A	0.93	(0.85 - 1	03)			Bias factor A	0.98	1 12)	se 25%	т	T	
		Bias B	8%	(-3% - 1	8%)			Bias B	2%	-10% -	15%)	a 0%	•	•
	Diffusion	uboc Moone	47	uam-3			Diffusion	Fuboo Moore	47	-3		L U	Without CV>20%	With all data
	Magn ()/ (Precision): 7						Moon		17	μgin		io -25%		
							wearrow	(Frecision):	8			Diffu		
Automatic Mean: 16 µgm ³							Automatic Mean: 17 µgm [°]							
	Data Cap	ture for perio	as used:	100%	-3		Data Ca	pture for perio	bas used:	100%	-3		Ja	ume rarga
	Adjusted T	ubes Mean:	16 (1	5 - 18)	µgm≃		Adjusted	ubes Mean:	17 (15	- 19)	µgm -		aume.targa@	aeat.co.uk

Figure C.1 Derivation of Local Bias Adjustment Figure

Discussion of Choice of Factor to Use

The Borough Council has used the local bias adjustment figure of 0.93 as the bias adjustment factor. The national factor for 2015 is very similar. There is a preference to use a local factor due to the following:

- The co-location of triplicate tubes alongside the continuous monitoring site;
- There is greater than 9 months of data; and,
- It is considered the local bias adjustment factors will be most representative of the local conditions.

QA/QC of automatic monitoring

The automatic monitors are maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. The Council has a maintenance contract with ET who took over the maintenance contract the Council had enjoyed for many years from the original manufacturers of the equipment, Casella. The site is routinely visited by ET every six months for routine maintenance and the contract allows for a 48hour response to emergency call out situations.

Automatic calibrations of the NO2 analyser are carried out daily. BOC specialist calibration gases are used to obtain span values and instrumental drift is accounted for during the processing of the data. Data processing and handling is provided by Page 32 of 38

WeCare4Air who took over the original data handling contract the Council had for many years with Casella, then briefly Supporting U. Regular check calibrations and inlet filter changes are also carried out by local Council personnel.

The BAM unit is also maintained as part of the servicing contract with local Council personnel carrying out tape changes approximately every two months.

All site visits are recorded in the site log and describe adjustments, repairs, problems encountered etc. Following scheduled service visits reports are issued by the engineers.

PM Monitoring Adjustment

The Met-One BAM PM monitoring unit utilises an unheated inlet and meets the equivalence criteria for PM10 provided the results are corrected for slope.



Appendix D: Map(s) of Monitoring Locations

Figure D.1 Location of the Automatic Air Quality Monitoring Station



Figure D.2 Map(s) of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

Pollutont	Air Quality Objective ⁴							
Fonutant	Concentration	Measured as						
Nitrogen Dioxide	200 µg/m ³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean						
(\mathbf{NO}_2)	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean						
Particulate Matter	50 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean						
(FIVI10)	40 μg/m ³	Annual mean						
	350 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean						
Sulphur Dioxide (SO ₂)	125 μg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean						
	266 µg/m ³ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean						

⁴ The units are in microgrammes of pollutar age color figure of air (µg/m³).

Glossary of Terms

Abbreviation	Description
AIR	Independent analytical proficiency-testing scheme by LGC Ltd. and the Health and Safety Laboratory (HSL)
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan – a detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – an area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives
ASR	Air Quality Annual Status Report
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – air quality screening tool produced by Highways England
EU	European Union
FDMS	Filter Dynamics Measurement System
GYTRC	Great Yarmouth Third River Crossing
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management
NEPG	Norfolk Environmental Protection Group
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides
PM ₁₀	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of $10 \mu m$ (micrometres or microns) or less
PM _{2.5}	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide
TEA	Triethanolamine: the reagent used in diffusion tubes as an absorbent for ambient NO2

References

Casella Monitor Data Services (2012). Air Quality Monitoring Station Report for Great Yarmouth Borough Council December 2011.

DEFRA (2009). Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance (TG 16). London, DEFRA.

DEFRA (2009) Local Air Quality Management (PG16). London, DEFRA.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council (2014). 2014 Air Quality Progress Report for Great Yarmouth Borough Council.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council (2012). 2012 Updating and Screening Assessment for Great Yarmouth Borough Council.

Great Yarmouth Borough Council (2015). 2015 Updating and Screening Assessment for Great Yarmouth Borough Council.

Mott McDonald (2012). Air Quality Data Review.

Mott McDonald (2010). Air Quality Detailed Assessment 2010.

Internet Sources

Defra (2016) National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet <u>http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/Database_Diffusion_Tube_Bias_Factors_v03_1</u> <u>6_Final_v2.xls</u>

Date accessed 10/6/2016

Defra (2016) Summary of Laboratory Performance in AIR NO2 Proficiency Testing Scheme (April 2014 – February 2016)

http://laqm.defra.gov.uk/documents/LAQM-AIR-PT-Rounds-1-12-(April-2014-February-2016)-NO2-report.pdf

Date accessed 10/6/2016